EXHIBIT 2H

EXHIBIT

H

```
1
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
 2.
                     AT CHARLESTON
 3
    IN RE: ETHICON, INC, ) MASTER FILE
    REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS, ) NO. 2:12-MD-02327
 4
    LIABILITY LITIGATION
 5
                              ) MDL NO. 2327
 6
                              ) JOSEPH R. GOODWIN
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ) US DISTRICT JUDGE
    CAROLYN LEWIS, ET AL. V. )
    ETHICON, INC.
    CASE NO. 2:12-CV-04301
 8
 9
             THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2013
10
11
12
                Deposition of Prof. Dr. Med.
13
    Uwe Klinge, Volume I, held at the Quellenhoff
14
    Hotel, Monheimsallee 52, 52062 Aachen, Germany,
15
    commencing at 9:01 a.m., on the above date,
16
    before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered
17
    Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
18
    Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
19
    and Certified Court Reporter.
20
               GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
21
            877.370.3377 ph 917.591.5672 fax
                   deps@golkow.com
2.2
23
2.4
```

	Page 2		Page 4
1	INDEX	1	PROF. DR. MED. UWE KLINGE,
2 3	PAGE 2	2	of lawful age, having been first duly sworn
4	APPEARANCES	3	to tell the truth, the whole truth and
5	BY MR. THOMAS 4	4	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6	EXHIBITS	5	nothing but the truth, deposes and says on
8	No. Description Page		behalf of the Defendants, as follows:
9	1 Kling invoice 69 2 Draft of a contract between Ethicon 81	6	
	Hamburg and the university, in	7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	particular the surgical department 3 Announcement of a specific study done 86	8	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
11	with Ethicon	9	Q. Good morning, Doctor.
12	4 Confidentiality agreement 87 5 Contract between Ethicon and Klinge 90	10	A. Good morning.
	6 Draft of a possible contract 96	11	Q. It has been just a little bit
13	consulting agreement 7 Draft of a first contract between 120	12	more than a year since we saw each other.
14	Ethicon and the university	13	A. Yeah. Yeah.
15	8 letter from Klinge and 168 Dr. Klosterhalfen to Dr. Engel dated	14	Q. What have you been
13	December 8, 2000	15	A. My daughter is much heavier.
16	9 Ethicon Surgeon Panel Meeting Mesh, 221 Suvretta House Hotel, St. Moritz,	16	Q. Taller you mean?
17	January 18, 2003,	17	
18	ETH.MESH.05455878 - ETH.MESH.05455898 10 Klinge curriculum vitae 242	18	5 5 1
10	10 Klinge curriculum vitae 242 11 Klinge expert report 244	19	Q. How old is your daughter now?
19	(Exhibits attached to the densition)		A. How old? She's going to be one
20	(Exhibits attached to the deposition.)	20	and a half year.
21	CERTIFICATE	21	Q. Oh, wonderful.
21	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	22	Since we saw each other last, I
	LAWYER'S NOTES340	23	know that you've testified in the Gross
23		24	trial, correct, in New Jersey?
	Page 3		Page 5
1	Page 3	1	Page 5
1 2	APPEARANCES:	1 2	A. Yes.
	A P P E A R A N C E S : ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC	2	A. Yes.Q. What else have you done with
2	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net	2	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year?
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean
3	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on?
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on?
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year?
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1807	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year? A. Yes. Q. What classes have you taught in
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1807 ALSO PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year? A. Yes. Q. What classes have you taught in the last year?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1807 ALSO PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year? A. Yes. Q. What classes have you taught in the last year? A. I am included in the classes
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1807 ALSO PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year? A. Yes. Q. What classes have you taught in the last year? A. I am included in the classes for to have lessons for the students in
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1807 ALSO PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year? A. Yes. Q. What classes have you taught in the last year? A. I am included in the classes
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1807 ALSO PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year? A. Yes. Q. What classes have you taught in the last year? A. I am included in the classes for to have lessons for the students in
2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S: ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215 Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 592-8384 AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200 Pensacola, Florida 32502 (850) 202-1010 Counsel for Plaintiffs THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE dthomas@tcspllc.com PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE pcombs@tcspllc.com 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, West Virginia 25301 (304) 414-1807 ALSO PRESENT:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. What else have you done with your professional time in the last year? A. Professional time, do you mean what I what I'm what I was working on? Q. Yeah, let me ask you a little more specifically. That's probably too general. You've continued to work at the university? A. Yes. Q. You've continued in the same position at the university? A. Yes. Q. Have you taught any classes at the university in the last year? A. Yes. Q. What classes have you taught in the last year? A. I am included in the classes for to have lessons for the students in that are learning surgery. That is the third

	Proi Dr. Med	a	
	Page 6		Page 8
1	students learning medicine for dentists.	1	1 A. Yes.
2	They also have to pass some lessons from	2	Q. Okay. When you said "there's
3	surgeons and there I'm included.	3	some relation," are they is there
4	Q. Okay. Any other classes that	4	something I'm missing?
5	you've been involved in since October of last	5	A. As far as I know and we already
6	year?	6	discussed it, DynaMesh® is the brand name of
7	A. Not at the university, no.	7	the product, and here we have a company and
8	Q. Have you made any presentations	8	this is the FEG. When it started it.
9	in the last year?	2	Whether the DynaMesh® meanwhile has its own
10	A. Yes.	10	company, I don't know.
11	Q. How many?	11	Q. That's the that's what's
12	A. Maybe ten. Publications at	12	² understood.
13	conferences.	13	A. So, therefore, this is this
14	Q. Right. That's what I'm talking	14	4 mixing up of these terms.
15	about.	15	Q. Okay. I didn't want to mix it
16	A. Yeah.	16	up. Tjust ursugut i may mave imsseu
17	Q. And who are the sponsors of	17	sometimes. Im just trying to understand.
18	these conferences?	18	riow, when you've attended these
19	A. Usually it has been invitations	19	J
20	by the organizers of the Berlin hernia	20	71. 110. 110. 110. 110.
21	conference, of the European Hernia Society,	21	Q. The jour expenses remicuised:
22	of the German Hernia Society and some others.	22	71. Obdairy. 715 Till dil lilvitod
23	Usually there are five, six companies acting	23	speaker, they arways took the costs of the
24	as sponsors for these ones, and usually they	24	4 hotel and the flight or train or car.
	Page 7		Page 9
1	have a company that are organizing the	1	Q. Do you receive an honorarium
2	invitations, the travel expenses and so.	2	² for presenting?
3	So it is going directly to	3	A. No, never.
4	these companies. Not to a specific sponsor	4	Q. Do you receive strike that.
5	that is most of these.	5	Have you attended strike
6	Q. When you talk about companies,	1	that again.
7	are you talking about medical device	7	Have you spoken at any
8	manufacturers?	8	conferences sponsored solely by FEG?
9	A. It's usually medical device.	2	A. Yes. There is a yes.
10	It's Covidien, it's Ethicon. Ethicon is	10	Q. How many times in the last
11	usually the gold sponsor, but it is Covidien,	11	year?
12	Brown in Germany, DynaMesh® is some sponsor	12	A. It is I remember three
13	there.	13	3 times.
14	So you always find it at the	14	Q. And where have you spoken at
15	documents of the conferences which the	15	conferences sponsored by FEG in the last
16	list of the main sponsors for the European	16	⁵ year?
17	Hernia Society, I think for this meeting in	17	A. There has been a master class
18	Duns, the list is longer than for some local	18	that is organized by Professor Berger in
1	.•	19	Baden-Baden. He's the head of the German
19	meetings.) II'- C' II
19 20	Q. When you say DynaMesh®, you're	20	Hernia Society and no, excuse me, I have
	Q. When you say DynaMesh®, you're referring to the company FEG?	20	Tierma Boerety and no, excuse me, I have
20 21 22	Q. When you say DynaMesh®, you're referring to the company FEG? A. Yes, it is. There is some	21	to correct. Last year it was stopped because they have they are under reconstruction.
20	Q. When you say DynaMesh®, you're referring to the company FEG?	21	to correct. Last year it was stopped because they have they are under reconstruction. It has been planned, but last year we didn't

Page 10 Page 12 1 Q. Okay. And what kind of implant did he O. 2 A. And the year before. recommend to be used? 3 3 All right. Q. He has been working or he A. 4 So, therefore, I have to put A. worked on this for, I think, for the past 5 five to ten years and he originally tried it that out. 6 with ePTFE, but he has some -- several Q. And what is that conference 7 that happened two years ago -problems and finally he found the FEG, the 8 This master class? small medium enterprises, and they 9 constructed during the past two, three years Q. Yes. 10 10 this device. This device is a combination of A. About 20, 20 to 30 international surgeons, about 30 11 a sling-like, it has sling-like parts, and it 11 12 12 international surgeons are coming there has flat mesh-like parts for the fixation of 13 together and they are -- they want to see how 13 the vagina. 14 14 Professor Berger, who is one of the famous So it's a combination with 15 15 surgeons who is doing laparoscopic incisional different parts in the device which each of 16 16 hernia repair and parastomal hernia, he's a them is specifically designed to the local 17 real expert there and they want to see how he 17 function in this one. It's complex. 18 18 operates it, and this occasion we are Q. What kind of polymer is used? 19 19 discussing problems in this field with the A. PVDF. 20 participants, and I'm the moderator and I'm 20 Did you know of this innovative Q. 21 presenting some overviews, some reviews of 21 procedure prior to attending the conference? 22 22 our past work there. Yes. I've seen the internet. 23 23 Q. Okay. So if that conference I've seen the document which is there about 24 doesn't count, then you've done two this procedure and I've seen the device. Page 11 Page 13 conferences for FEG in the last year, 1 Have you worked with Dr. Yaeger 2 in the development of the device? correct? 3 3 In some regards. So I'm not A. As I remember, yes. 4 4 Tell me what the other two are. specifically asked whether it should be three Q. 5 The other was a meeting at or four filaments in a specific area because A. 6 Cologne at the University of Cologne where this is a decision by the surgeon, by 7 Professor Yaeger. But once upon a time, I'm Professor Jager presented his innovative 8 procedure to treat incontinence. And I was asked whether this construction fits to what 9 part as Dr. Klosterhalfen to present some we have learned. What is our experience for 10 10 basics, some science there at that the behavior of textiles in tissues that we 11 11 conference. have learned during the past 20 years. So I 12 12 had to check whether it -- there may be some O. And this was the conference 13 13 problems or not and, therefore, I had to look organized by Professor Shaffer? 14 14 It was Yaeger. Yaeger. His to this. 15 name is Professor Yaeger. You will find it 15 Q. Had you worked with Dr. Yaeger 16 in the internet. He has an excellent web 16 in the past? 17 17 page where he presented his new procedure, A. Professor Yaeger? 18 18 how he addresses -- how he treats it. Q. Yes. 19 19 Q. And --I only met him there, and later By laparotomy through the 20 20 on I met him in Barcelona where we had A. 21 abdomen he placed an implant there. 21 another meeting together. 22 22 And that was for the treatment How did you happen to work with 23 23 of stress urinary incontinence? Professor Yaeger on this innovative

procedure?

Urgent stress.

24

A.

Page 14 Page 16 1 MR. ANDERSON: Other than what 1 Q. I see. 2 2 he's already mentioned? And so the work that you did on 3 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** this device was not working with Professor 4 Q. How did you two come together Yaeger, is that fair? is what I want to know. 5 5 That is fair. Yeah, that is. 6 A. I was -- I was asked by the 6 So all of the work that you did 7 FEG, they told me that they have a new on the device was in consultation with the concept. They have a new idea that they are 8 8 engineers at FEG? 9 going to work on this and if they are -- if 9 Yes. Or maybe sometimes there 10 10 I'm able to have a look to this. has been a feedback loop. They're talking to 11 11 Professor Yaeger and then so there is another Q. Okay. And who --12 12 This is -question. A. 13 13 Q. Q. I am sorry. How much time --MR. ANDERSON: Are you through? 14 14 It's not so strict that there A. 15 15 is one question, one answer and so --Go ahead. 16 16 THE WITNESS: No. It's --How much time did you spend 17 17 consulting with FEG on the development of **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 18 Who at FEG asked you to speak 18 this new device? 19 19 with Professor Yaeger about this new device It is very difficult to answer 20 20 this question because when they -- when I got that used PVDF? 21 21 It is either Boris Obolensky or a question to this and I have to answer it, I A. 22 22 Dr. Andreas Mullen. have to summarize all of my experience and 23 23 all my knowledge to this one. Maybe And did you meet with Professor Yaeger to discuss this device? sometimes the answer was in two minutes, but Page 15 Page 17 1 A. I met him first time in Cologne it wouldn't be fair to say that I'm working 2 2 just two minutes for this. at this conference. 3 Okay. How did you consult with 3 Well, did you --Q. Professor Yaeger on this new device? 4 Because all ---4 A. 5 A. I didn't get --5 Q. Go ahead. 6 6 Q. I am sorry, let me ask the A. Yeah. 7 7 question this way. Did you keep track of the time O. 8 You told me a minute ago, I that you spent working on this project with 9 think, that you were asked by FEG to look at 9 FEG? the proposed device and give your opinions 10 10 A. No. 11 about -- based upon the work that you've done 11 Did you charge FEG for the time Q. 12 for the past 20 years to determine whether 12 that you spent working on this project? 13 13 Not specifically for this this device is consistent with what you've 14 found. 14 project, but I am consulting for them. I'm 15 15 helping them in medical questions. They are Is that correct? mainly engineers without a medical profession 16 Yes. 16 A. 17 17 Is that work that you did at and, therefore, for many medical questions, Q. 18 the request of FEG? 18 they like to ask me and for this time effort, 19 They gave me the questions to 19 I got a compensation. 20 this device and I answered to them. Because 20 And how are you compensated by Q. 21 it's usually a matter of textile properties, 21 FEG? 22 textile characteristic, pores and so on and, 22 I got about 25,000 -- no, A. 23 therefore, it was a conversation with the 23 30,000 Euros a year, once a year. 24 24 engineers from the FEG. Q. Do you have a contract with

Page 18 1 FEG? 2 A. There is as I -- so far I 3 remember, I do not have a contract where I agreed or where I said that I will work for 5 something for several hours for this amount 6 of money. I don't have such a contract. 7 There is no contract. 8 I know that there has been or 9 I've signed a contract that we work together. 10 It was in relationship to a patent, the FEG 11 claim for it, and they placed my name as well 12 as Klosterhalfen as well as others who 13 contribute to this patent on PVDF meshes, and

- 14 in this relation, I have signed that I have 15 been or that I'm working with the FEG 16 together. It's a general contract without 17 any specific agreements how to work, where to 18 work.
- 19 Are you paid by the FEG based O. on the amount of mesh that FEG sells? 20
 - Definitely not. A.

21

24

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 22 0. How is your compensation 23 calculated?
 - They -- so far I know, they A.

just once a year they coming up and said, "Okay, the last year, you did a lot and we're

able to give you a compensation for this spare time that you invested and, therefore, we would be happy to give this to you."

> O. Okay.

6

7

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

2

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. And that is the reason maybe that we continue this work. Otherwise, we would have to think about it. But there is no written contract that I have to do it for 11 the next year or that they expect me 12 something. No, it's free.
 - Do you have a written contract of any kind with FEG?
 - Apart from this general statement in relation -- in relation to these patent affair, it was six, seven years ago, but I cannot really remember the details for this. But I usually refused to have this strict contract as I had it with Ethicon. I never had it with the FEG like this.
 - To your knowledge and recollection, does the contract that you have that relates to the patents provide that you

Page 19

- calculated if they are -- if they had a good year, they said, okay, I -- they want me to 3 participate at a good year. So sometimes it was 20,000 Euros a year, meanwhile it is 30,000 Euros a year, but it is an overall 6 estimate by them.
 - So the better the company does, the more money you make, is that fair?
- I don't know, but overall, yeah, maybe. But I have no obligation to do 11 anything or I have no expectation that it 12 happens next year. So it's just an 13 expression of how we have been working 14 together, and it is a cheaper solution for 15 them to ask me as a consultant than to employ a medical doctor and they will never get 16 someone with my expertise on the market. So I think it's a good agreement for both of us.
 - And do you have a written agreement with the FEG that you look to to determine your -- the duties and obligations of both parties? Is there a written contract?
 - No. No. As I told you, it's

receive compensation for the sale of products?

- A. So far I know from the German law, there is an obligation of someone who has a patent to share the royalties with those guys that are -- have been working on the patents. But so far I'm informed is that the law does not define the amount. It can be very small. It depends of the medical device.
 - Q. Okay.
- So I do not recollect any A. figure that it is .5, 2 or 3 percent or so.
- Q. Have you received royalties from the FEG for the sale of any of their products?
- A. As a consequence of the not existing contract there and I refuse to have this contract, as I told you several times, I never got what you may call royalties.
 - That's my question. O.
- A. Yes.
 - Did you receive royalties --Q.
 - A. Never.

Page 21

Page 20

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

1

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 23

Q. Let me finish my question, please.

A. Sorry.

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Did you receive royalties in
 addition to this arrangement that we've
 already discussed where you've received 20 to
 30,000 Euros a year?

A. Definitely not.

Q. Okay. Do you have an agreement with the FEG that the 20 to 30,000 Euros that you've received is in place of any royalties that you might receive under that patent agreement?

A. No.

Q. Okay.

A. It is a compensation for the time I spent the last year.

Q. You talked about the meeting in Cologne.

What was the other meeting in the last year where you attended where it was sponsored by FEG?

A. The last meeting has been just recently in Barcelona where we had a meeting

Page 24

always complain and, therefore, me as a surgeon, I'm a little bit outside and,

³ therefore, my task was to moderate.

Professor Yaeger is a gynecologist, Dr. Liedl is a urologist, so, therefore, I -- yeah. I helped to organize this meeting and this was this session there.

Q. How many people attended that meeting?

A. 40.

Q. 40?

A. Yeah.

Q. And it was presented to urologists and urogynecologists?

A. Yes.

Q. Was the purpose of the meeting to introduce Dr. Yaeger's new method for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

A. To present his idea and to give some background information about the biomechanics of the pelvis, and so some of the participants, as I noticed afterwards, they already use this device, but they wanted to have some background information there.

Page 25

that has been organized by the -- or that has

² been sponsored by the FEG in Barcelona at the

³ occasion of the international society --

⁴ incontinence society of this meeting in

⁵ Barcelona there, and there was a scientific

⁶ presentation there that includes the

⁷ requirements to the function presented by

⁸ Dr. Liedl from Munich. It was Professor

⁹ Yaeger who presented his way to overcome this

anatomical dysfunction in these patients, and

it was planned to have an overview what can he done by textile engineers by Dr. Mullen

be done by textile engineers by Dr. Mullen,
 but, unfortunately, as I made a very poor

time management, it has to be stopped. He

was not able to make his presentations there.

And my function there was to give an overview from our experience of surgical meshes, which is ten years longer than for the gynecologists, and my task was to moderate between the gynecologists and the urologists because they're -- I don't know whether it's in the US as well, but in Europe, there is some competition between

these two. The woman belongs to whom, they

Q. Did you present on the biomechanics of the pelvis, or did someone else make that presentation?

A. Dr. Liedl did that.

Q. And Dr. Liedl is what?

A. He's a urologist. He's working in Munich. He's -- yeah. He's an expert in this.

Q. Okay. Doctor, you've made about ten presentations, you've been working on a couple of classes, you testified in the Gross case.

Have you been doing any research in the last year in specific areas?

A. That is my main topic. I am still a surgeon, once a surgeon, ever a surgeon. So this didn't -- does not change, but my main task is to do surgical research, research in biomaterials, research in hernia treatment research, in biological, morphological, cell reaction to biomaterials.

That is my main thing. And in this context,

I did some research projects, I prepared some manuscripts, some studies to be published.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

Page 26

- What studies in the last year O. have you published or will be published?
- A. Let me start from the last because it's more easy to recollect.

1

2

3

20

21

22

2

13

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

5 Submitted, we have a paper 6 which deals with the inflammatory activity of 7 the cells around surgical meshes and what 8 type of cells are the major part of the

9 foreign body granuloma of this infiltrate. 10 We've worked some time to identify the origin

11 of these cells there. This is one work which 12 is submitted. There is another work I did

13 together with some international colleagues

14 where we had some analysis in -- general

15 analysis the value of randomized control 16 trials, clinical studies, in relation to the

17 power of registries. Because this is a very 18 important topic in particularly if you want

19 to compare medical devices.

> There is a limitation of clinical studies and that is maybe not

realized fully up to now and, therefore, 23 we -- I define some aspects there. We

24 submitted it and we agreed. We discussed it luminescence. So with the camera, you can

Page 28

see whether there is some activation of

inflammatory cells by a camera without taking

the samples and killing the mice. And we

compared several meshes and several coatings

there and we controlled or monitored the

local inflammatory response.

This was a manuscript and they want to have some additional stainings and we were just preparing them and I think we can resubmit this within the next four weeks. So this is another project.

Yeah, I'm discussing in the moment with Professor Köckerling, you know, he has a large -- the German register for hernia and in this registry, he has some information about meshes and, of course, we will talk about it in detail later on, but he wants to know the impact of meshes on the clinical results and, therefore, we started to do an analysis in respect to -- to define the impact of mesh materials that can be identified by the data of his registry.

24 We started to think about -- he

Page 27

at international, and then we presented it to a journal and we are waiting whether it's

3 accepted or not.

4 I have another project that is 5 working on lang -- non-small cell lung cancer 6 and the value of biomarkers. It is -- the 7 manuscript is in a -- it's still under work 8 so one other colleague just -- we are waiting 9 on his comments on it. I had -- I prepared a 10 manuscript for the German Journal for 11 Surgeons. They asked me to make a manuscript 12 to the question whether you can avoid

complications by selection of a mesh that is 14 more adequate. And we made a manuscript on 15 this topic. 16

There has been another request that is incisional hernia repair, whether it's necessary to make a closure of the fascia that is just finished and submitted. We had a research project where we had some knockout mice where we placed meshes, and the 22 advantage of these genetic-transferred mice were that when the inflammatory cells are

Page 29 started to make some analysis, and I think

within the next weeks we can discuss it in

detail and get some more information.

Several other -- I cannot say whether this is -- this is, of course, not complete. There are some other -- maybe you have more specific things.

You've been busy. Q.

The first one you talked about, the inflammatory activity in the cells that surround meshes; is that correct?

A. Yes.

O. Who is on that study with you?

14 It is Professor Klosterhalfen,

he's there, because, yeah. And it is

16 Dr. Fet, F-e-t, she's the research assistant.

17 She made this, and there is Professor Deetz

from Würzburg, D-e-e-t-z. These are all of the coauthors from this.

And what meshes do you analyze O. in that study?

It was -- it was part of a project that is granted by North Rhine-Westphalia and the European community,

activated, they are transmitting

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- and one of the basic questions was to
- ² identify these cells there and we took 50
- explants that has been collected at our
 department there.
 - Q. You say "our department" --
 - A. Surgical department going directly to our lab and having been stored there during the past five, six years.
 - Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 A. And we collected these because 11 we wanted to have a certain variation of mesh 12 materials, a variation of procedures, a 13 variation of complications because this was 14 the first screening study there. Initially when we started the project, we just thought 15 16 it was macrophages and nothing else and 17 macrophages were the most important thing, 18 so, therefore, we learned a lot during this 19 process.
 - Q. So in this first study we've talked about, what you did was studied explants; is that correct?
 - A. Yes. Human explants.
 - Q. All right. What conclusions

this study, we thought that when we want to

Page 32

Page 33

- ² identify a cell, you take a marker, are
- ³ looking if this is positive for this marker
- ⁴ and you believe that that is a specific cell,
- 5 and we have seen because we made it in
- 6 parallel with 20 different markers that very
- 7 many of these cells express several different
- markers. So it's very complex these, and
 we're just at the beginning. The restriction
- to macrophages is shortcoming.
 - Q. Does this study analyze the extent to which one design of a mesh may be better than another?
 - A. No. It is not possible because the variation -- by intention, the variation is too big.

What we can say is that there are differences. So material has an impact on the tissue response.

- Q. Are you able --
- A. There's no doubt about it.
- Q. Are you able to conclude from the research that you've done whether PVDF or polypropylene are better meshes for the

Page 31

did your study reach?

A. The conclusion is that the inflammatory infiltrate, which you see in all these HE stainings and it is more or less thought in the last years that it is

macrophage staining has been done there.

But now we are knowing that
there are a lot of lymphocytes there, and

macrophages and, therefore, a lot of

there are cells that can be calledfibrocytes. Fibrocytes has first been

published by Bucala in the US ten years ago.

13 It's a specific cell with an origin of the

bone marrow and going to some site of injury
 and then can switch to an inflammatory

macrophage or going to a fibroblast to the

connective tissue production.

So this is a new cell that is maybe very, very important. The most important finding is that it is not possible to identify a specific cell just by making or by taking one biomarker. So there are a lot of cells that are positive for two, three, four, five different markers. And before

issues that you were studying?

A. As I told you, we're not able make specific conclusions that one is

better than the other. There is no doubt

that the material has an impact and we now know that the cells are not only macrophages.

We get some new ideas how to improve or how

to reduce the inflammatory reaction

furthermore.

So it has to be a coating not only addressing the macrophages, but a little bit other cells, stem cells or so. So we get new ideas for the general principle, but...

Q. Now, in your deposition last time, you talked a little bit about registries and I don't want to redo what we've done before.

But this study that you've worked on where you analyze the value of randomized controlled trials and clinical studies versus registries, is that a general discussion of the topic, or is it specific to a certain kind of problem like hernias?

A. I think it is, of course, it is

- ¹ an evolution of our thinking. As you surely
- ² know, I was asked by the British Journal of
- ³ Surgery some years ago to make -- to make an
- editorial comment on the meshes, and already
- ⁵ in this article, I mentioned that many of
- 6 these questions cannot be solved by these
- ⁷ clinical studies that we are used to, placing
- 8 the one mesh in 100 other patients as well.
- So many of these questions cannot be solvedby this.

At that time, already I -- I mentioned the problem but did not get to the solution so far, but during the following years, we had more and more discussions. We had the discussions on the occasion of the introduction of the European registries for incisional hernias in this working group as Professor Moysums.

So we have a lot of working and so finally I made this general -- this general concept to show the limitations of randomized control trials, but in this publication, there is already as a consequence that we should -- that we need

ely 1 a registry is you can detect poor

- ² performance. So if you have a device that
- has significantly more complications in your

Page 36

- ⁴ group than others, then you should get along
- by this and then you have to be very careful,
- you have to make further investigations to
 this.

So detect the inferiority phase with a registry, with a follow-up of all of these different patients, different devices, this is the only way to help you to find this. And you have a more powerful instrument to find mesh-related complications than in a clinical study with 100 patients or 200 patients. You need at least 2,000, 3,000 patients in a clinical study to have sufficient statistical power. This is the --

- Q. Does this study speak specifically to mesh-related complications?
- A. Not specifically, but it includes as a consequence that to address these questions because you're in the -- the incidence rate of mesh-related complications are in a percentage where you need some big

Page 35

registries as it was in others. In the
 manuscript for the classifications and in
 many other manuscripts, we already indicated
 that we need these data pools of registries.

Q. What problems do you think that you can address through registries that the randomized control trials and clinical studies do not adequately address?

A. To make it short, in a registry, you cannot prove the superiority of a -- and that is a sentence I think I used in this manuscript. You cannot prove the superiority of any device because you never have the absolute figures.

- Q. In a registry that's true or in a trial?
- A. In a registry, you cannot prove the superiority of a specific device.
 - Q. Okay.

A. It would be -- it would not be
correct to use this data to say this device
is better than the other. In a registry, it
is not acceptable to do so.

The thing that you can do with

Page 37 databases, some big registries and,

therefore, it is included. It is not only
 addressed limited to this, no.

Q. You also identified non-small cell lung cancer and the value of biomarkers.

Did I write that down right?

A. We had a study over -- it has been going over years, and at the beginning, we thought that you take some serological biomarker and you will get a good impression on the outcome of the patient, of the prognosis and then we added some histological markers. So we made in our lab stainings with some specific cell markers and then looked whether this was related to the prognosis. And we took some clinical data. So overall we got 34 -- 35 parameters, which we wanted to correlate to the prognosis.

Q. Do any of these -- strike that.

Does this study relate in any
way in the study of mesh?

A. Only indirectly because it showed that these only causal relationship, you have one marker, one outcome. In

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

Page 38

- biology, it doesn't work. It is a -- you have very much interferences, crosslinks to other things and --
 - Q. Does the study attempt to make an analysis of the extent to which biomarkers associated with the use of mesh are associated with non-small cell lung cancer?
 - No, definitely not.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

4

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

Now, the fourth one that I have on my list, the fourth study you've been working on is whether you can avoid complications by the selection of a more adequate mesh.

Is that correct?

- A. It is not a study. It's -- I was asked to give a review.
- Okay. And what are the topics of the discussion in that review?
- The main fields we addressed in this has been infection, it has been recurrence, it has been pain, maybe it has been adhesion, I think. Yeah, those are the topics.
 - And -- I'm sorry. Q.

Page 40 that some things shouldn't be done, and if it has been done and the complication occurs, you can correct it by doing it otherwise.

- Q. Is the type of material one of the things that you think you can choose in order to reduce complications in hernia surgery?
- A. I don't know what you are -what you're thinking of when you said "type of material." Of course, the characteristics of the mesh is important because I was asked what is the impact of the mesh there. It may be the polymer, it may be the textile structure, it may be the porosity, it may be, it may be.
- 0. And without being too general or simple, it's the same sort of things we talked about for years and we're going to talk about later today.

Is that fair?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: A lot of these things we have been talking always and again and again, yeah.

Page 41

Page 39

A. Yeah.

- O. Is that in the context of
- 3 hernia repair?
 - A. Yes. It is the issue of
- 5 this -- this issue of this journal is hernia,
- 6 meshes in hernia. So it's a collection of
- 7 various aspects and one -- so several authors
- invited to make a review to questions of 8
- 9 hernia repair.
 - Do you recommend a specific mesh for the use of hernia repair in this study?
 - This question is too -- so we wrote 28 pages there to address this question, and the editor just informed us that we have to shorten it by one-third so next week we have to cut it down. If you want -- if you ask me whether I recommended a
- specific mesh, so the question is, is there 20 one ideal mesh, no, there isn't one ideal
- 21 mesh. You have to look to the various
- 22 indications, the various conditions of the
- 23
- patients, various problems there. But there are some -- I presented there some evidence 24

- 13 14 confirmation, but now we added the recently 15 published literature of the past two, three

10

11

12

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- always a confirmation of what we have been working on for the past 20 years.
- Q. So is it fair to say that this review article that you're working on right now is a collection of work that you've already done and others have done and is nothing new for the literature?

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Is there anything new in this

article about hernia surgery and reducing complications from hernia surgery that you

haven't written before?

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. No, that is -- that is not

1

2

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

correct.

First of all, it is new because
when we made such a review in 2005 and in

- 2013, you have other evidence, you have other
 studies that are included that are discussed
- there. There are -- I didn't make a review
- 8 where I just copy, paste the abstract
- ⁹ conclusion there and made it there. But you
 - have to make a synthesis of all of these
- thoughts, you have to build up a structure to
 present it to the reader in a reasonable form
- and, therefore, a review is not a really
- study coming from the lab, but it includes a lot of intellectual work. So it is new.
 - Q. And you mentioned the article in 2005. Are you referring to your review article that was talked about at length in your last deposition, the review article you did with Professor Klosterhalfen on the light-weight, large pore concept of mesh construction? Is that what you're talking about?
 - A. Just some minutes ago I

O. Is that correct?

A. Yes, that's correct. And just

Page 44

Page 45

to explain you, this is the question that is under discussion since one, two years at

- surgical conferences very intensely, whether
- to -- it is necessary to make this fascia
- closure. This is a question in the field of
- laparoscopic hernia repair, only in this
 field. In open surgery, there is no question
- about it. You have to make the closure of
- the fascia. But for the laparoscopic incisional hernia repair it is a -- stil
- incisional hernia repair, it is a -- still a
 question and laparoscopic incisional hernia
 repair always means use of mesh.
 - Q. But does the type of mesh that you use bear on the answer to that question?
 - A. It is not specifically differentiated what type of mesh you are using.
 - Q. Okay. The next study you're working on is the study with knockout mice where you're studying genetics in the activation of a cellular response with a camera?

Page 43

referred to the British Journal of Surgery.

- Q. I'm sorry. Okay.
- A. British Journal of Surgery,
- there I was invited to give a comment on the
- ⁵ medical devices and just three, four pages
- 6 and there -- yeah, therefore, the first time
- 7 I outlined that we need some further studies,
- ⁸ additional studies to understand this problem
- ⁹ to get -- we need some other data.
 - Q. Okay. Is the study where you study whether -- strike that.

Is the study where you analyzed whether it's necessary to make the closure of a fascia in a certain hernia procedure, is that a pure surgical analysis? Or does that involve mesh issues?

- A. I didn't get --
- Q. Okay. Let me start over again.
- ¹⁹ I'm reading from my notes to try to remember
- what you described one of these studies to be. And I think one of your studies is
- whether it was necessary to make a closure of
 - the fascia in a certain hernia surgery.
 - A. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. And as I understood your description, the use of this specific strain of mice allows you to analyze the

inflammatory response associated with certain meshes by just solely using a camera; is that

⁷ correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Is this the first time you've used this type of analysis in mice with mesh?
- A. I think this is the first time in the world that someone is using these type of mice for the analysis of the local inflammatory foreign body reaction.
- Q. Okay. And how many mice were involved?
- A. Overall, I think that we have three different strains of mice. We have -- overall, we have five different materials. You have several time points. 100, 120.
- Q. How many different kinds of mesh were used with the mice?
 - A. We had four different meshes.

Page 46 Page 48 1 And what four different meshes A. No, it was control collagen, it Q. 2 did you test? was coating 1, coating 2, polypropylene and 3 3 PVDF. A. As a control for a material 4 Q. I see. Thank you. where we know that we have a significant 5 A. So five, I think. intense inflammatory reaction, we used 6 6 And did you conduct the study polypropylene as a --O. 7 Any specific polypropylene? with the knockout mice that you're describing Q. 8 8 It is a polypropylene -- all 9 9 these meshes have been provided by the FEG Not really. I have to correct. 10 10 here. It is not a knock out because not a gene is 11 11 knocked out. It is a transgenic mice because Okay. Q. 12 12 They are partner in this they got additionally some genes which makes A. 13 project, official partner in this project. 13 this luminescence. 14 14 Q. Okay. Do you have a short name that 15 15 So they provided this you give to this study that I can use? Is it A. 16 16 polypropylene structure for us to see -- or the genetic study? 17 because there it is more likely to see some 17 It is luminescence. What we 18 inflammation. We know this and, therefore, 18 are measuring is luminescence. 19 we wanted to check whether this is -- whether 19 So it's the luminescence study? Q. 20 we can see a sufficient signal there, that 20 A. Study. 21 21 was the first question. Is it possible to For this luminescence study, is O. 22 22 see a signal there and, therefore, we took a this done pursuant to a grant from FEG? 23 23 No. It's done by grant, as I material where we know that we have an intense inflammatory reaction and that was told you, from North Rhine-Westphalia and the Page 47 Page 49 polypropylene. European Union. 2 2 But FEG supplied the materials? For comparison, we immediate --Q. 3 or in the beginning we -- that was the first 3 A. Yes. We -- yeah. 4 Does FEG provide any financial 4 step in this project was to use O. 5 polypropylene. Then we have to look whether assistance to the study? 6 6 No. They -- I have to pay them a signal occurs after one day, three days, 7 seven days, 11 days because we didn't know for providing these materials and for when the maximum signal occurs. That was the providing this coating. 8 9 first step to look what happens. Q. Okay. 10 10 Next is when we have identified A. And the people from North 11 that there is a signal, where is the maximum 11 Rhine-Westphalia which makes the organization 12 of the signal that we compared it to PVDF, of this grant they asked me why this is 13 13 necessary and whether I ask other whether there is a difference of the 14 14 intensity of the luminescence in all strains, manufacturers if they can provide the 15 15 in which strains, at what day and so. And material cheaper, and I had to tell them 16 16 there aren't any other manufacturer that are the subsequent step of this project was that 17 17 we wanted to reduce the inflammatory reaction able to make a coating of these materials. 18 18 by coating and, therefore, we made a coating Q. Why did you choose to study 19 19 of the PVDF mesh with a collagen spacer and PVDF? 20 20 two drugs, one is a spironolactone and the A. Since 1998, 1998, we are 21 other was a steroid. 21 convinced -- we are working -- we are 22 22 There's three meshes. convinced that PVDF is a superior material, 23 23 Is there a fourth mesh that you and since 1998, I didn't saw any literature

24

tested?

convincing me from a -- or bringing me to

another opinion to this and, therefore, we still are convinced that PVDF is the best and we want to work with the best material.

- Q. And are you compensated in any way for the work that you're doing on the luminescence study in addition to the compensation you receive at the university?
 - A. No.

- Q. The last study I think you mentioned dealt with the German registry of meshes, and you're trying to analyze the impact of mesh materials and their complications by data in the registry; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Is that study underway, completed? What stage are you?
 - A. We're at the beginning.
 - Q. Okay.

A. Professor Köckerling asked me whether it is possible to do so because he knows the classification and then to go further on to combine this one and, therefore, he asked me and I provided some

specify what you're thinking as --

Q. Some template of information that comes with each hernia or mesh implant so that the registry can use the data to analyze the issues that you've described. Did you see a need for that, a uniform identity card or some sort of descriptive information at the time of the implant so that you can make a meaningful analysis of the data at a later point?

Page 52

Page 53

A. We had -- we're in the process of discussing all of this. We had a lot of discussions about it just to give the option to identify the mesh that has been implanted and to place this ID card in the document, in the records of the patient. It is not -- it will not help a lot. It will make -- it is already done in Germany you can -- if you take the records of any patient, you can see what implant has been used in this specific patient.

This will not help to learn what are the complications.

Q. What do you need to add to the

Page 51

steps how to make this analysis and last week we was in South Africa on a conference, but I'm waiting daily on his phone so that we can sit together and discuss further steps.

- Q. And the next steps will be to design the methodology for the appropriate analysis of this data?
- A. It is -- the main step is that we have to learn -- I see severe or I see some difficulties to make an adequate analysis of the data of a registry. It's not so simple. We have to make some significant input in this. We have to work on it to find the best way to analyze these data in principle and at the occasion of these specific registry in Germany for hernia.
- Q. Doctor, I've read some of your work and the work of others on the idea of a registry and one of the things that I've seen is the need for some sort of identification or data card.

Is that something that you advocate for registries?

A. I would prefer if you can

registry to help you learn about the complications?

A. First of all, I -- yeah, first of all, you have to be very careful or you have to define very carefully what type of a parameters you're going to use to reflect the specific condition of the surgeon, of the patient and of the material -- of the mesh material there. So you have to define a certain number of parameters and one of the questions, for example, is whether you include in these parameters the weight of a mesh material, is it a worthful, helpful information, yes or not. Or do you have to use some coating, say, light-weight below a range of 35 gram or something like this. There are several attempts to do so.

So for the organization of the registry, you have to work on the parameters, you have to work on the follow-up, you have to provide the opportunity that when after three, four years an infection occurs that it is -- this information is included into the registry as well. So all this together has

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 54 been there and then you need some

- ² mathematics, good methods to define the best
- ³ interpretation of this data.

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Is the goal of your work with -- is it Professor Köckerling did I write that down write?
 - A. Professor Köckerling.
- Q. Is the goal of your work with
 Professor Köckerling to identify the best way
 to gather the company data so that you can
 make the necessary analysis to learn about
 the complications associated with mesh?
 - A. To go a step further on this process to learn from registries and what we already may learn from our present data that we have. He has already 100,000 records from patients with hernia and maybe we can learn something from this. But I'm sure as science usually, we have to work on it.
- Q. Okay.
- A. And it will take some time.
- Q. And is the goal of this study
 to figure out the best way to make use of the
 data that you have and to make better use of

- Page 56 measurement of the luminescence there, and in
- ² collaboration we were able to use their mice
- and their technology to make this light analysis there.
 - Q. Who designed the luminescence study?
- A. Mainly it is my -- it has been
 my idea to do this and to use these mice and
 then for the -- all of the details, we all
 together discussed it with all of the
 participants there.

 And the coauthors who supplied
 - Q. And the coauthors who supplied the mice, what are their names?
 - A. Wruck, W-r-u-c-k, is one, and the other one is Pufe, P-u-f-e.
 - Q. And what are their specialties or disciplines?
 - A. Professor Pufe is head of an Institute for Anatomy and Dr. Wruck is one of his coworkers in this institute.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And they are dealing with molecule or changes in cells.
 - Q. As you probably know, earlier

Page 55

the data you develop in the future?

- A. I think it -- I agree to this.
- ³ It's a dynamic process.
- MR. THOMAS: Let's take a break.

6 MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

(Off the record at 10:10 a.m.)

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Doctor, who is working with you on the luminescence study?
- A. On the luminescence study, it was a Dr. Fet. She was the scientist, and it was a technical assistant who made some additional histological stainings because afterwards when the study finished, we took some tissue samples to correlate then to what
- we have seen with the luminescence signal.
- We have some help from the animal clinics
- there to take care of this. And I have to add as coauthor, there has been two guys
- add, as coauthor, there has been two guys
 from the Institute for Anatomy, they
- provided -- they brought these three
- transgenic mice to Aachen and, therefore,
 - this was their technology to make this

this week, I had the opportunity to talk to

Dr. Klosterhalfen for a couple of days.

A. Yes.

⁴ Q. Have you spoken to

Dr. Klosterhalfen about his deposition?

A. No.

Q. During his deposition,

Dr. Klosterhalfen said that the FEG is working on a new mesh for the use in the pelvic floor.

Are you familiar with the FEG's project for a new mesh in the pelvic floor?

- A. I am familiar with some idea, some projects they are working on and -- but not in the details of these projects. I am basically informed about the projects we still have together so.
- Q. What projects do you still have with FEG today?
- A. We have mainly, apart from this project with the luminescence mice where we did not apply for this grant together, but we have two projects that we have been working on that are granted. The first project is

Page 57

5

6

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- dealing with -- to allow the mesh to be
- 2 detected in the magnetic resonance imaging,
- 3 the MRI, and, therefore, we included some
- feral particle into -- during the extrusion
- 5 of the filament, that it's necessary to do it
- 6 during the extrusion of the filaments, these
 - particles are added there and then these
- 8 fibers are used for mesh construction and
- 9 then these meshes can be visualized in
- 10 human -- in living -- in living -- not

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11 things. In living animals or humans. In 12 vivo so you can see it.

This visualization, there are a couple of following projects looking to what happens to the meshes after implantation by use of this one. This is one.

- What's the benefit of being able to see the mesh in vivo with these iron particles?
 - A. It is enormous.

21 So, first of all, if you

22 want -- some of these advantages are if you 23

have a complication, if you have a

24 recurrence, if you have an infection or so, Page 60

helps for the diagnosis of complication and for the development of meshes, it will be very, very, very helpful. This is one.

- Q. What's the other project you're working on?
- A. The other project is together with the institute for textile technique and with the radiology and with the animal clinic it is the question whether it is possible to use elastic polymers for the use of constructions. Mainly if you have the problem that it is not tension free, there is tension, there are some forces, you need some elasticity, some stretchability. We -- yeah. We can discuss all of these problems in detail, I'm sure we will do so.

But the question is whether it is possible to use an elastic polymer for the construction of a mesh and to get first ideas what happens when using them in tissue.

- In the projects that you've worked on the last year for FEG, who is the primary contact that you've had there?
 - There is always -- for both of A.

Page 59

- up to now you're forced to make a surgical
- 2 revision because there is no good way to
- 3 visualize the mesh, what happens to the mesh.
- 4 So in case of any complications, you can
- verify whether there's a correct position of 5
- 6 the mesh device.

Second is if you made an implantation there and you have the feeling that it's okay during the operation, we usually have the feeling that it is okay when we left the OR, and then you make an MRI two days later, sometimes you will be surprised what happens to the device there. Where the configuration changes, we are -- meanwhile we are even able to identify the pores.

So it helps a lot to get an impression what happens to the device, to the three-dimensional configuration of the device after use in humans. Even you can objectify shrinkage, degree, extents of shrinkage, extent of migration after some time.

So we are convinced that it is a very important step to, first of all, for the quality control of our surgery, that it

Page 61 these projects, there are mainly three, four

people that are working in the FEG mainly on

these projects. It is a very small company

4 so it doesn't have the --

> Q. Who are they?

A. Dr. Mullen always,

Dr. Obolensky, these are the two that are frequently involved, and there is Mr. Glazer, one again, and -- yeah.

In the last year, have you had occasion to meet at FEG along with Dr. Klosterhalfen to discuss FEG projects?

In the last year, it was Klosterhalfen at the FEG together. I really cannot remember. The year before we have several meetings there, I know, at the occasion of this video film, but last year it is not -- Klosterhalfen is so busy in his institute and I'm busy with my university, I cannot remember that we met there.

Okay. Did you talk on a conference call with the people at FEG and yourself and Professor Klosterhalfen about issues that you were analyzing for FEG?

Page 62 Page 64 1 MR. ANDERSON: In the last Klosterhalfen told us this weekend about a 2 2 year? video that he uses in his presentations. 3 3 Did you assist in the MR. THOMAS: In the last year. 4 MR. ANDERSON: Thanks. preparation of that video? 5 5 THE WITNESS: Do you mean Yes. Yes. It was -- it is the 6 that -- sorry, maybe I didn't 6 idea mainly behind -- I was just contacted 7 understand. by, I think, Dr. Obolensky that they wanted 8 to visualize the slides that Bernd created. Was it -- did I meet with 9 Klosterhalfen or the FEG or did you You know it, it was used by Ethicon as well, 10 think of meetings where we all are 10 where the macrophages are sitting to the 11 together at one place? 11 cells and what happens during the foreign 12 12 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** body reaction. 13 Q. Either together in one place or 13 So he made -- he prepared, I on the telephone together. Either one. 14 14 think, about 2000 or even maybe before he 15 With Klosterhalfen sometimes 15 prepared these slides with PowerPoint to 16 16 depending on the data we got from the illustrate what happens during the start of 17 17 projects, at least every two weeks, once a the foreign body reaction. And a lot of 18 month we had some phone calls, some exchange, 18 people -- it was difficult to explain it to 19 sometimes I'm driving to Düren. It is -- no, surgeons and, therefore, the idea was to make 20 he never came to the university so I usually 20 a short film there, and there was a small 21 go over there to him or at his home place 21 company here in Aachen that offered this 22 here and there we discussed it or during the 22 opportunity and this was the first time to 23 23 meetings. So he took me to the -- so he try to realize to make a film showing the brought me to the conference to Professor 24 foreign body reaction. And the film people Page 63 Page 65 Yaeger so we had two hours time to discuss doesn't know what is a cell. 2 2 all of this. They looked at Google images, 3 With the FEG, I think -- or if but it was very difficult for them to get the 4 I estimate, there are two times a week -information what happens there. 5 yeah, two times a week we have some short Dr. Obolensky is an engineer. The question 6 communications. We regularly -- in these what is a size of the macrophage, what is the 7 projects, we have learned that it is size of the morphology of a fiber blast, it's 8 necessary to have regular meetings to make too much for them, and, therefore, he needs protocol, you have to define some problems to -- that we have to define some 10 10 and you have to define the question that have measurements and that we have to check 11 11 to be addressed. So there are regularly whether this was correct what was shown and, 12 12 meetings once a month for each of these therefore, we had some meetings looking to 13 projects. 13 ten seconds of a video sitting there and 14 14 Q. Okay. discussing one hour for ten seconds. It 15 15 A. Usually at the university. was -- yeah, but it was -- afterwards we were 16 And so you have meetings once a 16 very satisfied because then we can use some O. month and you talk to them about twice a week 17 17 parts just to illustrate what happens there. 18 about the project on the --18 O. Do you use the video in your 19 19 presentations? Depends. Sometimes four weeks 20 20 not, but some -- yeah. Sometimes -- when I A. 21 21 was asked to give a presentation to explain Q. Okay. 22 22 A. Usually it's -- there is foreign body reaction, it is very helpful to something that has to be discussed. 23 23 take a sequence of one minute there and to

Okay. Now, Professor

24

explain it to the people. It is very helpful

Page 66 Page 68 1 for them. working on the film? 2 2 Q. What contribution did you have Maybe it was five sessions. 3 3 to the film other than the meetings that Okay. You've had a busy year. Q. you've described? 4 Have you done anything else 5 professionally of significance -- I'm just Contributions? When are you A. trying to think of big things that you've 6 thinking of? 7 done in the last year, other than the things O. Are you part of the film? 8 that you've described to me in the last I'm -- there are only cells on Α. 9 9 the film so -little bit of time. 10 10 O. I've not seen it. A. I'm in the review board for the 11 11 university to -- we have an internal grant I'm not part of the film. A. 12 12 Not your cells? system where we got a lot of applications, Q. 13 A. No. It's all -- it's all in 13 and I'm in the group that has to review these 14 14 animation. There is no real cell there. grants. So this takes some time. I have to 15 15 Okay. Who prepared the pass exam, so when finishing the medical Q. 16 16 studies, you have to pass a final exam there, animation? 17 17 and I have a group or I'm leader of a group Α. It's a company here. A small 18 two guys here trying to survive in this 18 for making this exam. This is two times a 19 19 society here. year I have to do so. This takes some time. 20 20 So just trying to understand, Q. Okay. Q. 21 21 you provided information to this company, I have to review a lot. It A. 22 they worked on this animation? takes time to review other -- for other 23 23 A. Yes. journals and so... 24 24 Q. And then you and Professor Q. How much time did you spend Page 67 Page 69 Klosterhalfen reviewed what they did and preparing your report in this case? 2 2 changed it as necessary so it was a fair MR. ANDERSON: Would you want 3 preparation of what you're trying to show. 3 this? 4 Is that fair? 4 MR. THOMAS: That would be 5 That -- I think that is 5 A. great. 6 6 correct, yeah. (Klinge Exhibit 1 marked for 7 7 identification.) Q. All right. So we sometimes, just as an 8 8 MR. ANDERSON: And that's A. 9 example, we have to enhance the size of some just I'm handing you his invoice 10 10 cell and put it lower than and to say that that's up through the end of October 11 11 the vessels are coming after day seven and 31. 12 not after -- so all of these details are 12 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 13 coming from both of us. 13 Q. Okay. Doctor, I've handed you 14 14 what's been marked as Deposition Exhibit Q. And this is an animation, I Number 1, Klinge Deposition Exhibit Number 1. 15 think you said? 16 If animation is a correct word. 16 Mr. Anderson just gave this to me. This is 17 an invoice that you sent to Mr. Anderson for 17 It's just computer work. 18 I've not seen it. That's why I the time that you've spent in this matter; is 19 don't know. I didn't know if you were in it 19 that correct? 20 20 and holding things up or what. So that --A. Yeah. 21 Yeah, but I think it's free. 21 Now, does Exhibit Number 1 A. 22 22 Everyone can look at it. cover the time that you've spent on this 23 matter learning the information that you've 23 I guess we'll see. O. 24 How much time did you spend been provided and preparing your expert

	Page 70		Page 72
1	report?	1	should charge more." That was the
2	A. Yes.	2	just teasing with you.
3	Q. Does it include any time	3	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
4	preparing for this deposition?	4	Q. Is your salary at the
5	MR. ANDERSON: It just goes	5	university the same as it was last year?
6	through October.	6	A. We have a small increase.
7	THE WITNESS: So we met here	7	Annually we have a small increase to this,
8	Tuesday, Wednesday so this is not	8	but roughly, it's in this range.
9	included in that.	9	Q. Okay. Doctor, as a part of the
10	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	10	deposition in this case you were asked to
11	Q. Okay. And so the time that	11	collect a bunch of documents.
12	you've spent in November has been the time	12	What did you do to collect the
13	that you've spent with counsel preparing for	13	documents that you have concerning your
14	your deposition?	14	relationship with Ethicon?
15	•	15	<u>*</u>
16		16	MR. ANDERSON: And, Counsel, if
17	Q. And you've met on Tuesday and	17	I could just help address that with
18	Wednesday to prepare for the deposition?	18	you. So very similar to the way
	A. Yes.		that in response to the request
19	Q. How much time did you spend on	19	that you had served and then with the
20	Tuesday?	20	multiple hearings with Judge Eifert,
21	MR. ANDERSON: You need to see		as we did with Dr. Klosterhalfen, we
22	this over there?	22	asked Dr. Klinge to try to go back and
23	MR. THOMAS: Pardon me?	23	recover any documents that he had
24	MR. ANDERSON: Do you need to	24	going back through his relationship
	Page 71		Page 73
1	Page 71 look at this	1	
1 2	look at this	1 2	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he
	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just		with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to
2	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it.	2	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he
2 3	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem.	2 3	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced
2 3 4	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours.	2 3 4	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in
2 3 4 5	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	2 3 4 5	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard.
2 3 4 5 6	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day?	2 3 4 5 6	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to	2 3 4 5 6 7	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going to change his bill after today. Maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to a copy center hoping that realizing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going to change his bill after today. Maybe he'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to a copy center hoping that realizing you wouldn't have a chance to look at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going to change his bill after today. Maybe he'll THE WITNESS: It's a good idea.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to a copy center hoping that realizing you wouldn't have a chance to look at them, but at least to say in an effort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going to change his bill after today. Maybe he'll THE WITNESS: It's a good idea. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to a copy center hoping that realizing you wouldn't have a chance to look at them, but at least to say in an effort to make sure we unturned every stone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going to change his bill after today. Maybe he'll THE WITNESS: It's a good idea. Thank you. MR. ANDERSON: I think he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to a copy center hoping that realizing you wouldn't have a chance to look at them, but at least to say in an effort to make sure we unturned every stone just like your team is trying to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going to change his bill after today. Maybe he'll THE WITNESS: It's a good idea. Thank you. MR. ANDERSON: I think he interpreted it, "Are you going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to a copy center hoping that realizing you wouldn't have a chance to look at them, but at least to say in an effort to make sure we unturned every stone just like your team is trying to do over here with all of the hernia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going to change his bill after today. Maybe he'll THE WITNESS: It's a good idea. Thank you. MR. ANDERSON: I think he interpreted it, "Are you going to continue to bill at \$500 an hour," and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to a copy center hoping that realizing you wouldn't have a chance to look at them, but at least to say in an effort to make sure we unturned every stone just like your team is trying to do over here with all of the hernia productions that have been rolled out,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	look at this MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I just wanted him to have it. MR. ANDERSON: No problem. THE WITNESS: About six hours. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Each day? A. Each day. Q. Okay. And you continue to charge at the rate of \$500 an hour? A. Yes. Q. Why do you laugh? A. Yeah, it's an interesting question, yeah. I have to think about it. Q. I don't understand. MR. ANDERSON: Maybe he's going to change his bill after today. Maybe he'll THE WITNESS: It's a good idea. Thank you. MR. ANDERSON: I think he interpreted it, "Are you going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	with Ethicon into the '90s. And so he made a reasonable, diligent effort to try to get as many of those as he could, and as you know, we produced thousands of pages of documents in that regard. In preparing him in talking about hard copies and things like that, he said there may be old hard copies somewhere at the hospital. So that was new information, he hadn't thought of it, so Mr. Thornburg went with him to the hospital and, in fact, there are some old paper copies as they dug through his information. We got those yesterday. We sent them to a copy center hoping that realizing you wouldn't have a chance to look at them, but at least to say in an effort to make sure we unturned every stone just like your team is trying to do over here with all of the hernia

Page 74 1 to capture everything for you. of days? 2 Unlike maybe a Kinko's what We started to work in this 3 we're used to, they said it would take field in 1994 and this was a time without 4 until Saturday to get these documents internet. I was one of the first who has a 5 produced for us. So they're in computer so I had these big floppies from IBM 6 and so no one is able to read them any German. They go back to, like, VYPRO 7 and things like that. But certainly longer. 8 8 we will -- when we get those copies, But at that time, we had some 9 paperwork. Then it started with e-mail, I we're going to send them to you. If 10 think, in 1998 or so that we started to make in looking at those -- I mean, I don't 11 think that they're going to provide 11 these e-mails, sometimes a copy/paste there, 12 12 and we have to switch all of these e-mail you with some significant level of new 13 information beyond the -- it's my 13 accounts a little bit. 14 14 impression -- that they won't provide So all of these documents are 15 15 you with any significant level of some incomplete printouts of this time period 16 in this field. Something has to do with the information beyond all of the things 17 17 that he's produced thus far. VYPRO -- international VYPRO study where we 18 However, if in looking at 18 produced some hard copies. But all in all, 19 these are documents where I'm sure that we those, you determine that there's, 20 20 have shared them with the people from "Boy, those were -- there's a couple 21 21 Norderstedt, Ethicon Norderstedt. I'm -- I of burning issues in there and had I 22 22 had them before, I certainly would don't expect that there is any page there 23 have liked to have asked him some 23 that is not known by Dr. Engel, Dr. Holste 24 24 questions on it," then you and I can and these guys. Page 75 1 1 speak about that once you've had an 2 opportunity to look at them and we'll 3 come up with a fair and reasonable did you look for documents? 4 4 approach to allow you to do what you A. 5 need to as you see fit, if, in fact, 5 my computer. 6 6 there is any more information. Q. 7 7 long have you had it? Is that fair? 8 8 The last critical crash I had MR. THOMAS: Sure. 9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

MR. THOMAS: I appreciate it.

MR. ANDERSON: Sure.

OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. What is the volume of additional documents that you found in the last couple of days?
 - The value? Α.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

O. Well, how many?

MR. ANDERSON: I would say hundreds of pages, not thousands.

Maybe a few hundred in looking at maybe this. Maybe that.

OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. What kinds of documents did you retrieve from the hospital in the last couple

- Okay. Doctor, when you conducted your search the first time, where
- Mainly, I have been looking on
- How old is your computer? How
- in 2000 where I lost some data and the next disappointing experience was when we have to -- when I had to change from Netscape to Outlook. So the e-mails until 2005, I lost several of them. I could recover some of them, and for some times they are doubled or three times on the computer because I never worked on it to make a chronic {sic} of the e-mails at that time.
 - So do you feel like you captured all of the documents that you had on your computer back to 2005?
 - Even longer. There are some documents, some manuscripts, some e-mails, some attachments that are from the time period before.

Page 76

Page 77

Page 78 Page 80 1 Q. I understand that. 1 on it and to find it and you get it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 2 2 A. Some sheets ---3 3 I guess I'm trying to break it Q. Okay. O. 4 down. I thought I understood you to say the A. And I'm sure you will be happy last computer problem you had was 2005. 5 5 with it. 6 So are you pretty confident 6 O. Somebody will. 7 that what you gathered from 2005 forward is a Doctor, I have tried to 8 complete set of the documents that you have? identify the documents that have been 9 I was asked to send you all produced to me in German. I need your help. 10 10 documents for the time period when I was Was it a sharp decision for the 11 consulting or were in direct --11 US to talk -- that your language is English? 12 O. I understand that. And I think 12 I've learned the history. 13 you've told me --13 Q. You know what, that's one 14 decision I have no responsibility for. It's A. You always --14 15 For the period 2000 to 2005, 15 not my fault. you've done your best, from the period of 16 16 Yes. Of course, but looking A. 17 2000 to 2005, there may be some documents 17 backwards it may be a disadvantage. 18 that were lost in the changeover of your 18 Q. Some people may think so. 19 19 computer system, is that fair? For you. For your specific A. 20 20 condition in this specific location. I cannot exclude that some of 21 21 the e-mails, mainly e-mails, are lost. Q. Today. 22 22 Q. And prior to 2000, there were A. Today. That's correct. other documents that you had a computer 23 23 Wait until next week. Q. 24 problem in 2000, you may have lost some Please mark that we are in Α. Page 79 Page 81 agreement, in full agreement. documents with your computer problems in 2 2 Doctor, I agree with you more 2000. 3 Is that fair? 3 than you know. 4 4 (Klinge Exhibit 2 marked for Yes. A. 5 Okay. So you made your best 5 identification.) 6 efforts to recover whatever computer 6 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 7 7 documents that you have stored on your Q. Let me show you Deposition current computer, correct? Exhibit Number 2. 8 8 9 Yes. 9 What is Deposition Exhibit Α. 10 You've already described or 10 Number 2? 11 11 Mr. Anderson has described efforts that you A. That is a draft of a contract 12 made to find hard copies that exist at the between Ethicon Hamburg and the university, 13 13 in particular, the surgical department there. hospital. 14 14 Do you have any hard copies in It is not the first one. It is a -- one of 15 your possession either in your home or your 15 the subsequent proposals, contracts there. 16 office that you haven't produced in this 16 This is the official contract between Ethicon 17 17 litigation? and the surgical department, and there is 18 18 No. They remind me to have mentioned what has to be done by the surgical 19 another look to all of these documents. They 19 department. 20 20 are in my trunk. So on page 2, for example, you 21 21 have to optimize mesh structures as hernia MR. ANDERSON: Cabinet. 22 THE WITNESS: Cabinet. They're 22 device on basis of large pore meshes. So

23

very back with the dust of ten

centimeters on top, and so we worked

23

24

we're asked to do so. We should do -- use

long-term absorbable materials for the use in

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 82 pediatric surgery. We should look at mesh 2 materials for antimicrobial effectivity there, and we should look or develop meshes for temporary closures of the abdominal wall. 5 And there has been to each of these points, 6 there has been some specifically proposals, 7 projects by some of my colleagues. And you 8 see later on at A, at B, there are some more 9 details to these projects, but during the following years, this is -- try to realize 10 11 all of these working projects. 12 And there are others 13 conditions. The costs. So the money that 14 Ethicon prepared there. It's listed on here. 15 It's 100,021 -- 120,000 Euros per year for

fixed what happens to patents and so on.

That is standard -- a routine,
not a standard, maybe I've learned that there
is a difference in the meaning. It's a
routine form that has been arranged in
agreement with the administration at our
university.

confidence -- a level of confidence and it is

three years, and it is arranged a

Page 83

Q. You told me that Exhibit 2 was a draft contract.

When was this draft discussed, if you remember?

- A. It should be 2001 to 2002.
- Q. Was there ultimately a final contract signed by the parties that people agreed to?
 - A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If you look to my reports, somewhere there is listed of the projects with external financiation, and there I have listed in a table the project that have been done with Ethicon. And there you can find when this project started and, therefore, there will be a definitive contract. One -- one will be at the administration, one is in the hands of Professor Schumpelick and one will be at Hamburg Norderstedt.

- Q. Do you have a final --
- A. No.
- Q. Let me finish my question.

Do you have a final copy of the draft contract which is Exhibit 2? Do you

have a final signed copy of that agreement?

- A. A final of the draft copy?
- Q. That's a bad question.
- A. Yeah.

Q. Do you have the document that resulted from Exhibit 2 that the parties ultimately signed as a contract?

- A. No.
- Q. Thank you.

During the time that you had a research relationship with Ethicon, was it your understanding that Ethicon and the clinic had a contract that governed their relationship?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I know from the first contract in '94, that there is -- that there has to be and that there is an official contract when industry wants to make research with the university and this has to be officially checked by the administration there and, yeah, and I

Page 85

Page 84

- know that this happens and it has to be signed by the head of the
 - university and it has to be signed by
 - the head of the surgical department and it has to be signed by Ethicon.
 - **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:**
 - O. Okav.
 - A. Not by me.
 - Q. But whatever those contracts are, you don't have copies of the signed versions; is that true?
 - A. I don't have copies of it, but I know -- I have seen at that time the final version that they exist, yes.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Because I had to send them and to bring them.
 - Q. You had to bring them?
 - A. I brought them to the administration and to Schumpelick and had to take care that they are signed and --
 - Q. If you wanted to get a copy of that signed contract at the hospital, do you know where to go get it?

Page 86 Page 88 1 I know where to ask for it. I **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 2 have some doubts because the head of the Q. Doctor, I've handed you now 3 surgical department changed so a lot of these what's been marked as Deposition Exhibit older documents will be somewhere at Number 4. 5 someplace. Probably I would first ask the 5 What is Exhibit 4? 6 people in Hamburg Norderstedt. 6 A. It is an agreement for 7 confidence that we -- yeah. To be confident (Klinge Exhibit 3 marked for about -- yeah. Confidence agreement, I think 8 identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 9 9 that is --10 10 Q. Let me hand you now what I've Q. Confidentiality agreement? 11 marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 3. 11 Yeah. A. 12 12 What is Deposition Exhibit Okay. And this obviously was a Q. 13 Number 3? 13 draft document. 14 14 A. If you have a project with Was this document ever industry and you have this research contract, 15 15 finalized and signed? 16 then it is clear that the industry or that 16 A. I'm sure it will because the 17 the supporter or whatever it is, that they 17 people in Hamburg, they are very careful to 18 provide some resources, some money for these 18 have this back in a signed version. 19 19 studies and the administration needs to place Q. When -- what's the date when 20 the money somewhere and, therefore, it is --20 this negotiation of this draft document was 21 21 they need an announcement of this third-party going on? 22 22 project and this is the announcement of a A. I think this is just 23 specific study we did with Ethicon that is 23 restricted -- I have -- I have got an 24 the closure of laparotomy with a panicle invitation to go to Hamburg in a working Page 87 Page 89 suture. It has been a prospective randomized group there, and I was asked to present the trial that we performed for Ethicon 2 results of our studies there and discussed 3 Norderstedt in the time from 1997 to 1999 and with some people from R&D, and I remember so we -- there is, of course, some of this there has been someone from the US as well research contract and this additionally is and I -- I remember -- if I look to the last 6 the announcement for the administration. page, it is on the occasion of a meeting for 7 Q. Okay. So is the money in developing of new meshes there. Just for Exhibit 3 for this specific project in this meeting. This is just restricted a 8 9 addition to the money that Ethicon paid to confidentiality for this specific meeting 10 the clinic? 10 there. 11 11 A. Yes. Q. Okay. 12 Thank you. 12 But I don't remember any more Q. A. 13 So at this time, Ethicon 13 details. 14 14 provided money to the clinic on a yearly O. Do you know when? 15 basis in a flat sum and then paid additional 15 Maybe around 2000. A. sums for work that was outside the contract; 16 Is that all that you remember 16 Q. 17 17 is that right? Is that correct? about this document? 18 18 Outside of this contract, but A. Yes, we -- there are so many it is always covered by some contract. But 19 19 documents about confidentiality agreement there has been some additional contracts, 20 20 and --21 some overlapping periods of some different 21 I understand. And I'm doing 22 22 projects. the best I can, too, because I have no idea

23

24

told me.

identification.)

(Klinge Exhibit 4 marked for

23

24

what I'm looking at. This is my bad day, you

Page 90 Page 92 1 No. No. No. Definitely not. it word for word for me, but just tell me 2 2 (Klinge Exhibit 5 marked for what each category speaks to, please. 3 3 identification.) A. First of all, here -- so what 4 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: may be interesting for you, they refer to 5 a -- to ongoing research activities with the Q. Doctor, let me show you what I've marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 5. technical university starting in July 1995 6 7 What is Deposition Exhibit for them, that is the first sentence. 8 8 Number 5? Second sentence is they mention 9 9 A. That is a contract between that they already had -- were the owner of 10 10 all relevant patents in this -- in this Ethicon and me. There has been in the time 11 period of 2000. 2000, there has been a 11 field. 12 12 discussion whether it is possible or And we're referring now O. 13 necessary that me and, in particularly, 13 specifically to VYPRO? 14 14 Professor Klosterhalfen get some further They don't -- they just said 15 they have all rights at the invention related support to keep on our working. And then all 15 16 of the money went directly to the university to this topic. 17 17 or to the surgical department or whatever. Okay. That's fine. Q. 18 So in 2000, there was a discussion that we 18 There's no specifically that 19 there is a VYPRO patent or not. would like to participate a little bit when 20 20 The next is that both partners we are asked to spend so much effort and time 21 in these developments, and we got a draft have worked on it and has introduced some 22 from Ethicon Norderstedt that they offered to efforts to this. And the agreement they said 23 23 that -- the partner agreed that they will us for the time period of five years 24 royalties of .5 percent. And they offered contribute some knowledge for the development Page 91 Page 93 in these -- in these projects. That the this with some additional remarks about the 2 partners -- if Ethicon want to know patents and some restrictions of what is 3 allowed and what is not allowed and so this something, that the partner said, I will give you the answer to this and will help you to 4 was -- this is the draft of it. 5 Do you know whether this is the 5 answer it. Q. document that you finally signed? 6 6 And there is in point 1.3, 7 I have no doubt that this is there is a sentence that the partner, that A. makes me, that we never will claim afterwards 8 the one. 9 whether my name should have been included in Q. It is or it is not? 10 A. Otherwise there should be draft 10 the patent. 11 11 Q. I see. on it. 12 12 A. This is a very tricky I don't have a signed copy of Q. 13 13 situation. I'm not expert in German it. 14 international patent laws, but the fact is It is not a copy where I signed A. 15 that the VYPRO patent for Europe and for the it. 16 16 US, they included a lot of these phrases that Q. Okay. 17 17 A. So -we produced, but we are not named as an 18 18 At some point in time, do you inventor in these. And this may be O. 19 19 recall signing a document like Exhibit 5? disputable, but we agreed in this -- I'm not 20 20 Yes. Yes. sure whether the limitation of this contract A. 21 Did you retain --21 for five years means that we are not allowed O. 22 I have no doubt that this is 22 to claim this within the five years or A. 23 23 the one we signed. whether we have to accept it lifelong. So 24 24 Okay. I don't want you to read I'm not sure.

Page 94 Page 96 1 O. 1 A. Yes. There is a draft. Okay. 2 2 But this is the --O. Maybe this is it. 3 3 (Klinge Exhibit 6 marked for Does the next section --Q. 4 4 identification.) A. Compensation is €120,000 and 5 then for five years, we got royalties. So **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 6 6 this is the right of 1.5 percent. Let me show you what I've 7 7 marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 6. Q. 1.5 percent of what? 8 8 Of the internal -- the internal What is Deposition Exhibit Α. 9 costs, not without the -- so the value of the 9 Number 6? 10 10 meshes they sold in this time period. A. This is a -- so after the 11 11 first -- after this contract stopped in 2005, Okay. For all meshes? Q. 12 12 It is not specified here. It then we had some discussions how to continue 13 is not specified. So later on, I know that our collaboration, our work, and this was 14 it -- that they took the VYPRO, but they took provided in 2009. It was a draft of a as well VYPRO II and ULTRAPROTM. 15 possible contract consulting agreement, how 16 16 Okay. So you -to make the further collaboration. O. 17 17 It is not limited to VYPRO. Now, last time we were Α. 18 Q. So you had VYPRO I, VYPRO II 18 together, you testified that the reason why 19 19 and ULTRAPROTM for which you were paid you weren't interested in the contract was 20 20 because Ethicon would not allow you to work royalties? 21 21 with other manufacturers, that's what I A. Yes. 22 22 Q. Okav. recall. 23 23 A. So this was what I learned from Is that right? 24 the letters I got from Ethicon. That is -- that is one aspect A. Page 95 Page 97 1 Okay. Is that pretty much the 1 of this. 2 2 substance of this contract? Q. Okay. 3 Is there anything else of 3 A. There are several arguments and significance to you in the contract? there are always some pros and some cons, but 4 5 Yeah, I think that is -- and overall, it is just consulting. It is some money for some time period where you were 6 I'm not -- I'm not allowed to talk to anyone asked and this to the prize that you're not 7 else about this agreement. So -- but I was 8 8 allowed to do any other work there. told that Ethicon asked for this agreement 9 and, therefore, I provided it, but otherwise, O. Okay. 10 10 I would -- I don't hope that I will get in A. And I'm not interested in being 11 conflict by someone else because I open it to a tester for devices or a consulter, just being consulting. I wanted to work on it. 12 a third --13 13 This would mean when I sign You won't. We arranged that. Q. 14 14 A. Okay. Please -this, I may earn some money for some 15 15 She takes down every word, and consulting activities, but I'm limited in my Q. 16 scientific work. And there's no option to 16 she's very good. 17 17 A. That is so fine. work scientifically in some projects. That 18 18 Now, I understand from your was not included in this and that is my major 19 last deposition, I don't want to replow this 19 criticism to this. 20 20 ground, but sometime in 2005, there was a Exhibit 6 was a document that Q. 21 discussion about negotiating a new contract? 21 you produced to us. 22 22 Is that the only draft that you A. Yes. 23 Did you produce any draft 23 were able to find? Q. 24 24 contracts to me --I'm sure that in the documents

Page 98 Page 100 I sent you there are several versions of this royalties from the sale of Ethicon meshes? 2 2 It is -- such a contract was draft because there are some comments. It 3 3 has to be checked by the administration, by given only to Professor Klosterhalfen and me. the legal offices in the administration at Do you know whether Professor Schumpelick received any kind of contract? 5 the university hospital as well. So there 6 was a little bit attempt to improve it, but I know that he has some finally it was not acceptable by me. contract either or the time before. When it 8 Q. Is it your recollection in the start, I don't know, but I know that he has a 9 documents that you produced to counsel that specific contract there, and at that time, it 10 were given to us that there should be more 10 was told that it's about two percent. 11 versions than just Exhibit 6? 11 Did you know that at the time 12 12 Here you see there are some that you were negotiating this contract with A. 13 corrections. Ethicon in 2000 that Professor Schumpelick 14 14 Yeah. was receiving two percent of sales? O. 15 15 We had the knowledge. We Some comments. A. 16 16 didn't see the contract, but we had the Q. My question is really a very 17 simple one, and I don't mean to interrupt 17 knowledge that he got some royalties for all of the work, yeah. 18 you. 18 19 19 Do you think there's more than 0. Did you and Professor 20 one draft of the contract in the documents 20 Klosterhalfen negotiate these contracts 21 that you gave Mr. Anderson? 21 together? 22 A. I would expect there's some 22 A. Negotiate in the meaning -- so 23 version, at least the original version 23 I cannot remember that either Bernd or me without these comments there. I would expect changed anything. It was some legal thing as Page 99 Page 101 to be with a -- with the administration, they 1 that. 2 O. There very well may be. have to check the legal things, whether it's 3 For Exhibit Number 5, who at allowed to do so as an employee or so. And I'm sure we got similar draft. 4 Ethicon did you deal with to negotiate this 5 Did you and Dr. Klosterhalfen contract? talk about it between yourselves before you 6 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 6 7 agreed to it? Form. 8 THE WITNESS: The two people at A. Yes, of course. 9 that time that was Dr. Engel, he was And you and Dr. Klosterhalfen 10 10 the head of the R&D in Ethicon were satisfied at the time that that was a 11 11 Norderstedt, and the man finally fair contract for you? 12 signed was Dr. Schmitt. He was the 12 It was the best we could and it A. 13 13 was the first time to our knowledge that head of Ethicon Norderstedt at that 14 time. But the e-mails, communication, someone has got this privilege. 15 15 Okay. Did Ethicon comply with they were done with the head of the its obligations under this agreement with 16 16 R&D department. I'm not sure whether 17 17 it was Dr. Engel or Dr. Hoepffner. you, Exhibit 5? 18 18 Comply means fulfill all these Dr. Hoepffner was the predecessor A.

19

20

21

22

23

obligations?

A.

Q. Yes.

Yes. No complaint about it.

next agreement, who did you deal with in

your -- for your discussions about the

Exhibit Number 6, which is the

is. So these are the two.

before Dr. Engel. There was

Dr. Hoepffner. If this is -- the time

already with Dr. Engel, I assume it

Q. Who within the Aachen group

received a contract like this to share in the

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

19

20

23

6

Page 102

contract in 2009?

1

2

3

4

5

12

13

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

- Whom do you deal? With whom I discussed it?
 - Q. That's right. At Ethicon.
- Ethicon, it was a -- I got this
- 6 mail with this attachment from Brigitte
- Hellhammer because when looking to all of the
- 8 documents, I by chance saw this e-mail from
- 9 Brigitte Hellhammer, but she forgot it for
- 10 some time, but then she remind me and she
- 11 sent me this contract.
 - Was Dr. Hellhammer the person O. who approached you about the contract?
- 14 No. It was a discussion with
- 15 Boris Batke. We had discussions with
- 16 Dr. Engel, but then later he left some times
- 17 Ethicon. Then I had some discussions about
- 18 Boris -- with Boris Batke how to continue
- 19 this work, how to -- how to continue the
- 20 activities of the so-called Aachen group and
- 21 then we had some discussions with the
- 22 chairpersons from Ethicon. At some
- 23 conferences, we had some meetings and to
- 24 check whether there are some options to work
 - Page 103
 - together and what are the expectations, what
- 2 has been going wrong in the past and why. So
- 3 a lot of these discussions, but it started
- 4 with Boris Batke and I think it was Dr. Engel
 - and then they provided this one.
 - Okay. What did you understand from your conversation with Ethicon about
 - what had gone wrong in the past?
 - There are still many aspects we A. still do not understand why this sometime
- 10 11 stopped. I didn't get a good explanation why
- 12 this happened.
 - Q. Why what happened?
 - If you look to the records, we
- 15 have a collaboration with Ethicon almost
- 16 daily. We have some phone calls, some mails,
- 17 we have an exchange of data, we have
- 18 questions, we presented a lot of these
- 19 things, we have a lot of discussions, they
- 20 have a lot of questions, we have a lot of
- 21 answers, and with the VYPRO, we had for the
- 22 first time a medical device with a -- with a
- 23 reliable scientific story behind it. It is
- 24 the first time I know that you have a medical

- Page 104 device development with this science as
 - 2 background information there.
 - 3 And this was offered to the
 - market with all of the explanations, with all
 - of the scientific support and it was
 - tremendously successful for all the speakers,
 - including Professor Schumpelick who for the
 - first years presented all of these messages.
 - 9 It was successful for Ethicon as well.
 - So until 2002, 2003, we thought
 - 11 that it is possible to continue this way of
 - 12 working to make the scientific support from
 - 13 the university to have a manufacturer
 - 14 transporting, transferring this knowledge to
 - 15 the patient there and work together to the
 - 16 benefit of all. Until 2002, 2003, we have
 - 17 been -- we hope that it could be realized,
 - 18 but then it stopped.
 - Q. Why did it stop?
 - I don't know full details, but A.
 - 21 I can show you that there are a lot of -- or
 - I remember we have several working -- or
 - meetings with this working group in Aachen
 - there and even there it was discussed how we
 - Page 105
 - can revival -- no, we can revitalize the
 - activity and productivity. But there's still
 - open questions. I don't know whether you
 - find someone who can give you a sufficient 4
 - 5 explanation for this.
 - Q. Okay.
 - 7 Maybe you can ask -- one point
 - of that point is we made this development
 - with the German part of the R&D at Ethicon,
 - 10 and I cannot remember that there was any
 - 11 serious conflict that they said, no, that's
 - 12 not right. So we went the way to the

 - 13 ULTRAPROTM. Finally to the ULTRAPROTM. And I
 - 14 know that there always has some discussions
 - with the part of Ethicon in the US. We had a
 - 16 visit from Barbolt here in Germany and he
 - 17 looked to the data of Klosterhalfen and said,
 - 18 "No, I don't believe anything of it. It's
 - 19 all mild to moderate," and then they
 - 20 disappeared again.
 - 21 So we had the impression that
 - 22 there was some internal conflict in Ethicon
 - 23 between the US and the Germany, but I didn't
 - have any serious information about this.

- 1 But the people in Norderstedt,
- I'm sure in all of these meetings, they 3 totally agreed to our way of thinking of a
 - development of a medical device.
 - O. When did it change?

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. It changed in 2001, 2002. It start to change in this time period.
 - And how did it change?

9 MR. ANDERSON: Other than what 10 he's already told you?

> MR. THOMAS: Yes, but he's now given me a time frame of 2001, 2002.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Doctor, given your answer that there was a change in 2001, 2002, I want you to tell me how your day-to-day interactions with Ethicon changed.
- 17 18 Basically, I was at the 19 beginning of this VYPRO story, and at that 20 time, we have been sitting together sharing 21 the ideas, discussing these ideas, sending 22 some ideas with Dr. Hyntsch from Hamburg to 23 Germany and then we got some first textiles.
- 24 We made some measurements here. We sent the

- they -- when the people from Ethicon are
- coming to Hamburg, eight persons are coming
- there, finally there was a meeting of
- Dr. Hoepffner, Dr. Engel with Professor
- Schumpelick up there out of this -- there was
- a working group and there is some -- the
- heads or the leading persons are meeting
- separately. And so this is not discussed in
- 9 the entire group.

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

23

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So then they appeared with these Vicryl II mesh.

- VYPRO II? O.
- A. VYPRO II mesh.

And immediately there has been some publication from Professor Köckerling at that time in Hanover where he saw that the performance of the VYPRO II is poor. It's bad. And at that time Klosterhalfen met me, we wrote a letter to Dr. Engel and said that 20 is -- we have to expect this. This poor performance of the VYPRO II. 22 But we have not been involved

in any step of the decisions of the configuration of this mesh. And later on the

Page 107

results to them back and then we made

2 together decisions how to proceed, what to do

3 and all of these discussions very, very open

4 there.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

amount of Vicryl.

And the first what -- the first experience where it was indicated that it changes was the manufacturing of the VYPRO II. The VYPRO II was an idea of Professor Schumpelick. He wanted to have a stiffer material and, therefore, he proposed to double the amount of Vicryl and suddenly -for me, suddenly there appears the VYPRO II mesh material where they just doubled the

If you look to the science and to the literature, Vicryl is increasing the inflammatory and fibrotic reaction to the tissue. So it was not a good idea to enhance the inflammatory and fibrotic reaction by doubling the amount of the Vicryl.

- And just so I understand, that was Professor Schumpelick's idea?
- A. He had -- we had all several discussions about it, but just to see when

Page 109

Page 108

- ULTRAPROTM was presented I think at a meeting
- at Suvretta for the first time. Again, we
- haven't been involved in the development. We
- switched to get -- to become a tester of some
- material that has been produced outside and
- that is -- that is complete different to the
- 7 starting period.
 - Q. Did you -- strike that.

9 The letter that you wrote to 10 Dr. Engel explaining to Dr. Engel that the 11 problems with VYPRO II should have been 12 expected, did you save a copy of that letter?

A. It is in the -- in the documents I provided to you.

> Q. Great.

And did you review that in preparation for your deposition?

A. I saw this -- I know that we wrote this letter, and I found it when looking to all of these e-mails, attachments and documents, there some way was this letter.

23 Q. And is it from you and Dr. Klosterhalfen to Dr. Engel?

Yes. We signed it, yeah. A.

O. And it's in German?

It is in German. Α.

4 Q. Do you remember the month and 5 year when you wrote the letter?

> A. 2000, 2001.

O. Okay.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

to Monocryl.

It was a -- we thought that it Α. was -- it was somehow difficult for us to make such a statement there.

Is it fair to understand that O. you wanted Dr. Engel to know that this was not the way you wanted the relationship to continue?

We wanted to inform him that Α. there is a risk that the VYPRO I was a specific development with a specific scientific background, that this is not true for the VYPRO II. that there are some additional risks that we wanted to inform him. And if you look to the literature further on and I have learned by this

23 litigation, there has been the use of VYPRO

II in some regions of the body with not very

Page 110

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

2

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

Because it -- no, we have a little bit afraid what are the results of going in opponent position to this. It is not very -- at least I'm not sure. I think it is not very well appreciated to get such a letter from two scientists from the university and, therefore, we several times think whether it was justified, whether we should be brave enough to do so and take the 10 consequences. However, we did it.

Page 112

Page 113

Was Professor Schumpelick aware that you and Dr. Klosterhalfen wrote a letter to Dr. Engel about VYPRO II?

We did not -- we didn't go to him and ask him whether we can do or we didn't inform him. We were independent scientists and this was our -- we were convinced of this fact and, therefore, we did it, but I'm sure he will be informed from Dr. Engel.

Did you and Professor O. Klosterhalfen ever have discussions with Professor Schumpelick about the letter that you and Professor Klosterhalfen wrote to

Page 111

satisfying results. And when I saw this letter, yeah, it is not very surprising.

Q. Other than the letter that you and Professor Klosterhalfen wrote to Dr. Engel, did you have communications with any other Ethicon people expressing your dissatisfaction about the way the VYPRO II

7 8

matter was handled?

Α. I don't recall. I recall this specific letter because this was an important decision for ourselves as well to make this letter. I know we had our discussions -- we had several discussions with these people during our meetings, the various topics, and I'm sure we presented the experimental results of the Vicryl to them as well, so in this regard, we discussed a lot about the disadvantages of Vicryl, and I am sure that this is the reason that the ULTRAPROTM do not have the Vicryl any longer, but they switched

Why were you and Professor Klosterhalfen concerned about writing this letter to Dr. Engel?

Dieter Engel about VYPRO II?

Not about the letter, but about the fact, the fact that we didn't like -that we didn't think that Vicryl is a good material to be added to the meshes. That is -- that we discussed very, very clearly.

> Did he disagree with you? O.

Not on the basis of the facts. A.

Why did he disagree with you? Q.

10 Α. He want to add a stiffer 11 material. However --

> O. He's wrong?

Of course. A.

> O. Exactly.

Now, Doctor, Professor Schumpelick is trained as what, what's his specialty?

A. Professor Schumpelick, he's retired meanwhile in 2000 --

20 I'm talking about at this time 21 in 1990 --

At the time, he was the head of the surgical department at the university hospital, and he is one of the most famous

Page 114 Page 116 person in hernia surgery, in the field of 1 presentations and put the messages, 2 2 hernia surgery, and with the help of our but he is organizing these meetings. 3 work, he's very, very well-known in all of That was his responsibility. But not the discussions about mesh materials, and that he controlled my science or so, that was not the way. today he is the president of the European 6 Hernia Society for -- elected last year for **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 7 7 the next two years. And he's living in Q. At the time that you and Hamburg so very close to the people in Professor Klosterhalfen wrote this letter to 9 Norderstedt. Dieter Engel at Ethicon suggesting that the 10 O. At the time that you wrote --VYPRO II mesh had some problems, was 11 11 Professor Schumpelick your superior at the you and Professor Klosterhalfen wrote this letter to Dr. Engel, was he the person who 12 12 university? 13 13 was the head of the Aachen group? A. Yes. 14 14 The official -- he was the MR. ANDERSON: Been going about 15 15 official head of the surgical department. an hour and a half, a little more, 16 16 And as such -want to take a little break? O. 17 17 The scientific group was mainly MR. THOMAS: Take a break. Α. 18 based on my activities there. 18 (Off the record at 11:41 a.m.) 19 19 Dr. Klinge, your activities QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 20 with the scientific group at the university 20 Doctor, we've talked about your 21 21 ultimately had to report to Professor search for documents and the large number of 22 22 Schumpelick, is that fair? documents you've produced. 23 23 About how tall of a stack of MR. ANDERSON: At what point in 24 documents did you find? time? Page 115 Page 117 1 MR. THOMAS: At this time he 1 The hard copies or the --A. 2 2 wrote the letter. O. Hard copy. 3 -- the electronic things when 3 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. A. 4 THE WITNESS: No. I didn't have 4 they're printed out? 5 to. No, I didn't have to make a Did you produce it 6 electronically, or did you produce them in 6 report to Professor Schumpelick. He 7 asked me what can be done. He hard copy or both? 8 8 mentioned some issues, some problems MR. ANDERSON: As I was telling 9 he got from all of the conferences you before, the first batch that I 10 10 where you're coming from and wanted to sent you, it was actually in two 11 11 have some solutions, and my ability batches that we were trying to get 12 was to make some projects to make some 12 printed and stuff, those are the ones 13 13 that I had him search his computer questions, to make some study 14 14 protocols there and then I collected for, and the latter batch that I'm 15 15 people that are willing to take over talking about as we were discussing, I 16 16 this part of the project and so we -realize there may be some hard copies, 17 17 I built up a network research to the those are the ones with 10 centimeters 18 18 topic of the mesh and then we put all of dust on them, those would be the 19 19 of these documents together, make a hard copies. As I showed you before, 20 20 PowerPoint presentation and then he it may be a four-inch, five-inch 21 goes to the conference and presented 21 stack. The other ones, as you know, 22 22 these -- all these results or he was is a box or two full of documents.

23

24

an organizer of the Suvretta meetings,

but we made all of the different

23

24

MR. THOMAS: The production

that I -- that Butler Snow received is

			,
	Page 118		Page 120
1	a box or two of documents.	1	MR. ANDERSON: If so, it
2	MR. ANDERSON: Right.	2	wouldn't be from our end. It would be
3	MR. THOMAS: More than three	3	on your end. And so all I'm saying is
4	Red Wells for both Klosterhalfen and	4	I can't speak to your side. I can
5	Klinge.	5	just speak to my best belief from our
6	MR. ANDERSON: Probably, yeah.	6	side.
7	MR. THOMAS: Were any of the	7	MR. THOMAS: I understand.
8	documents produced on disk?	8	MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
9	MR. ANDERSON: To you.	9	MR. THOMAS: I'm not accusing
10	MR. THOMAS: "To you" meaning	10	anybody of anything.
11	Butler Snow or to David?	11	MR. ANDERSON: Like you said, I
12	MR. ANDERSON: Oh, yeah. I	12	am just trying to understand.
13	don't know because I was here, but I	13	MR. THORNBURGH: Can I maybe
14	think they were produced in hard copy.	14	add some clarity? There were two
15	That was my understanding they were	15	productions for Klinge. If you
16	produced in hard copy. If there was a	16	personally only received one box, then
17	disk in there, they may have been. I	17	another box was sent the following
18	don't know that there was.	18	day. So you may I don't know what
19	MR. THOMAS: There was a disk	19	you received from Butler Snow. I know
20	in there that's a conversation or a	20	that Butler Snow received two
21	discussion at a conference. I have	21	productions.
22	listened enough to that to know. I	22	(Klinge Exhibit 7 marked for
23	didn't want to listen to any more of	23	identification.)
24	it.	24	,
			5 101
	Page 119		Page 121
1	But I'm just trying to figure	1	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2	out if I have a complete universe of	2	Q. Doctor, I hand you what's been
3	documents that you've collected, and I	3	marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 7.
4	don't know the answer to that.	4	What is Deposition Exhibit
5	MR. ANDERSON: Except for	5	Number 7?
6	what's coming on Saturday per my	6	A. This
7	earlier discussion on the record, you	7	MR. ANDERSON: Why don't you
8	should have them.	8	look through it before you answer.
9	MR. THOMAS: Again, for my	9	THE WITNESS: This is a draft
10	benefit and I'm not doing anything	10	of a first contract between Ethicon
11	other than trying to understand, did	11	and the university and partners at the
12	you make the production of all of the	12	university were the surgical
13	documents from Professor Klinge and	13	department and the Institute for
14	Professor Klosterhalfen at the same	14	Pathology. They had was Professor
15	time?	15	Mittermayer and for surgery was
16	MR. ANDERSON: I don't know	16	Professor Schumpelick and the guy
17	because I didn't stand there at the	17	responsible from Ethicon was
18	mailbox and ship the documents	18	Dr. Hoepffner.
19	because, as you know, I was here. So	19	Q. Do you know the date of that
20	I assume that they were roughly the	20	agreement?
21	same time.	21	A. '95, '96, around this. And
122		22	there was identified some issues to weath
22	MR. THOMAS: Okay. I'm		there was identified some issues to work
23	becoming concerned I don't have all of	23	about it.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Page 122 least a version, a draft, of the contract 2 that the parties ultimately executed back in 3 around 1995 to provide for the Ethicon support of activities at the university? 5 Please, can you --A. 6 O. Do you have a final version of 7 the contract? 8

A. I don't recall any detail there, but I have no doubts that I have seen and there is a final version of this contract. There has been this -- there was this contract between the university and Ethicon in this form.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

3

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you have anything to do with the negotiation of that contract? Did you comment on the draft?
- 17 The -- all what is related to 18 the content here, to the scientific content 19 or the content, what we should work on, that 20 is a commented, corrected, changed by me as 21 well. So I saw all of these drafts and then 22 give my comments, then they were changed, 23 then finally they got to the legal department 24 and they checked it again and then they were

the agreement?

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. If such a draft turns ten times around, the first eight versions are going to me.

Page 124

Page 125

Q. Okay. And my point is there were a number of people at the university that had something to say about what went into the contract.

Is that fair?

- A. As the legal officers, they have some specific things they have to add there, yeah.
- Q. And Professor Mittermayer may have specific things, Professor Schumpelick may have specific things?
- A. But I cannot recall any specific changes of these two to this --
 - Q. That's not my point.

My point is whatever comments anybody had from the university were passed to you so you could pass them on to Ethicon?

- A. It was my responsibility at that time to make it pass.
 - Q. Very good.

Page 123

signed by the people there.

- Q. Okay. And who did you give your comments to?
- A. This, of course, will be a
 conversation between Dr. Hoepffner and me.
 We sent it directly.
 - Q. Okay. Did you go through Dr. -- Professor Schumpelick?
- A. If there's any question, we
 discussed it at our department or with
 Professor Klosterhalfen. At that time,
 Professor Klosterhalfen, we discussed it
 before so there was a discussion among all of
 these peoples at the university and then the
 partner was Dr. Hoepffner there.

 O Were you the person on behalf
 - Q. Were you the person on behalf of the university who negotiated the terms of the ultimate agreement, which is Exhibit 7?
 - A. So negotiate means that I'm the only person who changed something? No.
 - Q. No.

Were you the person who had the responsibility from the university to discuss with Ethicon changes the university wanted in

- A. To send it and receive it so.
- Q. And the person that you dealt with at Ethicon is --
- A. Dr. Hoepffner at that time. But it changed, but at that time, it was Dr. Hoepffner.
- Q. Okay. And I believe you said there were several drafts that were traded back and forth?
 - A. Yeah, usually.
- Q. How was it that you happened to be the lucky person to be in charge of the contract?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: First of all, because I'm clever enough to do so and the other people thought that I'm clever enough to do so. It is a -- to understand it completely, you have to go back in the history when this collaboration and when this idea started and, therefore, I was the man

Page 126 responsible for development of meshes, to study, to make surgical research in this field. That was my profession and, therefore, it --Doctor, I believe you testified in your last deposition that Ethicon hired FEG to develop VYPRO I. Is that true? Did I read that correctly? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Mischaracterizes.

Explain it.

THE WITNESS: So, again, in
1994, when it became clear that we had
a development project or we had a
project to develop meshes with
Ethicon, we mainly discussed it with
Dr. Hyntsch. And we come together and
it was clear that we need someone who
provides us with textile constructions
and so we had to decide -- the idea
was quite simple. We wanted to reduce
the material. We wanted to adopt it
to the physiological requirements and,

Page 127 therefore, we want to change the

textile characteristics.

But to include all of the options, we need a textile engineer in doing this. At that time, it was not available at Hamburg Norderstedt. They didn't have a textile engineering facility there. Therefore, we had to look some -- to places there.

The idea was born in strong -in collaboration with Boris Obolensky
who at that time was at the Institute
for Textile Engineering at the
university. So he was very
well-informed about the -- that there
is an option there. At that time, it
was in 1994 there -- the FEG was very
small. They didn't deal with medical
devices and Obolensky was at the
Institute for Textile Engineering, and
at that time -- so we planned and
organized this project to develop the
mesh and I had several discussions

Page 128

So, first of all, we tested all current materials, we developed some methods to evaluate what happens to these meshes and then the point came that we have to decide where and how to make these new mesh constructions, this modified mesh constructions. And there has been two options; the first is you have to go -- you can go to the technical university. It is -- it is very famous. It is an official site, maybe a little bit more long-lasting and the other alternative was a factory in Kemnitz in the former GDR and in 1994, it was empty. So it was a factory without having to do a lot of things.

So this has been the two options to realize a modified mesh material either in Aachen or either in Kemnitz. And I went with Dr. Hyntsch to Kemnitz and we visited the factory and talked to the people there. In a car, we went there. And afterwards it

Page 129

was clear we need a decision. Either Kemnitz or Aachen and then Ethicon and I assume Dr. Hyntsch, he decided, no, we want to have the power of the technical university. We want to have it in Aachen and not in Kemnitz. And, therefore, we tried to realize it.

Meanwhile Boris Obolensky left the university and went to the FEG Textiltechnik. And, therefore, there was a contract, I've never seen this contract, but there has been this linkage of Ethicon. They hired the FEG Textiltechnik to make this modification of the mesh materials and the FEG, Boris Obolensky, he found the manufacturer in Goth where later on VYPRO and ULTRAPROTM is really manufactured.

So, yes, they hired the FEG to make the VYPRO.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Okay. When Boris Obolensky was at the textile institute at the university,

with Dr. Hyntsch there.

- did you collaborate with him in the
- 2 development of VYPRO mesh?

3

12

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- First of all, the idea was
- raised in a discussion with Boris Obolensky
- 5 in 1993. He was the person who told me that
- there are several textile options to optimize
- this mesh and that the current meshes at that 8 time are not very satisfying from his point
- 9 of textile engineer. So that raises the idea 10 that you can do something with it.

11 Later on, it was a

- collaboration with him that we got the
- 13 textile characteristic of meshes at the
- 14 Institute for Textile Engineering. It was
- 15 done independently of this institute. Later
- 16 on, he made the modifications for Ethicon and
- 17 since then, when we need some modification of
- 18 textiles, either for -- in the project for
- 19 VYPRO or later on for the research institute
- 20 when we have some other grants, we always did
- 21 it in collaboration with the FEG. So we have
- 22 this -- yeah, this ivitäten, research
- 23 activities in parallel and we need a lot of
- textile modifications. And Ethicon at that 24

Page 132

- some of these founders have been my wife.
- And in 1993, in December, I had the
- invitation -- I had the -- I had the order
- from Professor Schumpelick to prepare a
- preparation of meshes in the Suvretta conference in 1994 in St. Moritz.

7 In December of 1993, we have a

pre-Christmas meeting there of the women of

this mother center there. And you can

10 imagine a lot of children, some husbands

11 there, sitting around and all of the wives

12 chattering and chattering, and then you have

13 to talk to the husbands there. You don't

14 know each other and then it was the first

15 time that I managed or that I noticed that 16

there is someone as a textile engineer. I didn't know about it.

And in preparation of my presentation for the Suvretta, I always have

20 a piece of mesh in my pocket and, therefore,

21 we have been sitting there and I showed him

22 the Marlex mesh, he said, "Well, I wouldn't 23

made a sock out of it. It is so strong you

can wrap in a cup." And then we start to

Page 131

17

18

19

time was not able to provide this.

What was Professor Obolensky's title with the Textile Institute?

What position did he hold?

- Dr. Obolensky. A.
- Q. I am sorry.
- In the textile sciences is A.

8 something special.

> He has been what is called here overassistant. That is the -- you have the head of the institute and then you have two, three persons on the level below.

So is it fair to understand that he, Dr. Obolensky, is the first person who approached you with the idea of changing mesh design to make a larger pore, lighter-weight mesh?

A. The starting point of all of this mesh trouble has been a -- has been a private session at my house. There has been 20 women in Aachen in the beginning of the '90s, '91, '92, they founded the center for mothers to take care of children and so on, and these mothers are coming together and

talk about it. And then I said okay, I have

to make a presentation, and I would like to

send him some of these ideas and experiences.

4 And then it comes back what for them is a 5

very simple approach to textile things.

6 But I learned from this hosiery is that there are -- what textile tests can be done and so on. But this was the starting

point that he said, "It is too strong. Do

10 you know how strong it has to be?" That was 11 the question at that time point, and then I

12 looked to the literature without Google. You

13 have to go down to the bib and look to all

14 this all stuff and trying to figure out what

15 is the stability you need. That was the

16 starting point, and it was first 17

documentation of this effort was in the first 18 Suvretta book.

- When did Dr. Obolensky leave O. the university?
 - In 1994, sometime. A.
- 22 O. Was the FEG already in 23 existence at the time he left?
 - A. Yes.

19

20

21

24

Page 133

Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2960-10. Filed 10/12/16 Page 37 of 172 PageID #: 114085 Page 134 Page 136 Deutsche mark or Euro. It has to be Deutsche 1 And what was the business of 2 the FEG before he arrived? mark at that time. 3 3 It was engineering of machines. Okay. Do you know whether FEG O. Mainly a quality control of -- when you had any royalty interest in VYPRO? 5 manufacture paper, for example, you have some I've heard that it was a fix fleece to press the water off, and this sum without any subsequent requirements or fleece is somehow a mesh form and they wanted demands or royalties or obligations. It was handful of engineers. They optimized these just for this -- at that time, it was not in 9 machines. They found some or elaborated some the interest of the company to be there. 10 technical solutions for technical problems 10 Q. Did FEG have any responsibility 11 for airplanes, cars and for these printing or 11 for VYPRO II? 12 12 paper manufacturers mainly which are related A. No. I don't know any, no. 13 with textiles. 13 Are you aware of any Q. 14 14 Q. And at some point, responsibility that FEG had for any mesh 15 Dr. Obolensky became one of the owners of the 15 manufactured by -- strike that. 16 company, correct? Are you aware of any interest 17 Yes. Mainly -- yeah, but I 17 that FEG had in any mesh sold by Ethicon 18 don't know exactly at what time point, but --18 other than VYPRO I? 19 19 O. And who was --They don't have -- even have an A. 20 20 interest in VYPRO I. So they --A. -- he's one of the two or three 21 21 people that are the owners of this company. Q. Okay. 22 22 And who are the other owners of A. So they made it, they know how 23 the company? 23 to made it, they know all of the story, they 24 Stefan Schneemelcher is the are included in the story, and that's -- and A.

Page 135

7

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. And does he have an active responsibility in the management or operation of the company?

- They both have it, yeah. A.
- What does Stefan do? Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

name.

He's an engineer as well. Both are coming from the Institute for Textile Engineering so they are both engineers. I

10 don't know exactly -- I know that both have 11 some projects that both have some devices,

- 12 but they have different responsibility. One
- 13 is for safety regulations more or less
- 14 focused or for contract, but it's close 15
 - together. It changes all the time, and I'm not familiar with the details.
 - Q. Do you know how FEG was compensated by Ethicon for the work that it did on the VYPRO mesh?
 - A. I have heard somehow where a figure, but I've never seen a contract.
 - Q. What have you heard?
 - A. I've heard it was about
- 24 100,000, but I even don't know whether it's

then the collaboration stopped. We tried to

- put them together and there was a meeting
- from these guys in Hamburg in May 2000, 2001,
- where they met with Dr. Engel to see whether
 - this can be continued, but I was not
 - participant of this meeting.
 - Q. In May 2000 or 2001, when FEG met with Dr. Engel, what was the purpose of that meeting?
 - A. The purpose of this meeting was that we have been -- that we did show that the VYPRO was a very good, a very successful approach to improve -- to develop new meshes by the use of science of the university, textile engineering and a manufacturer. And then we have some subsequent research projects funded by the university that we wanted to work on the PVDF at that time. So one option would be is that it is separated again and we have our research project with

the university and the FEG to work on PVDF. A better way would have been to put -- to keep this together and to work together. But then there has to be an

Page 137

Page 138 agreement between the two companies.

- The two companies being Ethicon and FEG?
 - A. Yes, obviously.

Otherwise, we -- and everyone

6 knows it -- we have this scientific project

- with PVDF from the university working with
- 8 the FEG and they're providing us the
- 9 material. They know how to manage, it and
- 10 they're providing us the mesh structures and
- 11 on the other hand, we have this history and
- 12 this collaboration with Ethicon. For us, not
- 13 a very happy situation. So the best would
- 14 have been if they really keep to work
- 15 together.

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

- Q. And was the goal of the meeting to have FEG and Ethicon work together to develop a PVDF mesh?
- 19 A. This was -- not restricted to
- 20 PVDF. Our hope was that they come together,
- 21 work together and that we can continue to
- 22 talk to Obolensky and Ethicon and all --
- 23 making all of this together and, therefore,
- 24 we told Dr. Engel that they have to consider
 - Page 139
 - that Obolensky knows how to do a mesh. So to
- 2 keep this knowledge to the company for the
- 3 future, it should be wise to keep him.
- 4 Q. And --
 - And that was the reason that we A.
- 6 said to both meet, meet and try to continue
- 7 your collaboration, but the result was not
- 8 successful.
- 9 Q. You said "we" a number of
- 10 times.

5

11

13

- Who tried to put the meeting
- 12 together, you and who else?
 - A. Bernd Klosterhalfen.
- 14 Q. Was Professor Schumpelick
- 15 involved at all?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Okay. And who did you speak to
- at Ethicon in an effort to arrange the 18
- 19 meeting with Dr. Obolensky?
- 20 A. It was a meeting -- I remember
- 21 at least -- we have several meetings, and we
- 22 have several times discuss all this
- 23 continuation, future prospective and so on,
- 24 it's always a topic, but this was a meeting

- Page 140
- in Hamburg Norderstedt in the office of
- Dr. Engel where I remember quite clearly that
- Bernd Klosterhalfen and me were sitting there
- with Dr. Engel, and then we told him that it
- should be necessary to meet for them and to combine their capabilities.
 - O. What did Dr. Engel say when you made that proposal?
- 9 Obviously, he agreed to make A. 10 this meeting.
 - Okay. Did you attend the meeting between Dr. Obolensky and Dr. Engel?
 - A.

8

11

12

13

14

15

8

12

13

14

- Q. Did Dr. Obolensky report to you the results of the meeting?
- 16 He roughly gave me his 17 impression that there has been different
- 18
- expectations. The problem for the FEG -- the
- main problem for the FEG was that they have
- been afraid when they have good ideas of good 21 mesh, that there is not obligatory push that
- 22 this mesh will be manufactured and will
- 23 provide some royalties for the FEG company,
 - and there was not agreement -- no agreement

Page 141

- that Ethicon agreed that they -- they agreed
- 2 that they always -- will not always, but
- usually will realize the products that are
- provided by the FEG. And that means that it
- can be that you have a inferior product and
- this is sold and the good idea is keeping
- 7 down and this would be in agreement with the
 - contract.
- 9 So to overcome this problem, it 10 would be necessary to find a participation, even at the products that already are on the 11
 - market and on the future prospective. So this is the conflict that was not solved in this.
- 15 I think I understood that. Let 16 me see if I can break it down a little bit.
- 17 Is it fair to understand that
- 18 FEG wanted some assurance from Ethicon that
- 19 Ethicon would market the meshes that FEG 20 brought to Ethicon?
- 21 MR. ANDERSON: Did you say 22 would or wouldn't?
- 23 MR. THOMAS: Would market. 24
 - THE WITNESS: Yes, or at least

Page 142 Page 144 1 have some -- they need some -- some --1 II? 2 2 some confident thing where they can A. Or maybe same year and May, the 3 rely on for the future, yeah. 3 meeting was and in June, the letter was. So 4 maybe something. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 5 5 Okay. To your knowledge, after Okay. Did you ever speak --6 that meeting with Dr. Engel at FEG, were 6 strike that. 7 there any further discussions between Ethicon Did you understand that to be 8 the big breakdown in the negotiations that and FEG about a collaboration? 9 Ethicon couldn't provide FEG any assurance I know that there has been some 10 that they would market the meshes that FEG 10 discussions on some conferences between Boris 11 brought to Ethicon? 11 Batke and Dr. Obolensky, but I don't know any 12 12 No. No, definitely not. It is further details. Only that they have 13 rather an expression of the attitude, how you 13 contacted each other, not a collaboration. 14 14 think to work in future or how to Do you have any idea whether 15 15 manufacture, how to develop. Obviously, the the relationship between the companies is 16 16 pleasant and cordial? Ethicon people at that time they believed 17 17 they have employed young textile engineers. A. I don't know the -- I didn't 18 They have bought some machines there. They 18 get the last word. 19 19 thought they could do it themselves without Cordial, they get along Q. 20 20 together. any help, and they don't care what happens 21 21 outside. At the FEG in 2001, 2002, they Let me start again. 22 22 haven't been on the market at that time, but Do you know from your 23 23 experience whether the relationship between they -- obviously, they don't have the vision of what happens in the future. FEG and Ethicon is smooth? Page 143 Page 145 1 Did you ever talk to Dr. Engel 1 A. Smooth. about the meeting? 2 O. Is the relationship between FEG 3 A. I don't recall. 3 and Ethicon bad? 4 So, first of all, they are all 4 O. Is the only person that you've 5 spoken to about the meeting who was present professionals. I assume they're all was Dr. Obolensky? professionals and they have their different 6 7 A. I -- I assume. He just 7 standpoints. It is from the market share, 8 reported me -you have an elephant and you have a fly. The 9 Q. fly is the FEG. It has good ideas, good Okay. 10 A. -- his impression. 10 products, but it's a very small one and you 11 11 O. Okav. have the giant there. So this relationship 12 A. So I don't have anything more. 12 is like it is. 13 Was the meeting with Dr. Engel 13 Q. Okay. before or after the letter that you and 14 14 And there is only one legal 15 Professor Klosterhalfen wrote to Dr. Engel conflict and this is a conflict I don't know 16 16 about VYPRO II? any details, but maybe you have in all of 17 17 A. I guess it was after, but -these thousands, hundred thousands of 18 18 Q. Okay. documents, the details. There is a legal 19 19 A. It's 2000, 2001. conflict dealing with the PVDF patent. 20 20 Your best judgment or your best They're -- you know, the Ethicon -- and I 21 recollection is that the meeting with the 21 just saw it one year or two years ago. 22 22 FEG, with Dr. Engel, was after the time that Ethicon has a PVDF patent made in 2002. And 23 23 you and Professor Klosterhalfen sent the this is obviously in conflict to the PVDF

letter to Dr. Engel about problems with VYPRO

patent of the FEG. I don't know which one is

- first, which one claims all what has been
- 2 done, but there has been a legal --

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

10

11

12

13

17

18

- 3 legally -- there has been a conflict between
- the two companies and they have been at the
- 5 European patent justice or court in Den Haag
- and in Munich, and they fought a little bit
- or the lawyers fought a little bit. Mainly the lawyers fought.
- Q. Has that dispute been resolved, do you know?
- I've heard that it's resolved, but mainly there are some subsequent redos and appealings or whatever, yeah.
- Did you have any involvement in the patent dispute?
- I was -- I got the information that it was like this, but I never was present at any of these meetings at the courts. Yeah. I'm on the patent of the FEG, but I'm not on the patent from Ethicon so.
- Dr. Klinge, we spent some time talking about the relationship that you had with Ethicon and the contracts that are in place.

any investigation and any good literature

- about it. So we have to start with the
- textile characteristic. First time that we
- provided these testing of mesh materials at a
- Textile Institute. And we wanted to know
- what happens to the tissue, what happens to
- the function when we need -- when we implant
- some meshes. We need some mouse models, rat
- models. We have to look what is appropriate,
- what is the better thing. And then we
- 11 started with the first current meshes to
- 12 learn how to correct the rise of this tissue
- response. Then the next was first
- 14 modification of these meshes. Define a range
- 15 of the stability of the mesh materials, the
- 16 polymer, we have to define whether it's
- 17 polyester or polypropylene. Then we created
- 18 in a small period of time the modifications A
- 19 and B that later on become the VYPRO mesh.

Again, four modifications that has been tested. The next question was

- 22 impact of Vicryl on it. So we made some
- 23 modifications coated with Vicryl. We left
- the Vicryl out and compared the reaction.

Page 147

20

21

2

3

Tell me the kinds of projects

that you worked on in the '90s for Ethicon,

3 other than VYPRO.

- 4 A. Other than VYPRO. Do you mean
- 5 VYPRO, specifically the development of this
- mesh or our mesh restructure? I got more 6
- 7 than 20 different mesh materials from Ethicon
- 8 that we tested it, that we evaluated under
- 9 different conditions.
 - Q. I'm keeping VYPRO separate from your other research.
 - A. You're keeping mesh out?
 - O. So what I want to know is
 - exactly what you just described, the 20
- 15 different mesh materials that you received
- 16 from Ethicon.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Did you receive 20 different
- 19 mesh materials at one time or over time?
- 20 I cannot separate it from the
- 21 development of VYPRO because the VYPRO was
- 22 the aim, the purpose, we did. We started --
- 23 we had to learn -- in 1994, we had to learn
- 24 everything about meshes. There hasn't been

Page 149

Page 148

All of this is published in our subsequent literature.

So the steps has been there

- that we have regular meetings, that we
- proposed -- we identified some questions,
- that I made some proposals how to make this
- 7 and then we're sitting together and it was
- clear we need -- when we wanted to
- investigate the impact of Vicryl, we wanted
- 10 to have three, four different mesh materials,
- 11 modifications with various parts of Vicryl
- 12 and then we proposed this to Ethicon and then
- 13 they -- people said, "Okay, yes, what do you
- 14
- need?" And then we say, "We need 2.5 to
- 15 3.5-centimeter meshes with this
- 16 characteristics. We need them best in May
- 17 that we can start in June." Then they said,
- 18 "No, it's not possible." One month later,
- 19 "Yeah, okay."

21

20 Then we started one month later. Then we got a box with these mesh 22 modifications, and we got the suture material 23 as well and all of this together then was used for the experiment.

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

When we are all finished with this, the next question was, for example,

- 3 sometimes a mesh quite similar to the soft
- Prolene® mesh were coming and they were
- 5 interested in -- so the impact of various
- 6 polypropylene amount to compare this or to
- 7 compare -- then we had a -- wanted to
- 8 investigate whether nuclear medicine can help
- 9 us. We made a pet study, and then again, we
- 10 have to decide which mesh material and what
- 11 model we want to have and this was done in
- 12 discussions with Ethicon, and if we need some 13
- material, we got it from -- they prepared the 14 material for that.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

15

18

19

20

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

16 MR. ANDERSON: Did you say pet? 17 THE WITNESS: Pet, p-e-t,

nuclear medicine where you can see the inflammation.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

21 I'm going to break that down a 22 little bit. That was a very helpful 23 explanation. I want to explore some of the 24 details of it.

colleagues are interested in doing so, and if

Page 152

2 you look to the references of my

publications, you see that almost everyone or

two-thirds of the department sometimes belong

to some of these projects and took part of 6 this.

O. Was there a core group of people within the Aachen group as it's been described that you worked with through the '90s with Ethicon?

There is no structured core A. group. It is -- I am -- I'm the core group there, and there is a changing amount of people for the time they are doing surgery, they're in the hospital, they made it, then they left it. So the -- the main continuation that is my person.

O. Is it fair to understand that you use younger people who were spending their time at the university learning to assist you in research projects that you might publish with them?

I would object to the term "use" because use is in my -- what I learned

Page 151

You said you had regular 2 meetings.

Who was part of your team that was responsible for understanding these 20 different meshes?

Who was part of your team A. responsible for understanding? Me.

So did you have people help you or did you just --

A lot of people. So the issue was there that you have to address all these various problems. We really looked to all sides that may be a concern. We go to the microbiology, to all of these. It is impossible to take care yourself of all of these things.

Q. Exactly.

A. Therefore, I'm running around and asking my younger colleagues if you want to make research, I can offer you a project, it is paid, you get the material, you have to do the operations, you have to do the evaluation, and we will publish it. Are you interested in doing so? Yeah, and most of my

Page 153 from -- when we use the word "use," it has a

negative feeling thereby.

Q. Not intended at all.

I offered them the opportunity to work with this project, and they agreed to it.

O. Let me ask you this.

Is it fair to understand that you collaborated with the younger people who were being taught at the university in order to conduct the research and writing necessary to further the research you were doing?

If you put all people together working in this scientific field with all of the various aspects, maybe 50 to 100 persons, that at some parts contributed, collaborated with me.

O. Dr. Klosterhalfen was a constant throughout the whole process, wasn't he?

Almost always. A.

Okay. Q.

At some time period. There was A. some significant research where he was not Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2960-10. Filed 10/12/16 Page 42 of 172 PageID #: 114090 Prof Dr. Med Uwe Kringe Page 154 Page 156 part, but in the first years when he was in 1 O. It's fair to understand that 2 Dr. Klosterhalfen was the person who was able the hospital, he was, of course -- we almost 3 always took the chance to have him get a look to give you the opportunity to do the to what we say. research that you did? Is that fair? 5 5 MR. ANDERSON: Did you say Q. Okay. 6 6 A. But when he left the Dr. Klosterhalfen? 7 7 university, he -- this was less. **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 8 8 Well, he left the university in Yeah, bad question. 9 9 2003? Is it fair to understand that 10 10 A. Three. Dr. Schumpelick is the person who is 11 11 responsible for giving you the opportunity to And so from '94 to 2003, O. 12 12 Dr. Klosterhalfen was a significant part of conduct the research that you did? 13 your efforts? 13 We thought a lot about how to 14 14 A. Yes, without any doubt. find the best phrase for it. I would prefer 15 15 Was there anyone else on the to say he was able to hinder it. He could 16 16 scale of Dr. Klosterhalfen who contributed to have been able to have it stopped. He could 17 your efforts in understanding mesh? 17 prevent any research in this field. He 18 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 18 didn't stop us. He was not able to stop us THE WITNESS: Nothing that can 19 19 in our activities. 20 compare to the contributions of 20 Q. Okay. But he --21 21 Dr. Klosterhalfen. But he -- but I would not agree 22 22 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** that he really supports our research. He 23 23 uses it. He didn't stop it, but support I --Okay. What role or 24 responsibility did Professor Schumpelick have I have some problems with it. Page 155 Page 157 1 Okay. During the time that you 1 in your research? 2 were collaborating with Ethicon through the His responsibility, he brought 3 the focus hernia to the university, which is '90s up until 2000, you mentioned the 4 not very popular. Most of the universities experiments that you would conduct and that 5 are focused on cancer research, but he was you would request materials from Ethicon. 6 6 interested from his story to hernia and, Was Ethicon the sole source of 7 7 therefore, he encouraged and let and was the meshes that you tested? 8 8 happy to have research on this hernia issue. Mostly. Ethicon provided, of 9 As a head, he was the only course, the test -- or the projects we did 10 person who can sign these contracts. He has 10 with Ethicon. We have other projects, as 11 11 to sign every contract. Every research I've told, for example, PVDF development 12 contract. So he was responsible for this. 12 where we need PVDF modifications that we got 13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

13 He attended hundred thousands of conferences 14 dealing with hernia, dealing with meshes and 15 have countless presentations there. And for 16 five to ten years, he got most of the slides 17 from me. So he agreed to this. We 18 discussed -- when I offered him the slides, 19 we discussed the presentation and, yeah, he 20 agreed with this. We have a discussion about 21 the -- all these results and he presented it 22 to the international audience, and he has to 23

withstand the discussions about cancer, about

from the FEG. And we took some or we made some experiment with the Parietex where we wanted to see what happens to polyester multifilament, and there I asked Sofradim at that time if they wanted to supply us Parietex and, therefore, we got this material from Sofradim. Some other materials we took from our OR, from the clinics. Before our break for lunch, I want to ask you something before I forget. Several minutes ago you made a

comment about Dr. Barbolt coming to Germany.

all this what has been discussed.

24

Page 158 Page 160 1 A. Uh-huh. animal experiments, including the 2 Q. When did that happen? publications and some proposals for further 3 3 Frequently times we figure it ongoing activities. A. out and I usually forget it. 1999 or 2000. 4 4 Q. Was that in English or German? 5 We prepared a summary of all 5 It's in English. A. 6 activities in English language at that time 6 O. Who was responsible for preparing that document? because it was announced that two American 8 8 guys and one was Barbolt, that they visit our I did it. I got the order from 9 Professor Schumpelick to make such a thing, group in Aachen and we prepared some 10 10 and then I started to write it and collect it presentations there and we were quite -- we 11 were somehow exciting to have -- to start in 11 and design it. 12 this discussion with the US experts. Because 12 How long was it? Q. 13 in the time before we have this agreement 13 A. 20 pages. 14 with the German guys, no problem with it, but 14 Do you still have a copy of it? Q. 15 15 You have it already in the pile this was the first time that they were A. 16 16 coming, and I remember that Klosterhalfen of paper. 17 presented his results as he did it today and 17 Okay. Does the 20-page Q. the reaction from Barbolt just was it's not 18 18 presentation contain a list of attendees, 19 19 relevant. That was it. people who were there? 20 20 Was the meeting in 1999, 2000 A. No. 21 21 your first contact with anyone from Ethicon Who was there from the Aachen Q. 22 22 from the United States? group? 23 23 I'm not sure. There has been A. I only remember Schumpelick, of 24 one or two other meetings where I was invited course, it's me, it's Klosterhalfen, but I Page 159 Page 161 one of these confidential drafts. Maybe, don't recall there has been a -- or the 2 maybe in this field where I've been in number of participants changes according to 3 Hamburg Norderstedt, I was invited with Bernd whether they left the department at that time Klosterhalfen to present our findings and or whether they're on duty or in the OR. 4 5 there have been two other guys in another 5 I even do not recall whether room where we discussed it, yeah, but I don't 6 6 it's Dr. Hoepffner or Dr. Engel, but some of 7 7 know the name or -these. 8 8 Do you know who was traveling Q. How long did the meeting last? Q. 9 with Dr. Barbolt? Two to three hours. A. 10 10 A. I don't recall. Q. And you made a presentation? 11 I don't recall precisely what I 11 O. You said there were two. A. 12 presented there, but I assume that I made the A. I don't recall, and I didn't 13 find the protocol of this meeting. 13 presentation as Bernd Klosterhalfen and --14 When you say "the protocol," 14 O. And did -the description or the minutes of the 15 15 A. Usually we prepared several meeting? presentations there. 16 16 17 17 A. I know that the Ethicon guys Q. And Dr. Schumpelick, did he make a presentation? 18 usually made some minutes of this meeting and 18 19 some of them I got, but I didn't find any 19 A. Usually not. 20 20 further documents showing any details. And what did you understand the Q. 21 You said a minute ago that in 21 purpose of the meeting to be? 22 22 anticipation of the meeting that your group I didn't have any information 23 prepared a summary of all activities. 23 why at that time, whether there -- what 24 Up to then, including the intention is by Ethicon there. We were happy

Page 162 Page 164 1 so on, but a summarizing statement is that we have got the opportunity to have a discussion with another continent these 2 not relevant. That is a killer not 3 ideas. It was as our usual meetings that we only for the future, but for any communication and, therefore, I -- we discussed the current stages, what is going on in the future, what can be done, how we 5 went out and were a little bit, yeah, 6 can help support, what has to be done there. 6 disappointed about it. 7 What was the context of QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMPSON: 8 8 What exactly did Dr. Barbolt Dr. Barbolt's comment about Professor O. 9 9 say? Klosterhalfen's work? 10 10 A. What was? Precisely, I didn't make any 11 11 writing there. But in the context, it is not What was the context? How did O. 12 12 it come up? How did Dr. -- strike that. relevant. 13 How did Dr. Barbolt happen to 13 Q. Okay. And meaning that 14 comment on Professor Klosterhalfen's 14 Dr. Klosterhalfen's work was not relevant? 15 15 presentation? Yes. Because he was -- he was 16 16 announced the pathology of -- from Ethicon A. How he come to this conclusion, 17 I don't --17 from the US, the man who is experienced. 18 Q. Let me ask it another way. 18 Now, you said that you learned 19 19 What was the nature of the later that everything is mild to moderate? 20 20 A. Yeah. presentation from Professor Klosterhalfen on 21 21 MR. ANDERSON: No -which Dr. Barbolt commented? 22 22 The presentation of Bernd is MR. THOMAS: I'll ask him. 23 23 always that the larger the pores the better. THE WITNESS: Okay. 24 24 The smaller the pores it means intense Page 163 Page 165 inflammation and fibrosis, and this is **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 2 relevant and, therefore, we develop the VYPRO Who did you learn that from? 3 mesh and material changes the reaction of the I didn't learn that this is 4 tissue by some markers, expressed by some right. I learned from the documents that in 5 markers, by some staining and so on. That is some of the documents of Barbolt from his 6 what he since then -- always is telling, study, he compared different mesh materials, 7 finding and what we are convinced is heavy-weight, light-weight and all thing, and 8 confirmed by all of these data. But his findings were it is similarly mild to 9 obviously, he has the opinion that material 9 moderate. doesn't matter and meanwhile I know --10 10 So if you made a measurement 11 11 MR. ANDERSON: "He" being where you don't find any differences, I think 12 12 your parameters are too rough or your eyes Barbolt? 13 13 are too closed to find these differences. THE WITNESS: Barbolt. 14 MR. ANDERSON: Go ahead, I am 14 But if you believe that it is everything 15 15 similar mild to moderate, it is -- yes, it sorry. 16

16 THE WITNESS: And meanwhile I is -- meanwhile, I know that may be the 17 reason that Barbolt come up to this opinion, have learned that he think that 18 everything is mild to moderate and but I'm not an expert of Barbolt. 19 didn't see any differences or any

20

21

22

23

- So have you reviewed studies conducted by Dr. Barbolt to understand his opinions in that regard?
- I've seen some of these documents, and in some of these, I think a deposition of Barbolt, but yeah, I've seen

impact of the material and

disappointing point for us was that

a discussion to see what are the

we -- we would have accepted very well

methods, what can be done better and

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

10

11

12

13

24

- the data of his report and his publication, I think where he compared different mesh
- think, where he compared different mesh
- ³ materials and the result was mild to
- 4 moderate. He very often repeated that his
- reaction is mild to moderate. So it's a very
 often used phrase by us.
- Q. And, Dr. Klinge, is the
 information that you've described about what
 you've learned about Dr. Barbolt only come to
 you during your work on this litigation?
 - A. I don't have any other information about the work of him.
 - Q. Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- A. Just this mainly based on this personal impression at that time, which was rather disappointing and it was really no scientific discussion and later on, I've seen his comment, his interpretation of his data.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. These are the two only --
- Q. At the time of the meeting in
 1999 or 2000, you didn't have any idea of the
 basis of the comment that Dr. Barbolt made at
 the time of that meeting; is that fair?

- Page 168 you reviewed the depositions and testimony of
- Dr. Barbolt in this litigation that you drew
- ³ a conclusion of what Barbolt meant in 1999
- when he said that Dr. Klosterhalfen's data
 was not relevant; is that fair?
 - A. It is my assumption that maybe he had thought at that time that because of this he gave it to us.
 - Q. Okay.

MR. THOMAS: Let's take a break for lunch.

THE WITNESS: However, it's a difficult style to do it in this manner.

(Off the record at 12:59 p.m.)

(Klinge Exhibit 8 marked for identification.)

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Doctor, Mr. Anderson has kindly given me a copy of a letter from you and Dr. Klosterhalfen to Dr. Engel that is dated December the 8th, 2000. I've marked it as Exhibit number 8.

Is that the letter to which you

Page 167

- A. I didn't -- I didn't know -- we
 just got this reflection by him and then it
 stopped. There was no opportunity to discuss
 these data as we did it now.
 - Q. It was only the last three years during the source of this litigation that you understand how Dr. Barbolt has analyzed certain data from studies he conducted that you draw this conclusion?
 - A. During my work and I'm looking very carefully to all of the references and literature and science about meshes, there is no need to focus, to think about this study. I never had the -- I never saw the necessity to think about it. Just only because I'm asked in -- at the opportunity of this litigation, I had to look to these data there.
 - Q. And that's the first time you drew a conclusion of what Barbolt meant when he said it wasn't relevant; is that fair?
- A. I didn't get the -- all correction.
 - Q. And that's the first time when

referred to earlier where you and

- ² Dr. Klosterhalfen wrote the letter Dr. Engel
- advising Dr. Engel of issues with the VYPRO
- 4 II about which you're concerned?
 - A. That is true.
- Q. I don't need you to read word
 for word, but as you review the letter, what
 are the nature of the problems that you've
 identified with VYPRO II?
 - A. I think it will be best to -- every sentence has some --
 - Q. That's fine. Then you do whatever you need to do to explain to me.
- A. So based on the results of the -- the fresh results of our experiments in rats with the implanted VYPRO II meshes, we have to consider that these mesh modification biologically behave similarly as
- a common heavy-weight mesh as reason for the
 intensified inflammatory fibrotic reaction
- has to be discussed the considerable amount
- of polyglactin, Vicryl, with the considerably
- ²³ fibrogenetic power and the use of
 - multifilaments with the enhanced surface

Page 169

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

quality of the material. These results are
 in accordance to the studies that are done by

³ you and Dr. Holste in pigs.

4

5

7

8

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

From our point of view, the

VYPRO II, as based on the present data,

6 cannot be considered as improvement of the

VYPRO mesh because the amount of the material

and the surface is significantly increased

⁹ and the pore size is reduced. Considering

these effects, it is in contradiction to

the -- to our published principles that have

been the basis for our joint development of

13 the VYPRO mesh. We want to state that we

haven't been involved in the development of

this specific mesh modification. Even if the

16 name VYPRO II, VYPRO, may indicate that we

have been involved. The clinical relevance

of these experimental results we cannot -- we

cannot evaluate or the significance or

²⁰ relevance of these experimental results;

21 however, we advised a very careful testing.

We advised him to test it very carefully and hope this modification rapidly will be replaced by a large pore material and Page 172

A. Yeah. We met in Norderstedt.
We met sometimes at the factory where later
on the meshes have been produced, we met
there. Or we met -- mostly we met in Aachen.

Q. And when you met, were there agenda prepared for the meetings so you knew what you were going to discuss?

A. Usually there had been an agenda that has been spread later on my e-mail to everyone and usually we prepared or I organized that we have some actual presentations of the results of the projects.

Q. So the purpose of the meeting was to keep Ethicon advised of the status of the research that you were doing, correct?

A. The first intention was to give an overview current status of the past projects, of the present projects and of the ongoing projects to say what we need to them. There has been sometimes occasionally some other -- some minutes where they had some devices, 3-D devices where they wanted to present these modifications to the -- that we can feel on it so that we can give some

Page 171

surface reduced monofil modification.

Respectfully.

So that is the entire text.

Q. Did Dr. Engel respond to your letter?

A. I don't remember any response.

Q. Did you discuss your letter to

Dr. Engel with anyone at Ethicon?

A. With anyone else?

10 Q. Yes.

A. I don't recall. He was the head of the R&D department at that time.

Q. Did you take any other action with respect to VYPRO II other than writing the letter to Dr. Engel, which is Exhibit 8?

A. No, we didn't. So far I remember correctly, we didn't intensify our warning to VYPRO II.

Q. Okay. Now, back to our work that you were doing from the period 1994 to 2000. You said you had regular meetings with Ethicon personnel.

Did those meetings occur both at Norderstedt and in Aachen?

Page 173 comments on this sometimes. Not every time.

Q. Did the same group of people from the Aachen group attend these meetings with Ethicon?

A. There is -- always there's Professor Schumpelick there. Most often I've been there. Professor Klosterhalfen very often and the other people on demand. If this project is presented there, if this was ready to be presented there or if they wanted to start a new project, I tried that these guys or some of this group, I usually wanted to have two guys working in one project. If one is on holiday or sick or so that you have some sort of continuation. But one of these guys is there to discuss this.

Q. Dr. Klinge, you've identified now agenda and presentations and I'm sure there are other documents that came out of those meetings.

Did you save the documents from those meetings?

A. I have some of these agenda, e-mails put on your file there are some.

Page 174 Page 176 1 Q. Okay. the rats, he compared the tissue reaction to 2 It is very often prepared by various mesh materials and look what happens 3 Dr. Holste or Dr. Hellhammer. They sent the 3 there. same or the final draft for the agenda and Q. Okay. said how many people, when they come so that 5 We did it since 1994. We are 6 we have to arrange the peoples are not in the looking to the tissue response to various 7 mesh materials. He saw -- he described that OR at that time. 8 there's no significant difference between O. Okay. Are they in English or 9 German? these materials. We use the similar 10 10 A. In German. materials in our experiments and we had a lot 11 11 of publications, a lot of findings showing And are there presentations O. included in the documents you produced as 12 that there is some difference and this is the 12 13 well? 13 basis of our work, our scientific work, our 14 14 A. We -opinions in the development of the 15 15 light-weight meshes, the large pore meshes, Presentations related to the O. 16 16 meetings with Ethicon, just so we're clear. the conception. 17 17 There aren't specific So, yeah, we didn't anything 18 presentations that are linked to these 18 else --19 working meetings here. Because every one of 19 Is it fair to say that based O. 20 my colleagues just showed three, four, five 20 upon the studies you had already done, you 21 slides there. No big presentations. I added 21 disagreed with the findings of Dr. Barbolt in 22 to your -- to the files several presentations 22 his study? 23 23 I made in connection to the Ethicon A. They are in conflict. They are directly in conflict. His conclusions are 24 activities. Page 177 Page 175 Q. Is this before the year 2000 or 1 directly in conflict to our statements. 2 2 Is there anything about the after 2000? 3 MR. ANDERSON: Both. study design of the Barbolt rat studies that 4 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: you can point to that would be a reason for 5 Q. Okay. You mentioned your 5 the conflict? review of the Barbolt animal studies a few 6 6 MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection, 7 7 moments ago that you reviewed in connection Counsel. Barbolt rat studies, which 8 8 ones? Do you have something for him with the litigation. 9 In your -- what animal studies 9 to look at? 10 10 did you review of Dr. Barbolt, do you MR. THOMAS: No, I don't. remember? 11 11 MR. ANDERSON: Because asking 12 12 him to do that without looking at a A. It's mainly in -- I remember 13 there has been a famous dog study and some 13 study I think is unfair. rat studies 90 days or something around this. 14 14 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 15 15 Have you ever tried to Well, you mentioned a 90-day replicate the studies conducted by rat study that you looked at. 16 16 17 Dr. Barbolt that you reviewed? 17 Is that right? 18 18 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. A. Yes. I mentioned it, yeah. 19 THE WITNESS: In principle, we 19 Okay. And you recall of that study that Dr. Barbolt compared a number of 20 didn't anything else. 20 21 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 21 different meshes for tissue reaction, 22 22 I'm sorry, I don't understand correct? Q. 23 23 your answer. A. Yes. 24 24 Dr. Barbolt in his study with And when you reviewed that Q.

study by Dr. Barbolt, 90-day rat study comparing different meshes and their tissue reaction, did you look at the study design?

A. Yes, I looked to the study detail.

Q. Is there anything about the study design that you could point to explain the difference in the results that were found?

MR. ANDERSON: Again, same objection, without having -- to put a study -- to ask him about a study without putting it in front of him, I think, is unfair, Counsel.

MR. THOMAS: If he can answer, he can. If he can't, he can't.

THE WITNESS: I can give you a general comment on this.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Okay.

A. There are his study, but there are several other study or studies as well comparing, for example, heavy-weight more pore meshes and large pore meshes. And it

are coming to the conclusion that there is no impact, the first explanation is that your readout is not sensitive enough.

Page 180

Q. Okay.

A. Because if you just looking to the weather outside, you will not find any impact from the material on the tissue reaction because you are looking with a insensitive view to this.

Q. You said that you and Dr. Klosterhalfen developed special parameters to measure the tissue reaction to mesh materials.

Is that something that you've published, those parameters?

A. That is -- that is one of the -- this is the first main challenge for us. When we looked at the literature at the beginning of the '90s, there are only a few studies by Hinoul and some others looking to the tissue reaction to mesh materials. There has been only a very rough description that there is some scar, nothing else, and they didn't differentiate between the different

Page 179

depends from the readout whether you find a different tissue reaction, yes or not.

If you don't find a significant difference and come up to the conclusion that there is no different reaction between the Marlex Prolene® and all of these guys and the other guys, if you come to the conclusion that there is no difference, it can have two reasons; first, there is no impact of the material or the readout is not sensitive enough to see any difference there. That only can be the reason for this.

Q. Okay.

A. So we have worked a lot and this was mainly done with Bernd Klosterhalfen together to define readouts to identify these differences. Because from our clinical experiences, we know that there are these differences. We wanted to understand what are these differences and, therefore, we developed parameters that are able to see the impact of mesh material and the tissue response.

So Barbolt when -- or when you

Page 181 materials, the different properties. There even was a sufficient characteristic of these mesh designs.

So when we started to think about how to optimize, how to evaluate the impact of a modification on the tissue response, we need to get very precise parameters, reproducible parameters, objective parameters, that helps to find the differences and that helps to understand the differences we know from the OR and we started from a surgical problem and used science to explain the surgical problem, not otherwise around.

Q. So you developed --

A. We developed parameters.

Q. New parameters. Have you published those parameters?

A. Of course, it is published in -- if you look to our publications in the '90s and later on, we usually introduced a textile analysis for characterization of the mesh material and then we added a very

	Page 182		Page 184
1	precise description of material and methods.	1	the literature there and if you're
2	We presented the measurement, the	2	trying to identify what is the result
3	quantitative measurement of the partial	3	of our activities to the present
4	volume of connective tissue, inflammatory, we	4	publications, in the presentations, in
5	counted the cells. So that is all what you	5	the publications of Heniford, you will
6	have seen in the presentation of Professor	6	find a lot of our ideas. In the
7	Klosterhalfen.	7	presentations of Carla Deacon, you
8	Q. Okay. Other than yourself and	8	find a lot of ideas and calculations.
9	Dr. Klosterhalfen, who else studies in this	9	If you look to the studies of, for
10	field that you respect?	10	example, Luenski from US, from Bellan
11	MR. ANDERSON: Objection as to	11	from Spain, you find a lot of these
12	"this field."	12	ideas. They are looking to the
13	THE WITNESS: I respect very	13	macrophages, they're looking to the
14	much very many persons studying in	14	fibrotic tissue. They're mentioning
15	this field. Most of them have very	15	pore size, they're mentioning
16	limited resources to do research in	16	light-weight, heavy-weight. So a lot
17	this field; however, they struggle a	17	of these ideas are meanwhile became
18	lot, sometimes they have good ideas so	18	an essential. If you want to study
19	a lot of persons that made	19	meshes, textiles in surgery, yeah, you
20	presentations at the hernia	20	will do it in this way.
21	conferences or they all of the	21	
22	people they that we could invite at	22	Q. Okay.A. And this was not known in the
23	·	23	
24	the Suvretta meetings, I have deep	24	days before.
	respect for their contribution to this		Q. In the time that you and your
	Page 183		Page 185
1	Page 183 field.	1	Page 185 group were conducting the testing that you
1 2	_	1 2	_
	field.		group were conducting the testing that you
2	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	2	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in
2 3	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States?	2 3	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the
2 3 4	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews	2 3 4	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing?
2 3 4 5	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I	2 3 4 5	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your
2 3 4 5 6	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford?	2 3 4 5	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact,
2 3 4 5 6 7	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford?	2 3 4 5 6	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor A. Great people.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the correct term.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor A. Great people. Q. Good. In the field today, the people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the correct term. Q. Throughout this period, you did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor A. Great people. Q. Good. In the field today, the people you've just described who were involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the correct term. Q. Throughout this period, you did animal implantation studies to understand how mesh would interact with an animal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor A. Great people. Q. Good. In the field today, the people you've just described who were involved in research in materials and mesh, do they use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the correct term. Q. Throughout this period, you did animal implantation studies to understand how mesh would interact with an animal? A. We still are doing it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor A. Great people. Q. Good. In the field today, the people you've just described who were involved in research in materials and mesh, do they use the same objective parameters and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the correct term. Q. Throughout this period, you did animal implantation studies to understand how mesh would interact with an animal? A. We still are doing it. Q. I'm focusing right now on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor A. Great people. Q. Good. In the field today, the people you've just described who were involved in research in materials and mesh, do they use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the correct term. Q. Throughout this period, you did animal implantation studies to understand how mesh would interact with an animal? A. We still are doing it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor A. Great people. Q. Good. In the field today, the people you've just described who were involved in research in materials and mesh, do they use the same objective parameters and reproducible tests that you and Dr. Klosterhalfen use?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the correct term. Q. Throughout this period, you did animal implantation studies to understand how mesh would interact with an animal? A. We still are doing it. Q. I'm focusing right now on the period 1994 to 2000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	field. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Anyone from the United States? A. There are a lot of Matthews from New York, so just the recent ones that I can remember Filippo, Fitzgibbons. Q. Heniford? A. Heniford, Kopf, Ransho. Ransho did an excellent job when he developed his institute and made these analysis. Carla Deacon, she presented a lot of textile data to ease the characterization, their amitus clinician, yeah. Q. Doctor A. Great people. Q. Good. In the field today, the people you've just described who were involved in research in materials and mesh, do they use the same objective parameters and reproducible tests that you and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	group were conducting the testing that you did on the 20 meshes from 1994 to 2000 in order to understand the meshes, were you the person who supervised the testing? A. I don't know what is your meaning of "supervising." I was in fact, those I was responsible for all these things and I did a lot of these things myself, but there have been some others where my colleagues did it theirselves or themselves without sitting aside and doing it all myself. Q. So you did A. Supervising is maybe the correct term. Q. Throughout this period, you did animal implantation studies to understand how mesh would interact with an animal? A. We still are doing it. Q. I'm focusing right now on the period 1994 to 2000. A. Yes.

Page 186 Page 188 have ultimate responsibility for those optimize it. So we had -- in the beginning, 2 studies? we had other time points. Later on, we 3 changed the time points a little bit. At the MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 4 beginning, we made some explantation of the form. 5 42 days, then we later on learned that it's Go ahead. 6 THE WITNESS: In general, yes. 6 not necessary to do so. 7 7 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** But then we started a project, 8 you have a protocol, and in this protocol, O. And I'm not --9 you have before -- you have to define how to MR. ANDERSON: Are you finished 10 handle the tissue that is explanted, when do with your answer? 11 THE WITNESS: Just to explain 11 it and so on. 12 12 Q. I think you misunderstood my this. 13 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 13 question. 14 14 O. I'm talking about the front end Sure. 15 15 Because there are several before you put the mesh in the animal, was A. there a standardized procedure about how you 16 variations later on. 17 17 prepared the mesh prior to implant? So there are some -- I usually 18 have been involved in it, but the degree of 18 A. There is a standardized 19 19 my activity for defining every detail to procedure, ves. 20 this, this may vary a little bit. 20 Does that standardized O. 21 21 Did your animal test during procedure include sterilizing the mesh? 22 22 this period from 1994 to 2000 have a standard I read that this was an issue in your discussions. 23 methodology that you followed for animal 23 24 implantation studies? I am sorry, where did you read O. Page 189 Page 187 There are general rules for 1 1 that? 2 animal to take care so -- worldwide-accepted A. In the deposition, in the 3 rules for doing animal experiments so these question with Klosterhalfen, you already have to be considered, of course. But if you 4 discussed this issue. 5 ask specifically, we have developed different 5 Q. I think you mentioned it in 6 animal models, if you like to talk of models your deposition last year, too. 7 in relationship to animals. But there are --That the sterilization? A. 8 8 Q. Not today. You sterilized the mesh before O. 9 A. There are some certain models. you did the implants. 10 10 Because it is different whether you made a We did it for the meshes that 11 11 full abdominal wall replacement in a rat or 12 whether you made a placement of the mesh only 13 in the subcutaneous space, whether you want 13 time, they didn't have the facility to have 14 to investigate the reaction within the 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

15 abdominal indicative, sometimes you need some 16 bigger animals for the shrinkage, you need 17 bigger animals in mice, you're very limited 18 to do so, so there is a -- maybe half a dozen 19 or a dozen different animal settings with --20 where we try to make it in a standardized 21 way. 22

Did you standardize the sample preparations of the mesh prior to implant?

We permanently tried to

are -- where we got -- for PVDF meshes, for example, that we got from the FEG. At that sterilized. All mesh materials from Ethicon we got completely cut in a fashion 2.5 to 3.5 centimeters, and they're clear for use. They are sterilized, they are picked, they are cut, so that was part of our working meetings there to say that we need meshes five to five centimeters, and we got them completely for this purpose and there was printed on for experimental use.

So all these meshes that are coming from Ethicon, they are completely

23

24

Page 190 picked and sterilized for use. mesh to be used in the pelvic floor was a new 2 2 mesh? So just to answer the question, 3 I don't recall that I got any 3 for the Ethicon meshes that you used in your animal studies for the period 1994 to 2000 -more precise information about what was 5 ongoing there. I later on saw that in the A. Yeah. area of 2001, Dr. Hellhammer prepared a big 6 -- did you sterilize those Q. 7 report where she outlined that VYPRO would be yourselves? 8 maybe a good solution for the use in the A. No. 9 Q. Prior to use? 9 pelvic floor as well. 10 10 I assumed that this was a Α. No. It was not necessary. 11 For the period 1993 to 2000, 11 consequence of our conversation. O. did any of your work for Ethicon focus on 12 12 Now, do you use pelvic floor to 13 pelvic floor? 13 include stress urinary incontinence? 14 14 Please, can you rephrase it? A. No. 15 15 Sure. O. And I distinguish between O. 16 16 pelvic floor and stress urinary incontinence, Pelvic floor can mean different 17 so I ask the question again. 17 things in different people and when I refer 18 Did any of your work from the 18 to pelvic floor, I refer to the treatment of 19 period 1994 to the year 2000 deal with the cystoceles, rectoceles, pelvic organ treatment of stress urinary incontinence? 20 20 prolapse. 21 21 I refer to other meshes for the A. No. 22 22 O. Doctor, was there a time in treatment of stress urinary incontinence as a 23 your consulting relationship with Ethicon 23 different category. 24 where you were consulted with respect to the Do you separate the two? Page 191 use of mesh for stress urinary incontinence? 1 I wouldn't separate it in the 2 I only recall one, two way that you're doing. I know that there 3 conversations with Dr. Hellhammer when she are -- from the clinical standpoint, there are different diseases. They're treated informed me that there is an upcoming issue to use textiles in the pelvic floor and that differently, they're paid differently. In 6 I pointed out that when doing so, it would be the treatment of these things, if you're 7 very advised to use the principles of the looking at Petros theory, you can treat VYPRO project for this purpose. everything with slings and with meshes, flat 8 9 Q. Did she -meshes. They acted differently. They have 10 10 Α. And later on this was -- we different task, they have different 11 11 invited Professor Unsten at one of the consequences. So incontinence can be a 12 Suvretta meetings to cover this -- the use of consequence of a prolapse as well. So there 13 textiles in the pelvic floor as well, but we 13 is a huge mixup of therapies and diagnosis 14 didn't do any research or any development 14 and of treatments there. 15 15 with focus on these two. I would prefer for the 16 16 Q. In the conversation you had

with Dr. Hellhammer, do you know whether the 17 mesh to which she was referring was in the 19 pelvic floor or for stress urinary 20 incontinence?

21

22

23

I don't recall that there was A. this differentiation.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 Q. Did you understand in your 24 conversation with Dr. Hellhammer that the

development of a device, for the treatment in the pelvic floor area that you have to -- I would prefer to differentiate between the flat meshes, tension-free, extended area or the slings that are intended to replace ligaments. So from the functional side, I think it is more helpful to differentiate between these two than to say pelvic floor is prolapse and incontinence is sling. That is

Page 192

Page 193

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

not reflected by the various options that you
 have in the treatment and that -- but I know
 it's an ongoing discussion.

If you go to the Congresses for them, there are some preferring meshes. There are some meshes with slings or arms and some others are preferring slings as well so --

- Q. At what point did you first study the use of slings for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- 12 The first time I was invited by A. 13 Professor Schulser, he made a local meeting 14 there for his colleagues. He's a 15 gynecologist and they -- they were starting 16 the discussion in -- I don't remember the 17 name. The year maybe 2004, so something around -- it was not come an issue whether to 18 19 use other plastic materials in the pelvic 20 floor, yes or not. And he invited me as a 21 surgeon with the experience of hernia meshes 22 which are the -- in fact, similar or the same 23 as in gynecology to reflect our experiences, 24 our knowledge there. That was the first

Page 196

- results, how -- the characteristics. It's a
- ² terminology that is not familiar to surgeons,
- ³ gynecologists and urologists. We have worked
- ⁴ for ten years to introduce it in the surgical
- community, but the gynecologists and
- ⁶ urologists still have a limited knowledge
- about these terminology and, therefore, I was
- invited by him, later on by Dr. Tunn in
- 9 Berlin and later on by Ethicon in 2007 for
- the same purpose to present our experiencesthere.
 - Q. And is it fair to understand that you presented your experiences insofar as they relate to meshes and hernia repair to those people who are knowledgeable and experienced in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence to see the extent to which those two went together?
 - A. The main topic we present is what happens if you use a textile, what happens in the tissue and what are the main things that you have to consider when using a textile in the tissue and this is based on our experiences in surgery for hernia

Page 195

time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Later on, I had the opportunity -- he sent us some of his explanted slings. We made a publication together, then we made a review for the journal together. So that was the starting point to think of it and, yeah, today, if I regularly look to the literature and if you look to mesh, then meanwhile one-third of the publications are referring to pelvic floor mesh.

Q. The first work that you did in connection with slings for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, you came at the invitation of your friend in Lucern; is that right?

MR. ANDERSON: Lucern. THE WITNESS: Lucern. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Sorry.

And what was the purpose of your presentation there?

A. To present the experiences with textiles in surgery. The experimental

Page 197

- patients, on the preclinical studies, which are quite similarly used for many, many different devices. That has been the focus there.
- Q. Have you ever studied the placement of transvaginal tape for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- A. No. No. We didn't study it ourselves and surprisingly when looking to the literature, I did not know -- I didn't find preclinical studies using comparison -- or studying the tissue reaction to slings.
- Q. Is it fair to understand that, as you sit here today, you still have not studied the transvaginal placement of mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence specifically?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: It is correct that we didn't study this specific application because there is no good animal model, but what we did extensively was we studied Prolene®. So that was without any doubts.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. At what point did you begin consulting with Ethicon on pelvic organ prolapse?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I never was asked by Ethicon to think about this issue.
- Q. Okay. Doctor, have you ever been involved in any degradation studies for mesh, polypropylene mesh?
- 10 A. Involved in this direction that 11 we -- that I advised to make the electron 12 microscopy investigation that is later on 13 published last year, I think, by Klink where 14 he presented the electron microscopy, the 15 comparison of PVDF and polypropylene. 16 Because he wanted a manuscript dealing with 17 the long-term result of a PVDF implant and, 18 therefore, I thought it would be very helpful 19 if they added this -- they tried to make an 20 electron microscopy and add it to the 21 manuscript and, in fact, they did it.

This was to my knowledge the only thing where I initiated a specific study in this work for degradation.

Page 198

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

from my experience or what are the readouts,

- ² to define the readouts so we get a reliable
- result of such a study or whether it's
- ⁴ insufficient to calculate the number of
- animals. Usually the discussion of this I'm
 involved in this.
 - Q. Was Dr. Klink a student at the university at the time?
 - A. No, he's a doctor.
 - Q. So he's a colleague of yours?
 - A. A colleague.
 - Q. Okay. Were you present with Professor Klosterhalfen when Professor Klosterhalfen had a debate with Clavé about his presentation on degradation of polypropylene?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I have been in Dijon at this meeting and saw the presentation of Clavé there, and I know that there has some dispute, academic dispute, between them. From my impression, it was not very

Page 199

- Q. When you talk about this study by Dr. Klink, who is Dr. Klink?
- A. He's one of our surgical coworkers.
 - Q. At the university?
 - A. At the university, yeah.
- Q. Did you appear on the studywith him?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who else is on that study?
 - A. Some other surgical coworkers. I didn't recall everyone.
 - Q. And did you have any involvement with the design of the study that Dr. Klink conducted?
 - A. The placement of this material, the rough general plan, yes, but not specifically the details of this study.
 - Q. Tell me what involvement you had in the rough general plan of the study.
 - A. The principle idea, what to do or what to investigate, that is discussed with me so that I can say this is already done, this is insufficient to get published

Page 201

Page 200

- intense, but maybe I'm a surgeon and in surgery it is -- we have another sensation for this. So, yeah, it was a conflicting while surprising result there that he shows not -- yeah, that what he shows there.
- **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:**
- Q. And what was it surprising in what Clavé reported?
- A. Both in the extent of the degradation of the polypropylene, we didn't expect it at that time point and that he failed to see a degradation in the polyester. Because we already some years ago had seen it even by light microscopy and there wasn't this degradation. There was good literature from vascular grafts made of polyester that polyester is going to be degraded, and if someone is standing up and saying, "No, polyester is not degrading," that was something we could not understand at that time point.

It was not the fact that he showed the polypropylene was going to show

this surface cracking because we are not absolute fan of either polymer, of these both polymer.

2

3

4

6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. After the meeting in Dijon, did you and Dr. Klosterhalfen talk about doing a degradation study on polypropylene?
- 7 There was a discussion. It was 8 in the car of Klosterhalfen when we came back 9 to this so we had some hours time to discuss 10 this. And, yes, there appeared the idea that 11 it would be necessary -- that it would be 12 helpful, in particularly as we favor PVDF. 13 So we are interested, and I think it would be 14 an interesting question as well what happens 15 in comparison to those. So a new field which 16 you can study, that was the result of this.
 - Q. And did you work on designing a study to determine the extent to which polypropylene degrades as compares to PVDF?
 - A. No. I did not make a complete study. It was the idea that was raised there. It was the idea to take some implants, to go over there, to pay for it and to give some -- to get some images and to see

Page 204

- tissues for different time points. You have
- to look in the presence of infection, in
 germs and in the absence of this materia
- germs and in the absence of this material.
 You have to look with various very sensitive
- methods to look what is degraded, what

happens there.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 203

So a lot of these things, if you look to the literature dealing with degradation, there are a lot of methods, techniques that, of course, can be done, but it takes a lot of time, resources, money, to do so. It is simple to take some rats and look what happens after two years.

Q. And why is that a problem? Why didn't you take rats and implant the sutures and look after two years?

Why is that?

A. The rat is surviving only two years or three years. It does have different immunological capabilities than humans. It does not have the disease. You cannot implant it in the -- in a functional similarity to what is in humans. So any animal experiments just give you some small

Page 205

what happens because at that time point, we

² didn't know whether it's sensitive enough or

³ not. To make a study, to really study

⁴ degradation process, what is impact, is it

controlled, at what time point, what is the

consequence, it needs a lot of more power and

7 resources to make a real study of it.
8 O And when you say that when you

- Q. And when you say that, what needs to be done in the area of degradation to understand the nature and extent of degradation of polypropylene?
- A. The problem of degradation is that it -- my experience of degradation is we had to do it -- we had some studies for -- with absorbable material and absorbable material in a reasonable time period shows some degradation. But then we have to define what happens there. There is -- there are chemical questions, the problem is the degradation after two years, how do we find this, animal models are limited. You can't use rats on it. There are only certain

aspects. Some small aspects you can investigate in animals, some others not, so you have to make a network research degradation of the polymer.

So different -- many, many different aspects. Not one.

- Q. Doctor, are you aware of a study in the literature today that you would identify as being reliable to analyze the extent to which polypropylene degrades in vivo in a human?
- A. I cannot answer to what extent in vivo because it should be more precise to what conditions.

The only thing that I can really good or -- I can answer is the question does it degrade, yes or not, and meanwhile, I think if you look to all of the arguments, to all of the studies, there is to -- I'm certain, I'm convinced that there is degradation to the polypropylene when you implanted it into -- in tissues, yes.

Q. Is that based upon the scanning electron microscopy?

animals. You cannot -- you should have

looked at various localizations at various

	Page 206		Page 208
1	A. It is based on all these	1	You mean the human?
2	various publications that meanwhile have	2	Q. Yes.
3	shown these these surface cracking or	3	A. Human.
4	these degradation, these morphological	4	Q. The answer is the same?
5	changes, included the investigation that are	5	A. For the humans, not they
6	done for this. All of this is in agreement.	6	didn't get any specimen for further
7	It's consistent. All together it makes clear	7	investigation. Of course, in the experiments
8	there is some and it should have been	8	and project I do together with them, we share
9	investigated so that you have an	9	the results of these.
10	understanding by the manufacturer, not by the	10	Q. The animal studies?
11	surgeon, not by the patient.	11	A. The animal studies, yeah.
12	Q. When you and Dr. Klosterhalfen	12	Q. But you've never shared with
13	came back from Dijon and talked about	13	them a human
14	conducting a degradation analysis, did the	14	A. Human explants, no.
15	two of you decide what to do?	15	Q. Dr. Klosterhalfen testified
16	A. I recall that we discuss how	16	this weekend that he did give some of the
17	this can be done and this can be done at	17	explants that he had from his collection for
18	human explants to bring them somewhere, yeah.	18	FEG to study.
19	That was the discussion there.	19	Did you have any involvement in
20	Q. And there came a point in time	20	consulting with how those explants would be
21	when FEG paid for certain testing, correct?	21	prepared for analysis?
22	A. They initiated this thing.	22	A. As I told you, it was not my
23	Q. Did you suggest it to them?	23	expertise to say how a section has to be
24	A. To do this?	24	prepared for performing a electron
	71. To do uns:		prepared for performing a electron
_		_	
	Page 207		Page 209
1	Q. Yes.	1	microscopy.
2	Q. Yes.A. It was a discussion among	2	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh
2 3	Q. Yes.A. It was a discussion amongObolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these	2	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant?
2 3 4	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been	2 3 4	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to
2 3 4 5	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they	2 3 4 5	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic	2 3 4 5 6	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences	2 3 4 5 6	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We
2 3 4 5	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic	2 3 4 5 6	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study.	2 3 4 5 6	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh materials, yes, but I'm not able to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike that. When did you do that? When did you clean tissue to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh materials, yes, but I'm not able to give advice on how to do an electron microscopy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike that. When did you do that? When did you clean tissue to learn about the permanent structure of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh materials, yes, but I'm not able to give advice on how to do an electron microscopy. Q. Did you provide any explants	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike that. When did you do that? When did you clean tissue to learn about the permanent structure of the material?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh materials, yes, but I'm not able to give advice on how to do an electron microscopy. Q. Did you provide any explants from your collection to FEG to test?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike that. When did you do that? When did you clean tissue to learn about the permanent structure of the material? A. Sometimes in between the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh materials, yes, but I'm not able to give advice on how to do an electron microscopy. Q. Did you provide any explants from your collection to FEG to test? A. Not that I recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike that. When did you do that? When did you clean tissue to learn about the permanent structure of the material? A. Sometimes in between the first ten years of our research.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh materials, yes, but I'm not able to give advice on how to do an electron microscopy. Q. Did you provide any explants from your collection to FEG to test? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you ever provided to FEG	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike that. When did you do that? When did you clean tissue to learn about the permanent structure of the material? A. Sometimes in between the first ten years of our research. Q. Okay. How did you clean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh materials, yes, but I'm not able to give advice on how to do an electron microscopy. Q. Did you provide any explants from your collection to FEG to test? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you ever provided to FEG any mesh explants for them to analyze that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike that. When did you do that? When did you clean tissue to learn about the permanent structure of the material? A. Sometimes in between the first ten years of our research. Q. Okay. How did you clean materials?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yes. A. It was a discussion among Obolensky, Klosterhalfen and me that these are interesting findings. The FEG has been participating in Dijon as well so they realized that there is maybe a new topic there and they wanted to have own experiences in this field and, therefore, they initiated the study. Q. Did you consult with FEG about how to conduct the study? A. I'm not an expert in electron microscopy, that is in the field from Professor Klosterhalfen. I can just say, yeah, should be done in explanted mesh materials, yes, but I'm not able to give advice on how to do an electron microscopy. Q. Did you provide any explants from your collection to FEG to test? A. Not that I recall. Q. Have you ever provided to FEG	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	microscopy. Q. Have you ever cleaned a mesh explant? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: We did it. We did it from cells and from proteins to get the permanent structure, to demonstrate the permanent structure of these materials, we sometimes did it. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And did you do it strike that. When did you do that? When did you clean tissue to learn about the permanent structure of the material? A. Sometimes in between the first ten years of our research. Q. Okay. How did you clean

Page 210 Page 212 1 We usually use some proteases discussion there. A. 2 2 to do so. Q. Sure. 3 3 Q. Tell me what a protease is. But I don't recall it. Α. 4 A protease is a protein that's Q. Okay. And this is Coda, A. 5 able to degrade other proteins so they can 5 C-o-d-a? remove or get soluble in a liquid solution. 6 6 A. Yes, C-o-d-a, Italian, and it 7 Are there different kinds of is in Hernia published and coauthor I know is 8 proteases? Ben David. Robert Ben David. 9 9 A. Yes. Q. How long ago was this, do you 10 10 Q. I figured. know? 11 Numerous. I don't know them 11 A. '99, 2000. A. 12 12 all by heart. Did you look to the methods Q. 13 What type of protease would be 13 used by Coda in his publication to learn how Q. 14 appropriate for cleaning human tissue off an to remove the tissue from the explants where 15 15 explant? you've done that? 16 16 A. I don't recall. I would have A. I remember that we have -- that 17 to look to the protocols. 17 we look in the literature to find some 18 And where would you look to 18 references to see what to -- what to do with 19 figure that out? Would it be in the these tissues and how to remove the tissues, 20 published literature? 20 but I don't recall -- I recall that we only 21 21 Yeah, there is -- the first man found three, four publications at that time Α. 22 22 who did it was maybe Coda. It was an Italian when we did it offering some protocols to do 23 23 publication, in Hernia, together with Ben these experiments, but I don't recall why we David, a Canadian surgeon, and they published did and for what purpose. I cannot imagine. Page 213 Page 211 for the first time the change of pores after 1 Do you know whether the methods used by Coda and Ben David in their study are explantation and they removed all tissues 3 from their explants. accepted today as an appropriate method to remove tissue without damaging the mesh 4 And what was the finding of Q. 5 that study? material? 6 6 A. That when you're doing this and A. I never -- and I extensively 7 you're removing all of this tissue -- all of looked through the literature. I never found 8 these tissues and make them a photograph of a statement which is close to what you are 9 these meshes, the pores are bigger than in questioning. 10 10 the textile -- in the pristine form, I think Okay. Dr. Klosterhalfen 11 11 testified this weekend that the explants that is the word. he supplied to FEG were cleaned before 12 12 MR. ANDERSON: Pristine, yeah. 13 13 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** analysis. 14 14 So what kind of mesh was Did you have anything to do with deciding a method by which the explants 15 15 tested, do you know? 16 would be cleaned? It was usually large pore, 16 heavy-weight meshes, I don't have any detail. 17 17 A. By what? By --Several. They have maybe 20 different 18 18 O. Did you have any contribution -- strike that. 19 explants and then they are analyzed. 19 20 20 Did Coda and Ben David give an Did you tell Dr. Klosterhalfen 21 explanation as to why the pores were larger 21 or anybody your ideas about how to clean the 22 22 after cleaning than they were pristine? explants before they were analyzed by 23 23 scanning electron microscopy? A. Of course, they tried to give 24 24 an explanation. There always is a huge I don't recall any specific

Page 214 Page 216 device there and, of course, it is in his 1 Are you familiar with that 2 study? field how to prepare sections for electron 3 microscopy. I just recalled some discussions I know that there is a -- there whether, yeah, there are some pros and some has been a project together with -- with an 5 cons to clean it and not to clean it and so, urologist from Neuss, close to Dusseldorf 6 where you're going tomorrow, Dr. Otto, and if yeah. 7 you look to the -- and this has already Q. What are the pros and cons? 8 A. To clean it or not to clean it. published, Otto and Dr. Klosterhalfen 9 together they have published some article if you clean it, you -- you expect that you 10 only have the polymer there without any dealing -- because he's working on the -- to 11 alteration by some attachments from outside. 11 find better mesh materials for the pelvic 12 12 If you clean it, you may get -floor area. I know that they performed this 13 as a consequence, you may get some damage by study in collaboration with this Hungarian cleaning process. So whatever you're doing. 14 14 lab and these are mentioned as coauthors. So 15 Q. Did you see the results of the 15 I don't recall the address, but if you're 16 FEG testing of the Klosterhalfen explants? going to this article, they're mentioned as 17 17 Some of these. Not -- I'm sure coauthors. 18 I didn't see all of them, but I saw some of 18 Q. Okay. So you understand that 19 these images. the study conducted by FEG comparing 20 20 degradation of PVDF and polypropylene has now Q. Do you have copies of those 21 been published by Dr. Klosterhalfen and 21 images? 22 22 Dr. Otto? A. They're included in my 23 23 presentations there. And it is --MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 24 24 And the presentations you're form. O. Page 217 Page 215 talking about, the presentations that you **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 2 2 make to --Is that right? Is that what 3 A. And you have and is similar you said? 4 4 images as Klosterhalfen presented. A. No, that is not right. 5 Q. Okay. Do you have any study 5 Q. I am sorry, I misunderstood 6 6 analysis of the people who conducted the you. 7 scanning electron microscopy that would You asked me whether there was

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

- reflect how they prepared the samples and took the photographs, the images, that you now have in your presentations?
- I didn't saw the protocols, how A. they did the analysis.
- Do you have any paperwork at all from that study?
- If you call it this pilot study A. from the FEG, I didn't have any.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any documents other than the images that you've described that deal with the FEG analysis of the Klosterhalfen explants?
- A. No, I don't have.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Dr. Klosterhalfen also told us that FEG contracted with a lab in Bulgaria to conduct some animals studies with mesh.

- a collaboration. He mentioned a collaboration with a lab in Hungaria. That was the question I tried to answer to this and, therefore, I said, yes, there was this collaboration with this lab in Hungary. It does not -- or it is -- it's not in relation to the electron microscopy study. It's completely different.
- Q. And maybe I better start over. Dr. Klosterhalfen testified this weekend, I believe, that at the same time or about the same time as FEG asked the lab here in Aachen -- GFE I think it is?
 - A. Yeah.
- -- to conduct electron microscopy of some of his explants, the FEG also conducted an animal study. I believe he

	Page 218		Dana 220
	5		Page 220
1	said Bulgaria, maybe it was Hungary?	1	As I recall Professor
2	A. Hungary. I don't know	2	Klosterhalfen's testimony, he said that came
3	Bulgaria. It may be.	3	from the Bulgarian study.
4	Q. Where there was a rat study	4	Is that the same as Dr. Klink's
5	conducted with implants of an FEG mesh, their	5	study?
6	IPOM mesh that had both PVDF and	6	A. Maybe we both have incorrect or
7	polypropylene strands side-by-side and the	7	incomplete information about this.
8	rat study was conducted there and results	8	Q. Do you
9	reported back and he uses some of the images	9	A. So we have we have to
10	from that study in his presentation.	10	yeah, we can try to clarify this, so
11	A. Ah, now I get it. It was I	11	obviously, I have not sufficient information
12	think it was the animals. It it was the	12	to be to say exactly there.
13	animals in the report of Klink.	13	Q. Okay. So if you get a question
14	Q. So do you think the Klink study	14	at your next presentation where did this come
15	we've already talked about	15	from, you're not sure?
16	A. They've been done in Russia, in	16	A. Then I will be sure.
17	Moscow. To be precisely, I have to look to	17	Q. Do you know whether FEG adds
18	all of these various things.	18	any chemicals to its polypropylene to
19	Q. Okay. Are you aware of a study	19	stabilize the polypropylene?
20	that FEG conducted in Bulgaria where they	20	MR. ANDERSON: FEG to its
21	implanted mesh from their IPOM mesh into rats	21	polypropylene?
22	to compare the degradation of polypropylene	22	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
23	versus PVDF?	23	Q. Yes.
24	A. I am not aware of a study made	24	A. Yes, they have polypropylene as
	•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 219		Page 221
1	in Bulgaria. I know that there has been some	1	well.
2	studies in collaboration with Professor	2	Q. Yes.
3	Ettinger in Moscow where they implanted some		
		3	A. So I don't know whether they
4	IPOM meshes there. I'm not I do not	4	use additives for polypropylene, but all I
5	recall that this was a study to study	4 5	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is
5 6	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a	4 5 6	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure
5	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation.	4 5	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in
5 6 7 8	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to	4 5 6 7 8	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should
5 6 7 8 9	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface	4 5 6 7	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF
5 6 7 8	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not.	4 5 6 7 8 9	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no
5 6 7 8 9	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking	4 5 6 7 8	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive.
5 6 7 8 9	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point	4 5 6 7 8 9	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a
5 6 7 8 9 10	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this image where you have the PVDF filaments and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second? MR. ANDERSON: Yeah.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this image where you have the PVDF filaments and the polypropylene filaments after four months	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second? MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. (Off the record at 3:10 p.m.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this image where you have the PVDF filaments and the polypropylene filaments after four months implantation in an animal.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second? MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. (Off the record at 3:10 p.m.) (Klinge Exhibit 9 marked for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this image where you have the PVDF filaments and the polypropylene filaments after four months implantation in an animal. Q. Where did you get those?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second? MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. (Off the record at 3:10 p.m.) (Klinge Exhibit 9 marked for identification.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this image where you have the PVDF filaments and the polypropylene filaments after four months implantation in an animal. Q. Where did you get those? A. Where?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second? MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. (Off the record at 3:10 p.m.) (Klinge Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this image where you have the PVDF filaments and the polypropylene filaments after four months implantation in an animal. Q. Where did you get those? A. Where? Q. From what study?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second? MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. (Off the record at 3:10 p.m.) (Klinge Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Doctor, I'm going to hand you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this image where you have the PVDF filaments and the polypropylene filaments after four months implantation in an animal. Q. Where did you get those? A. Where? Q. From what study? A. This is the image that is an	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second? MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. (Off the record at 3:10 p.m.) (Klinge Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Doctor, I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Deposition Exhibit
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recall that this was a study to study degradation of it because this would mean a lot of other things to study degradation. But this these explants have been used to demonstrate whether there is a surface cracking, yes or not. Q. I'm not talking A. So this is an additional point and this was as a result of this, we got this image where you have the PVDF filaments and the polypropylene filaments after four months implantation in an animal. Q. Where did you get those? A. Where? Q. From what study? A. This is the image that is an image we got yeah, I think that is from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	use additives for polypropylene, but all I know is that you have to do it because it is not possible to use polypropylene as a pure polymer for the suture manifictation. So in its polypropylene, they, of course, should have some additives. I know that in the PVDF filaments, that is pure. There is no additive. MR. THOMAS: Take a break for a second? MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. (Off the record at 3:10 p.m.) (Klinge Exhibit 9 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Doctor, I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 9.

Page 222 Page 224 1 1 O. Who sponsors the Suvretta January 18, 2003." 2 meeting in St. Moritz? Have you seen this document 3 3 The Suvretta meeting first -before? 4 the first Suvretta meeting was in January or A. I've seen it just recently, 5 February 1994 and at that time, it was yeah. 6 6 sponsored by Ethicon, Covidien, Brown, maybe O. In preparation for your 7 deposition? three, four major manufacturers with the idea 8 to collect all important persons that can A. Yes. 9 Q. Did you attend a meeting at the discuss about hernia. Because at that time, 10 Suvretta House Hotel in St. Moritz on there was the upcoming new techniques with 11 January 18, 2003? 11 meshes, the endoscopic, the Lichtenstein and 12 12 A. Yes. they wanted to have their people discussing 13 Q. 13 this from the entire world and because this How did that meeting come 14 14 is very -- they choose a very nice place, but about? 15 15 It was at the occasion of the this was very expensive and, therefore, four A. 16 companies are putting the money together. third Suvretta meeting that has been 17 organized in St. Moritz. There was a --17 This was the first conference. 18 yeah, meanwhile, there has been a tradition 18 The second conference, the only 19 19 to make this meeting there and this meeting sponsor was Ethicon, and subsequently all 20 20 other meetings were sponsored only by Ethicon ends one o'clock p.m. on Saturday and 21 21 afterwards, Ethicon organized this additional Hamburg Norderstedt. I don't know. I think 22 meeting there. it is a marketing device, but -- department, 23 23 but they have different people in charge to MR. ANDERSON: Counsel, can I 24 provide the money for this conference. just ask why the document has been Page 223 Page 225 1 redacted? 1 O. And has the focus of the 2 Suvretta conference been on hernia repair? MR. THOMAS: I have no answer. 3 3 Α. The focus of all of these MR. ANDERSON: Okay. 4 Suvretta conferences is with focus on hernia MR. THOMAS: I don't know. 5 repair. First was inguinal hernia. Then we MR. ANDERSON: So we'll send 6 you a note asking whoever on your team have incisional hernia, recurrent hernia, 7 meshes, and last, I don't recall in the to give us an explanation as to why 8 it's been redacted. Okay? moment, but all has been published as books. 9 MR. THOMAS: Yeah. All books have been -- have been bought by 10 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. 10 Ethicon Hamburg Norderstedt. They always got 11 11 MR. THOMAS: And I apologize 1,000 or 2,000 of these books and you can buy 12 it. It's free. All of the presentations are for having no idea. 13 13 written in this book was a lot of work for MR. ANDERSON: I understand. 14 this, yeah. 14 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 15 15 O. So as I understand it, you Doctor, what is -- who sponsors the annual Suvretta meeting? 16 don't recall -- you recall seeing the cover 16 17 17 page of Exhibit Number 9, but you don't I have to correct. I'm not 18 18 sure whether I saw this document. The first recall the rest of the document. 19 19 page, yes, I've seen the first page. If I'm Is that fair? 20 20 MR. ANDERSON: Said he just looking to all these text pages here 21 afterwards, these commence to this, I didn't 21 didn't read it in detail. 22 22 THE WITNESS: So if you ask me work through this consciously until now. 23 23 some specific thing here, I need some Q. Okay. 24 24 time to think about it, yeah. A. That I'm sure.

Page 226 Page 228 1 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** Dr. Stumpf is a surgeon. His focus is more wound healing, wound healing 2 That's fine and we'll do that 3 for after bowel resection and we made several in just a second. Going back to the first page, publications together with him. Some very 5 who is Dr. Zollinger, Robert Zollinger, the experienced surgeon who left two, three years 6 ago who left the university and now is head moderator? 7 of the department in southern Germany. I do not recall. Yeah, Robert A. 8 And Dr. Junge? 8 Zollinger is, of course -- no, I don't 9 9 Dr. Junge at that time was a recall. A. 10 10 young resident, meanwhile he's professor as O. Now, on the surgeon attendees, 11 there's Professor Schumpelick, 11 well. Very enthusiastic and interested in 12 12 Dr. Klosterhalfen, Dr. Conze, Dr. Klinge, making research, very active. So I published 13 Dr. Stumpf and Dr. Junge. 13 about 50, 60 reports together with him 14 14 because it was a pleasure to work with him. Would you consider those to be 15 And what is his area of 15 part of the Aachen group? O. 16 16 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to practice now? 17 17 Visceral general surgery. The form. 18 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't 18 main focus of our department is cancer in the 19 upper GI and liver transportation. So that object. 20 20 is his surgical focus at the moment. **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 21 21 Now, down below the list of And where does Dr. Conze fit in 22 22 the group, what expertise does he bring to surgeon attendees, there are a list of the group? 23 23 Ethicon observers. 24 24 I guess that this was You've told me that you've had A. Page 227 Page 229 invitation of the entire Aachen group. Of interaction with Brigitte Hellhammer, Boris 2 all of the Aachen participants that have been Batke, Dieter Engel. 3 at the Suvretta meeting at that time because Have you had contact over the all of the other participants left already. years with any of these other people of the 4 5 So we have to stay there for another day to 5 Ethicon observers? 6 Sunday and, therefore, I guess that the Though I have a limited memory, 7 but I remember Ohad Lavi and Paul Campbell. invitation and the surgeon attendees included 8 all of the Aachen members that were -- had There has been some periods where we have a 9 the duty to organize this conference and, closer contact. Ohad Lavi was someone, I 10 10 therefore, we have been there. think he was responsible for the educational 11 11 If -- so to my recall, there program of Ethicon and, therefore, he was a 12 was not a specific task for Dr. Conze or a 12 part of the -- of the meetings we have at our 13 presentation or something like that. If you 13 department where Ethicon brought about 20 14 ask me what is the focus of the work that 14 surgeons to our department so that we can 15 15 demonstrate how to operate incisional hernia Conze had done with this, he focused on the 16 16 and Lavi was one of the team. I think, that laparoscopic placement of these meshes. So 17 17 he published some IPOM studies in a rabbit was responsible for this program. What we 18 18 model. This is his -call team hospitance. 19 Do you remember this 19 And he is a hernia surgeon? Q. 20 20 presentation that you made at this meeting He has been -- yeah, he is a 21 surgeon and he has been working at the 21 ten years ago? 22 22 university until summer last year and now he A. Not the -- not the slides in 23 23 left the department. detail. 24 24 Who is Dr. Stumpf? Q. Turn the page, please.

	D 220	1	D 222
	Page 230		Page 232
1	The page top of the page	1	VYPRO was the prototype of this
2	says, "Notes of Panel Discussion,	2	conception.
3	Presentation One, Dr. Klinge, Light Mesh	3	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
4	Theory and Science."	4	Q. That's what I'm trying to get
5	Do you recall giving the first	5	to. Is January 2003, the one that's in the
6	presentation of the Ethicon conference in	6	market at that time is VYPRO?
7	2003 at the Suvretta conference?	7	A. Yeah. But our concern,
8	A. Yes.	8	whatever we made the presentation not for
9	Q. And it appears that you're	9	VYPRO as a product, but VYPRO as an idea of a
10	talking generally about mesh and then you	10	conception. That is what is expressed in the
11	have a lengthy discussion about VYPRO.	11	title, light-weight mesh theory.
12	Is that fair?	12	Q. VYPRO ultimately was not
13	A. Obviously, it seems so, uh-huh.	13	successful.
14	Q. Well, do you recall if the	14	Would you agree with that?
15	focus of your presentation was on the benefit	15	A. It was totally the VYPRO
16	of VYPRO mesh?	16	concept was successful as nothing as
17	A. The benefits of our	17	almost nothing else.
18	presentation is the light-weight mesh theory.	18	Q. But as a commercial success
19	That means that the larger the pores, the	19	MR. ANDERSON: Let him finish.
20	lower the risk. So that is and VYPRO is	20	MR. THOMAS: I didn't mean to
21		21	interrupt him.
22	our example where we for the first time could	22	THE WITNESS: As a commercial
23	realize this principle.	23	
24	Q. At the time that you're giving	24	thing, it was not for several reasons, it was not successful.
21	this presentation in January of 2003, are	21	reasons, it was not successful.
	Page 231		Page 233
1	there other meshes on the market that you	1	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2	would characterize as light-weight, large	2	Q. What are the reasons that you
3	pore?	3	understand that VYPRO was not a commercial
4	A. At that time if I remember	4	success?
5	correctly, that was the meeting where	5	A. First of all, the VYPRO, we
6	ULTRAPROTM was demonstrated or shown for the	6	have been limited when doing the VYPRO, we
7	first time.	7	have been limited by the fibers that are
8	Q. If you go to page 5.	8	available for Hamburg Norderstedt. And that
9	A. Dr. Klosterhalfen, yeah.	9	was a reason that we had to use five
10	Q. It talks about Edelweiss and	10	polypropylene fibers because Dr. Hyntsch was
11	the Monocryl. They're talking about	11	not able to provide any others. Therefore,
12	ULTRAPRO™, I think.	12	there was some not multifilament, but
13	But at the time, were there any	13	polyfilament, increase the surface by the
14	other light-weight, large pore meshes on the	14	VYPRO. That makes it a little bit more
15	market, January 2003, about which you were	15	floppy. So we have been limited in the
16	aware?	16	construction of the VYPRO and this always has
1		1	
17	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	17	a disadvantage that some surgeons considered
18	· ·	17 18	a disadvantage that some surgeons considered this as a multifilament because of the
	Other than what he just stated?		c c
18	· ·	18	this as a multifilament because of the enhanced risk for infection. This is a
18 19	Other than what he just stated? THE WITNESS: So far I recall, the first successor of VYPRO was	18 19	this as a multifilament because of the
18 19 20	Other than what he just stated? THE WITNESS: So far I recall, the first successor of VYPRO was ULTRAPRO TM and then all other	18 19 20	this as a multifilament because of the enhanced risk for infection. This is a structural disadvantage. Then it has been the first on
18 19 20 21	Other than what he just stated? THE WITNESS: So far I recall, the first successor of VYPRO was ULTRAPRO TM and then all other competitors are coming later with	18 19 20 21	this as a multifilament because of the enhanced risk for infection. This is a structural disadvantage. Then it has been the first on the market in this one. So it means that all
18 19 20 21 22	Other than what he just stated? THE WITNESS: So far I recall, the first successor of VYPRO was ULTRAPRO TM and then all other	18 19 20 21 22	this as a multifilament because of the enhanced risk for infection. This is a structural disadvantage. Then it has been the first on

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 234 it's strong, it must work very well, but to 2 think that a floppier one should be better. 3 And this takes a lot of conferences, a lot of publications, a lot of time. So it is a 5 matter of time as well. 6 But the most important thing 7 that VYPRO was not a success was that it was replaced by ULTRAPROTM. So ULTRAPROTM was 8 9 looking nice. It's blue and it's white, it's pure monofilament, it doesn't have the 10 11 Vicryl, it has a Monocryl, which is less 12 inflammatory and fibrotic reaction and, therefore, the ULTRAPROTM is -- has been a hit 14 for them. And if you look to the -- I don't 15 know whether you have the says rates in this, 16 but I don't have it either, but I'm sure 17 ULTRAPROTM meanwhile is the current mesh and 18 it's light-weight, large pores, 3, 4 millimeters, so the conception is very, very 20 successful, but in the form of the ULTRAPROTM 21 for hernia surgery. 22 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

scar net means that the fibrosis is limited to the peri-filamentary area where you have

Page 236

3

pores filled with sket -- with fat tissue. So when you made an imaging of these, then

you will have a net of scar tissue just

around the fibers and in between is the physiological tissue. This is scar net. And

the scar plate would mean everything is integrated into scar.

It is a difficult terminology and later on we didn't -- we replaced this one, I think. I cannot remember that we today are talking about this -- it is too difficult for the audience to understand this.

0. Okay. And bridging, what is bridging in this context today? In January 2003?

A. The bridging is the filling of the pores by scar tissue.

At what point does scar net O. become scar bridging?

Our results at that time point until 2003 indicate that there is a -- yeah,

Page 235

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Q. Did anyone in the Aachen group

have any role in the development of

Go ahead.

ULTRAPROTM?

23

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

THE WITNESS: I don't know anyone. Maybe Professor Schumpelick

himself, but none of my colleagues 6 7

or -- I don't know any.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

On page 2 of Exhibit Number 9, under "Mesh Complications," under "Fibrosis," it says that, "There will be fibrosis either through scar net or bridging."

13 What is scar net? 14 At that time point, we tried to 15 figure out a good terminology to describe the 16 different appearance what we -- we are seeing 17 there. Just to say there is fibrosis, yes, 18 not -- that is not sufficient enough, and at 19 that time point, it was an attempt to get a better -- to make it easier to understand the 20 21 differences and there was on the one hand the 22 scar plate. The scar plate where you have 23 scar all around the mesh and this was -- this 24 was in comparison to the scar net. And the

Page 237 that in the area of 3 millimeters, you don't have any bridging, if you have 3 millimeters there. If you have 200 microns, 600 microns, you always have this sort of bridging there.

Okay. Continuing on, it says, "Granuloma forms around the individual filaments, and if pore size small enough, there will also be bridging, paren, or fibrosis, between the pores which forms a scar plate. When the pores are farther apart, there is no bridging effect with the pores being filled with fat tissue. The limit for the pore size would appear to be 6 to 800 microns. Below this, scar plate; above this, scar net."

What does that mean?

A. If you have small pores, without sticking to the microns, if you have small pores, you have this foreign body reaction around the filaments. They're releasing cytokines, they're attracting fibroblasts and you see if you made a microscope that you only have collagen and inflammatory cells in the pores.

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- When you have larger distance between the filaments there, then you see
- ³ that the pores in the middle, they contain
 - fat tissue which usually is the physiological
- ⁵ local tissue there in this area, but it is

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 6 not any longer filled completely by scar 7 tissue. This is the main difference there
 - tissue. This is the main difference there.

 O. So the scar net is the desired
- Q. So the scar net is the desired
 response of the mesh to the tissue; is that
 fair?
 - A. It is an attempt to describe the nonbridging, the good mesh, yeah.
 - Q. It's the result that you hope to achieve when you implant mesh, you want a scar net, correct?
 - A. We don't want to have a scar plate. We want to have this what we call at that time scar net, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And did you tell these surgeons back in January 2003 that below 800 microns in pore size you would get scar plate, 6 to 800 microns, correct?
 - A. As it is written here, appeared to be so with some limitations. The risk is

where you see these differences and this is

Page 240

Page 241

- in the area of 600, 800, 1 millimeter. It
- ³ evolves -- it changed a little bit because it
- 4 depends a little bit from the animal
- experiments, from the tissue, from the
- material whether you want to have some safety
 limits there in this area.
 - Q. My question is pretty simple and pretty direct.

Do you recall telling these people at this meeting in January of 2003 that above 6 to 800 microns you would -- of a pore size you would expect to get a scar net?

A. I do not recall as I told you exactly that the moment where I told this. I know that I, in very many presentations, I focused on the fact that pore size is decisive and that there is a limit in the range of 600, 800. So sometimes it is -- yeah, we use 1 millimeter because we want to be sure that we have even less risk to get some problems, but the message is that pore size is important and that you have to use large pores. That's it.

Page 239

high for scar plate below this range, yeah,
 that is correct.

- Q. And did you tell --
- A. It depends from the pore size. The risk for getting a scar plate depends on

the pore size. That is the message of this slide.

- Q. Well, did you tell these surgeons and these people from Ethicon in January 2003 that above 6 to 800 microns you would get a scar net?
- A. You have the chance with larger pores. With larger pores, the basic message is that with larger pores, the risk for scar plate is less and the risk for scar net -- to achieve a scar net is better. I know it would be completely wrong to say that there is one figure 600, 800, 900, 1,000. If you have 1,001, it is good, and if you have 999, it is bad. That is not what we tried to bring to the audience. The larger the pores, the lower the risk for a scar plate is there.

There seems to be a significant range where it seemed to change or -- yeah,

Q. As written here, as I read it to you, "The limit for the pore size would

appear to be 6 to 800 microns. Below this,
 equals scar plate; above this, equals scar
 net."

Is that a true statement of your research as of January 2003?

- A. I read the limit for the pore size would appear to be, so some uncertainty is in the sentence there.
 - Q. Yes.
- A. And I recollect that we have made some examination, we have some measurements where we marked it in this area of 600 to 800 microns, yes.
- Q. So that's a true statement of your research as of the time this was given?
- A. At that time point, we had some data indicating that there is a limit.
- Q. Well, is that the best summary of your research as of January 2003 that you're presenting to these surgeons in Exhibit 9?
 - A. As I told you, the best summary

Page 242 Page 244 would be if you stress on the point that generally, please. 2 2 large pores are helpful and small pores are That are mainly other 3 dangerous. 3 modifications of -- that are using PVDF mesh, 4 Is the information that's textile meshes, but they're modified. Q. 5 stated in Exhibit Number 9. "The limit for 5 Okay. And these are all modified of the original FEG patent; is that 6 the pore size would appear to be 6 to 6 800 microns. Below this, equal scar plate; right? above this, equals scar net," is that wrong? 8 8 A. I don't know whether it's a 9 It is incomplete. 9 A. correct term to say modified. It's in 10 10 Q. Okay. addition. Whether it's another patent, I really -- I'm -- whether it's another new or 11 If you are -- what does it 11 A. mean? Wrong or right. At that time point, 12 whether it's a modification and attachment, a 12 13 it was our present knowledge there. 13 supplement of an existing, I don't know. Meanwhile, we know that pore size is much 14 14 Okay. Are all of the patents more difficult to define. 15 that you have on page 41 through -- strike 15 16 16 (Klinge Exhibit 10 marked for that. 17 17 identification.) Are all of the patents that you 18 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 18 have on page 41 of Exhibit 10 with FEG? 19 19 Q. Doctor, I've handed you what's A. Yes. 20 20 been marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 10. (Klinge Exhibit 11 marked for 21 This is a copy of your curriculum vitae. It 21 identification.) 22 22 was produced to us in this case. **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 23 Is this a current CV? 23 Doctor, I'm going to hand you 24 Yes. now what I've marked as Deposition Exhibit A. Page 243 Page 245 1 And the first page sets out Number 11. 2 your employment history and the members of Deposition Exhibit Number 11 is 3 societies of which you're a member? Let me the expert report that you've prepared for start over again. 4 this case, correct? 5 The first page sets out some 5 A. Looks like. general information about your education, 6 6 And as part of your work in 7 employment history, scientific work and the this case, were you asked to look at the 8 professional societies of which you're a Prolene® mesh that is used for the treatment 9 member and the rest of it is your 9 of stress urinary incontinence? 10 10 publications, grants and patents. A. Yes. 11 Is that fair? 11 And I believe from earlier O. 12 You have a question? deposition, I understand that you have used A. 13 Yeah, I asked you if that is 13 Prolene® mesh approximately three or four what it was. Your CV with your -- as I times for the treatment of hernia repair? 14 14 15 15 described it. Half a dozen, yeah. Five times A. 16 A. 16 I told last year. 17 17 Q. Let's talk about your patents Q. And for what purpose would you 18 on the last page, page 41. 18 use Prolene® mesh for the treatment of hernia 19 The first patent is the one we 19 repair? 20 talked about some today, the PVDF mesh on a 20 Today? A. 21 patent with FEG; is that correct? 21 At the time that you did it. Q. At that time, we used Prolene® 22 22 A. Yes. 23 What are the other patents that 23 mesh, Marlex meshes. At the beginning of the O. you have here? Just describe them for me mesh -- of the use of meshes for hernia

2

3

5

6

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- repair so they're -- yeah, when we have been in our learning curves, they have been using 2 this for hernia repair. Today we never would do so, but only with a very limited indication for these materials.
 - Prolene® mesh and Marlex mesh are two different meshes, aren't they?

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Two different meshes. Marlex is from BARD and Prolene® is from Ethicon.
 - And they have different --MR. ANDERSON: Let him finish, please.

THE WITNESS: Prolene® is even more heavier than the Marlex, and Marlex has been the predominant mesh in the beginning of the '90s in Germany.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. And the pore design is different, too, isn't it?
- 21 The pore design is different, 22 yeah. But you -- you almost never find 23 some -- or two different devices that matches 24 completely.

Page 247

So in the five or six times that you used Prolene®, you said you used it for certain indications.

What indications would you use Prolene® for when you were using it in the '90s?

- Today? A.
- In the '90s. Q.
- In the '90s, see, before our work in the '93, '94, we used it as a standard device for reenforcement of large incisional hernia. The only alternative at that time or the other alternative at that time has been Marlex. So to use Marlex or Prolene®, that was the option for.
- How many times did you use O. Marlex in hernia repair?
 - A. Maybe 20, 25.
- Why did you use Marlex more than you used Prolene® in hernia repair?
- A. Marlex at that time has been the predominantly used mesh material in surgery and in our hospital. I guess it has about 70 percent of incisional hernias when

using meshes use Marlex.

- Were you trained to use Marlex in the repair of incisional hernias?
 - A. You can say so, yeah.
- Why? Why were you taught to O. use Marlex for the repair of incisional hernias?
- A. The time period in the '90s, at the beginning of the '90s or in the late '80s, I was trained to do only suture repair, and in the beginning of the '90s, there was an upcoming feeling that suture repair is not sufficient and there was experience that meshes -- reenforcement of tissues but the meshes can be beneficial, and the meshes available at that time was mainly the Marlex mesh. So you have to decide in surgery to try to use -- if you want to try or to use a mesh because of recurrences, if you want to have an additional reenforcement of the tissue, you are using the Marlex mesh. That was our option to do so. And then we saw increasing number of seroma or some patients with serious complaints. And that -- and we

Page 249

Page 248

- had to make some revisions and saw the appearance of these meshes at that time and that was a reason to start our thinking that it can be improved. 5
 - Why did you ever use Prolene® Q. instead of Marlex?
 - I don't recall exactly because it was available. At that time, you ask for a mesh and you get the mesh from the nurses there. It was not popular, and it was not -yeah, most of the surgeons at that time really didn't realize that they have to be very careful in the selection of the mesh material. It was the time period before we started to work on it and there they took the mesh that was available.
 - Before 1993, did you have any information that caused you to choose between meshes for various indications in the hernia surgery you performed?
 - No. No, I don't recall that there was any -- any direct selection of the mesh materials, no.
 - So are you telling me that you

Page 250 Page 252 1 just used what was put in your hands during MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 2 2 the surgery? form. 3 3 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead. 4 4 THE WITNESS: No. The only Form. 5 5 thing is we care whether to make mesh, Go ahead. 6 6 THE WITNESS: We asked for a yes, or to take a mesh, yes, or not. 7 7 That was a big issue and a big suture and we got a suture that was 8 8 bought by the hospital that was discussion at that time, but if you 9 9 provided and we asked for a mesh and decide to use a mesh, the selection of 10 10 we were provided by this mesh. There the best mesh, that was not an issue. 11 wasn't any other alternative. It was 11 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 12 12 allowed to use these meshes. It was a Was Marlex the leader in the 13 13 description to use these meshes in the market for mesh at the time that you were 14 patients and, therefore, we used it. 14 trained in hernia surgery? 15 15 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** A. Yes. To my knowledge, yes. 16 16 Q. So if I understand correctly, Q. Well, when you used sutures, 17 17 those sometimes that you used Prolene® mesh you could order different size sutures, 18 couldn't you? 18 for hernia surgery, it wasn't because you 19 19 chose it, it was because you were given it? MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 20 20 Uh-huh. form. 21 21 Is that true? THE WITNESS: As a surgeon, you Q. 22 22 don't start to -- you have some A. Yes. 23 23 specific sutures that are best O. As a surgeon using Prolene® 24 suitable for your specific purpose and mesh, in handling it and in using it with a Page 251 Page 253 1 a good nurse in the interaction with patient, did you notice any difference 2 2 compared to Marlex mesh? you knows what you want to have. 3 There are some certain standards in 3 A. It's a long time ago. Only --4 every hospital, may be different from 4 not really. 5 hospital to hospital, but then you get 5 Okay. Now, you know from your report that there have been three different 6 the suture material. 6 7 7 versions of Prolene® mesh, correct? Whether there is a difference 8 8 with an absorbable material, whether A. Yes. 9 you take it from Ethicon or Covidien And there is the first O. 10 or from Brown or from other 10 generation Prolene® mesh, the original 11 11 manufacturer, you don't care. Prolene® mesh. 12 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 12 Are you comfortable if I call 13 13 Prior to 1993, did you care it the first generation mesh? 14 what kind of mesh that you put in a patient? 14 A. Sorry, last sentence. 15 15 What do you call the original A. 1993? Q. 16 16 Prolene® mesh, how do you describe it? Before 1993, when you were 17 17 doing hernia surgery, did you care what kind The old Prolene®. In fact, we 18 18 of mesh you put in a patient? have realized within the last two years At that time, we didn't have a 19 19 independent from this litigation that 20 20 there -- that we are offered or that we have satisfying information about the risks and 21 what happens there. 21 images of Prolene® with a lot of variations, 22 22 Did you care before 1993 what and there has been some -- obviously, there 23 kind of mesh you put in a patient when you're 23 has been done some modifications of the 24 doing hernia surgery? Prolene®, but it was not ever communicated to

2

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

me in a clear way until I've seen this Excel 2 sheet where the time course were. That was 3 the first time that we really realized that there has been different modifications of the 5 Prolene® mesh.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, therefore, this was not a common issue in our discussions to see all of these differences. We know that there has been a PHS with the specific textile structure. We know that there has been some changes from the old Prolene® to the new Prolene®, but it was not communicated very well which -- when this was done or whether we have the old one or the new one so.

- When did you first appreciate that there had been three versions of Prolene® mesh in the last 20 years?
- I know it already about ten years ago. I know it that there has been a modification, but we didn't make any comparison or analysis or so. And so finally two years ago we started -- I recollected images from textile meshes and there I got increasingly confused about the terminology

Page 256 '99. So then the second version and then the

third version is --

- 3 Before you saw the documents from this litigation, did you know when the -- what you call the old Prolene® changed to the next Prolene® in 1998 or 1999, did you know that?
 - A. Did you ask me why or when --
 - When, when did you figure that Q. out? Just in the last two years?
 - It was in the -- as I told you, I know in -- I got the information in 2000 in one of the discussions with the Ethicon guys there that they changed it, but we never made any comparison old Prolene® or new Prolene®. I was just informed about it. It was not an issue to think about it at that time. But in the past five years, we made some analysis, more analysis, and now we sought -- we looked to the images that there is a mixing up of these things and then we realized this.
 - O. What do you mean by that, "The last five years there had been a mixing up of things"? I don't understand that.

Page 255

what is done. And if you are looking to the 2 published literature and some of the authors 3 presented some of these textile images at the beginning of their report, you see that there is an entire mixing up of the terminology of 6 this.

So I was really happy when I saw these Excel grid so that you can see this one.

- Okay. Do you have an understanding as you sit here today during what periods of time each Prolene® mesh was used for hernia repair?
- I've seen in this document that in the '90s -- most of the '90s the old Prolene® was available there. And that was in accordance to my pictures from my time with the Prolene® with this specific textile structure. So I assumed that at this time we only have been looking at the old Prolene®.
- At what time did the old Prolene® for hernia repair change?
- A. According to your documents or the Excel sheet I've seen, it was about '98,

Page 257

1 The terminology, if you -there are a lot of publications here claiming that they are investigating Prolene® mesh.

And if you look to the images in the

literature there, you sometimes find the old

Prolene®, sometimes you find the new

Prolene®, but in the text is always Prolene®.

And it was very difficult for me to find out what is it. What is it -- the major

10 difference.

20

21

22

23

11 Other example is SURGIPRO. SURGIPRO is awful for me as a researcher 13 because SURGIPRO you have five, six, seven 14 variations, and it is not indicated by the 15 name alone. So and the same with Prolene®, 16 if you -- if Ethicon had chosen another name, 17 it would be more simple, but for the 18 audience, for the public, it is still 19 Prolene® and that makes it so difficult.

- Which Prolene® mesh did you use in your hernia repairs the five or six times you did it, do you know which one you used?
- A. 1993. So it has to be the old Prolene®. I'm sure all these animal

Page 258 Page 260 experiments, what we did with the materials describe as old Prolene® mesh? 2 2 we got from Ethicon that were the old A. First of all, I rely -- first Prolene®. From the figures, from the images 3 of all, from the time period because we got that I made at that time, it is consistent most of these materials, the Prolene® 5 materials before 1998, and we did some images that it's an old Prolene®, but it was never 6 an official time point where you can say from at that time of the textile and we -- in some this on, the world knows it's another of the publications, you see that we give an 8 overview of the textiles so the surgeon can Prolene®. We never had this time point. 9 understand. And you have this typical Now, when you conduct an animal 10 10 configuration of the Prolene®. study and you use Prolene® mesh in your 11 11 animal study, you describe the mesh that Meanwhile, I'm not sure -- it you're using and the specifications of that 12 12 could have been Amos, but I'm not sure -- no, 13 mesh, don't you? I'm sure that the Ethicon -- that we got 14 Α. We had been the first in our 14 Prolene® -- the old Prolene® from Ethicon. 15 15 reports in 1995, 1996 where we introduced Q. Why are you sure? 16 16 Because of the images and these -- the values of the textile A. 17 17 because of the time frame when we got these characteristic of these meshes that has been 18 done at the Institute for Textile Engineering 18 materials. We started in 1995 with the first 19 19 where we put these meshes and it happens in Prolene® mesh implantation. 20 1995, 1996. So it has to be the old 20 Dr. Klinge --Q. 21 21 Prolene®, and we started our publication with 1994. A. 22 22 presentation these materials. Now, we O. -- if you're doing a study 23 23 comparing the meshes that are used in hernia offered the stability, the elasticity, the 24 subsequent adhering force, all parameters not repair, wouldn't it be appropriate to use a Page 259 Page 261 very familiar to surgeons, but we have mesh that's actually used in hernia repair 2 2 learned it. when you're doing a study? 3 3 Q. You've told me earlier today MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 4 4 that when you conducted an animal study for form. 5 Ethicon, that Ethicon would send to you the 5 THE WITNESS: So the question mesh that you required. 6 6 is why we took Prolene® and not the 7 7 Is that fair? Marlex. 8 A. I would just change some words. **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 9 We made some studies and we were supported by 9 Q. No, not the question. 10 10 Ethicon. It's only maybe one or two where A. Okay. 11 you can say we did a study for Ethicon 11 At a certain time in the '90s, O. 12 because they are interested in. 12 old Prolene® was not used for hernia surgery 13 O. Fair. 13 anymore, you know that? 14 14 A. So just to correct this. A. Uh-huh. 15 15 And I appreciate the Q. O. You continued to do studies correction. And I'll ask it better. 16 16 comparing Prolene® to other meshes used in 17 17 When you conducted animal hernia surgery, correct? 18 18 studies supported by Ethicon, Ethicon Α. The --19 19 supplied you with the mesh that you required And the question is why would 20 for that study? 20 you use a mesh no longer used in hernia 21 A. Yes. 21 repair for studies comparing meshes used in 22 22 How are you confident that the hernia repair? 23 23 mesh that you used in all of the studies The main point I want to stress A.

24

where you reference Prolene® mesh is what you

is that we never have tried to be a tester of

- a medical device or a mesh that has been used 2
- somewhere. Our purpose was to understand
- 3 what happens there and, therefore, we took as
- a comparison a mesh, a heavy-weight mesh and
- 5 Prolene® is the heaviest mesh, the mesh where
- we know that it is -- yeah, the small pore,
- heavy-weight meshes that makes these
- 8 differences and, therefore, for comparison,
- 9 we took this mesh and it was -- we were very
- 10 happy that Ethicon provided this heavy-weight
- 11 mesh for our research and for our
- 12 comparisons. And if you look to all of these
- 13 studies, you see that there is always for
- 14 comparison a heavy-weight mesh.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

You only have one exception where we took the Marlex mesh, but usually the heavy-weight Prolene® mesh is a high-risk device for inflammation and fibrosis that was the Prolene®.

Because for our study, it was an excellent choice.

- O. Well, isn't a PVDF mesh twice as heavy as Prolene®?
 - That is, of course, everyone A.

of pores. There has been various

- measurements of pores, but it was -- we
- didn't have a sufficient understanding of
- this. And, therefore, because of these
- difficulties, the terminology was reduced and

Page 264

Page 265

- it was mainly reduced by the marketing from
- Ethicon because they found it easier to
- transfer the message light-weight versus
- heavy-weight and, therefore, the posters are
- 10 indicating and stressing that VYPRO,
- 11 ULTRAPROTM is a light-weight version. Within
- 12 some limitation that is true, but for the
- 13 overall estimate of these meshes, that is not
- 14 sufficient, the weight.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

3

7

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And just to be clear, when your research started, you were very concerned about the weight of a mesh, correct?
- We were concerned about the overengineering. As you remember, the first question where how strong should a mesh be and that was our concern and then we made the
- 22 material reduction. And if you compare it to
- 23 different polypropylene and made a material
 - reduction, you get a lighter weight. You get

Page 263

- who knows some chemical textbooks knows that
- 2 the specific weight of the PVDF is double as
- 3 high as the polypropylene and that leads me
- 4 to start or to point out that weight, per se,
- 5 is not relevant alone. That is not
- 6 sufficient because you can create with a
- 7 lighter polypropylene, small pore meshes with
- 8 an awful behavior. There are some studies
- 9 clearly showing this, and you can produce
- 10 some heavy-weight meshes with large pores of 11
 - PVDF with an excellent behavior.

So the discussion about the specific weight and the weight of meshes as an indicator of the biocapability or whatever, it is misleading and --

- O. Well, early in your research, heavy-weight was a real indication of an unsafe mesh, wasn't it?
- 19 Again, you have to go to the 20 history. The first -- the first publications 21 it was called light-weight, large pore and
- 22 small pore, heavy-weight. These -- the
- 23 combination of these words. In the '90s, we 24 haven't been able to have a good measurement

larger pores, less risk. But this was truncated to this weight.

- Is it fair to understand,
- Doctor, that the state of your research today is that weight of the mesh alone is not an
- indicator of its safety?
- If you -- as I said, if you
- compare same polymers, the weight as an
- indicator of less material can mean that the
- 10 pores are enlarged and that you may have a 11 better outcome, but as from the beginning,
 - it's the pore size that is decisive.
- 13 Is it fair to understand, 14 Doctor, that the state of your research today
- is that weight of the mesh alone is not an 16 indicator of its safety?
 - A. Is not a sufficient -- yeah, is not a sufficient indicator of the safety, yes.
 - Q. Thank you.

Do you know whether you have ever tested the second generation Prolene® mesh?

> A. I do not recall to have done

Page 266 Page 268 1 it. 1 O. I am sorry, for --But not for the treatment of 2 Q. As you sit here today, do you Α. 3 know the differences between the old Prolene® hernia. We didn't use it for the treatment mesh and the next generation 6 mil Prolene® of hernia. 5 hernia mesh? Okay. Was VYPRO the only mesh O. 6 The pore size gets smaller, I 6 A. you used for the treatment of hernia repair? 7 guess, and the second generation of the In the time period when it was 8 Prolene® mesh is -- I suppose it is done developed, this was our mesh that we used 9 because the old Prolene® mesh has an extreme 9 there. 10 10 anisotropic behavior. So extreme differences O. I understand. 11 in the strengths in the horizontal and 11 Later on it was replaced by A. 12 12 ULTRAPROTM. vertical direction and, therefore, in the next version of the Prolene®, there is some 13 Okay. Other than VYPRO I at this time, did the hospital at the university 14 more complex link -- cross-linking of the 14 15 15 filaments. use any other kind of mesh? 16 16 Q. What do you base that on? Our surgical department, my 17 17 colleagues and me, we didn't use others. On the images. I've seen some 18 images, but maybe there are so many 18 There is a -- there are others -- there are 19 modifications I'm mixed up, but -other departments, plastic surgery, I don't 20 20 Are you -- I am sorry. know whether they use different materials. Q. 21 21 MR. ANDERSON: Go ahead. We once tried to get a Mersilene mesh there 22 22 THE WITNESS: No. because Professor Stupper has visited our 23 23 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: department and performed an operation there 24 and, therefore, for him we tried or we got a Q. Are you concluding from your Page 267 Page 269 review of the images the reasons for the Mersilene mesh so we had available some other change or have you read somewhere why Ethicon 2 meshes there. 3 made the change in the mesh? 3 We had some guys showing to us 4 A. I just concluded it. I never laparoscopic incisional hernia repair and, 5 read -- I never got a document where I can therefore, for this purpose ePTFE has been see the reason why they changed it or whether used, for example. 6 7 7 they made some investigations to see what are The common mesh was VYPRO and 8 the consequences. I never see something later on ULTRAPROTM, but there has been some 9 about it. 9 exceptional others. 10 10 Do you know whether Prolene® Q. Do you know how long the second 6-mil second generation mesh was ever used at generation 6-mil Prolene® mesh was used in 11 11 12 the treatment of hernia repair? your hospital for the treatment of hernia 13 A. 13 repair? No. 14 14 Do you know whether the 6-mil A. I don't know it. second generation Prolene® mesh was used at 15 15 The old mesh? 16 the hospital at your university for the 16 No. Q. 17 treatment of hernia repair? 17 A. 6-mil you're talking of 18 A. I didn't see a document stating 18 Prolene® and new mesh? 19 There's a second generation 19 this, but I know with the development of 20 VYPRO and the launch of VYPRO and the ability 20 6-mil hernia mesh, you know that? 21 to use VYPRO we didn't use -- in the surgical 21 A. Sorry, I didn't get --22 22 department, we didn't use this type of mesh There's a first generation, the any longer. Except for some indications, 23 23 original Prolene® mesh which was 6-mil, the 24 thoracic wall. second generation was the change in pore

	PIOI DI. Med		
	Page 270		Page 272
1	design and it's a 6-mil mesh also, correct?	1	MR. ANDERSON: From '94 to
2	A. Uh-huh.	2	2000?
3	Q. And there's a third Prolene®	3	MR. THOMAS: At any time.
4	mesh, correct?	4	MR. ANDERSON: At any time.
5	A. Yes. With a smaller 5-mil.	5	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
6	Q. And when we say 5-mil, that's a	6	Q. You already told me you
7	smaller fiber, correct?	7	conducted your own rat studies and made your
8	A. Yes.	8	own implantation in the '90s, but you also
9	Q. And there's a change in pore	9	told me there are times when the others did
10	design as well or do you know?	10	the study and implanted the mesh in the rats.
11	A. I know that there are	11	A. Yes. So in the first years, I
12	differences in the pore design, but I cannot	12	did a lot of these operations myself, later
13	recollect this image now precisely. You have	13	on I participated at many operations, but to
14	to show me.	14	the protocol of all these implantations, it
15	Q. Well, it's in your report as	15	was clear and I required it that we made some
16	you know we'll come back to that.	16	images of the textile. So because if you
17	Do you know whether in your	17	made a presentation and if you made a
18	testing of meshes whether you ever tested the	18	publication, what we have learned is that it
19	5-mil Prolene® hernia mesh?	19	is essential at the beginning to show the
20	A. To be sure, it would be nice if	20	mesh and to show the specifics of this mesh.
21	you can demonstrate an image of the or	21	Not to get in trouble that you have another
22	this Excel grid where you see	22	modification. And, therefore, I have seen
23	Q. It's in your report, Doctor.	23	the images of all these meshes there and I
24	A the Prolene® II	24	cannot recall that we made experiments with
	Page 271		Page 273
1	MR. ANDERSON: It may be in his	1	this type of Prolene®.
2	report, but he still has a right to	2	Q. Why not?
3	look at something so he's just asking	3	MR. ANDERSON: Why can't he
4	if he can see it.	4	recall?
5	MR. THOMAS: Page 77.	5	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
6	MR. ANDERSON: That's fine.	6	Q. No.
7	He's just asking to see it. Is there	7	Why didn't you do tests on this
8	something wrong with that?	8	5-mil hernia mesh?
9	MR. THOMAS: Please, Ben.	9	A. Why didn't we do it?
10	MR. ANDERSON: Well, I'm asking	10	Q. Yes.
11	you.	11	A. Because we were busy and what
12	THE WITNESS: So this is	12	is the scientific can you give me a
13	Prolene® hernia system. This is the	13	scientific reason for looking at this?
14	old Prolene®, of course. Marlex, of	14	Q. Do you know whether it's still
15	course. The 5-mil I don't recall	15	used today in hernia repair?
16	that we tested this in the histologic.	16	A. Maybe, but as I told you, we
17	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	17	are not tester of a medical device, whether
18	Q. How would you know if you	18	it works or not. We want to know how it
19	tested it when you did your studies?	19	happens, what is the functional impact.
20	Did you actually select the	20	Q. Wouldn't you want to know the
21	mesh and implant it in the rats so you would	21	tissue reaction to the 5-mil hernia mesh?
22	know what it was or did somebody else do that	22	A. In comparison to what? To
23	•	23	
	ior you?	23	6-m11?
24	for you? A. No, I	24	6-mil? Q. Or whatever other standard you

Page 274 Page 276 want to measure it to. Don't you want to 0. Yes. figure out how it performs like you had 2 A. It is a plastic box like this. 3 measured all of the other meshes? It depends. For the rat studies and we ask 4 for 2.5 to 3.5 centimeters, they're already MR. ANDERSON: Objection to the cut. They are enclosed in a plastic sheet. 5 form. 6 They are enclosed in a metallic film there Go ahead. 7 and they're included in another plastic sheet THE WITNESS: I'm not -- the 8 there and then 24 -- I think 24, 20 of these major interest is not to see whether a 9 meshes are put together in a plastic box and specific device performs differently 10 to another. A question can be the 10 then this plastic box was wrapped in a 11 change of 6-mil to 5-mil, what is the 11 plastic sheet and then we got this one. 12 impact of the tissue reaction. The 12 Q. Okay. 13 reduction of the pore size from -- I 13 A. And then we got a list with a 14 don't want to give a figure. It's number CV something like this, some 14 15 impossible to give one specific 15 artificial number, and then --16 figure, but the change of the textile 16 Q. And who provides the mesh to structure, what is the impact of the 17 17 you? Is it Norderstedt? 18 tissue generation. This is a question 18 Norderstedt. Dr. Walthe, yeah. 19 I think a manufacturer should answer 19 I think Dr. Walthe, he's preparing all this. Doctor, whenever you place an 20 20 this. 21 21 artificial implant in the human body, there The scientific question would 22 be the dependency of the tissue is a chronic foreign body reaction for the rest of the patient's life with an implant, 23 reaction from the size of the filament 23 24 and then we have to construct five. isn't there? Page 275 Page 277 1 six different modifications, different A. That is correct. 2 filament size and then look to the O. And that's whether it's a hip 3 tissue reaction. That would be a nice implant or a knee implant or a mesh implant? 4 4 experiment and you can do it if you That is correct. Α. have the resources and the money, the 5 5 Q. And you learned that in medical 6 people and the time. Yeah, but just 6 school, didn't you? This general statement, yeah, 7 to look what happens to a specific, 7 there are more than 200 meshes on the we learned it in medical school. 8 8 9 market. We are not able to do so. And any time that you put mesh in a patient for hernia repair, you told the 10 10 Is it your best recollection that the only Ethicon polypropylene mesh that patient that I'm putting an implant in you, 11 12 you've tested for hernia repair is the first you need to understand that there will be a 13 generation 6-mil mesh? 13 long-term, chronic foreign body reaction for 14 14 the rest of your life? A. So far I recall, all of the 15 15 images from the textiles we have, yes. A. No, that is not the issue. When you received mesh from 16 16 Because --17 Ethicon for the studies that you conduct, 17 Q. Did you tell patients that? 18 you've told me that it comes in a special 18 MR. ANDERSON: Excuse me, let 19 package and marked experimental use. 19 him finish his answer. 20 20 Is that fair? Finish your answer. 21 They come in a special package, 21 THE WITNESS: It is not the A. 22 22 appearance of a chronic body -- a yes. 23 23 What size are they? chronic foreign body reaction which O.

24

What size?

24

A.

can be defined in pathology lifelong.

8

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

Page 278 1 This is not relevant. This is not the 2 issue that is to be discussed with a 3 patient. The patient is interested in 4 what are the consequences of the 5 chronic inflammatory foreign body 6 reaction. So what is the risk for --7 from the implant. In our scientific 8 discussions, we know that it is 9 because of the chronic foreign body reaction, but I think it is not 10 11 valuable information for the patient 12 that there is some chronic foreign 13 body reaction and there are some 14 macrophages, but he has to know that 15 there is a chronic wound which means a 16 lifelong risk for infection that can 17 occur after some years, for chronic 18 pain and that we -- might be 19 impossible to prevent a occurrence at 20 any case. 21 Those are the things that we 22 have to discuss with a patient. 23 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 24

So you tell a patient when O.

Page 280 interaction that the wishes of the patient.

It is -- he relies a little bit on my

experience of the arguments that are behind

and then, yeah, you find the best solution

for the patient together. I think it is very

difficult to separate this one that only the patient decides, no, he's not able to decide.

It's a conversation that the patient and the doctor have together and hopefully the two of you together --

> A. Yes.

O. -- can make that decision.

Yeah. I think that is what you A. expect and me expect that you find together the solution, best solution for you.

That's why you need a doctor to help you counsel through these kinds of issues?

Strike that.

Ultimately, it's the patient's call, correct?

> A. What?

O. Ultimately, it's the patient's decision whether to go through with the

Page 279

you're installing a mesh for hernia repair that there's a lifelong risk for infection?

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That there is a lifelong -permanent mesh material there and that there is a possible risk lifelong for late infections, late onset on chronic pain. Yeah, we tell it. And that is difficult to

remove this. This is the other point. And there is a risk of chronic pain by the implantation of that mesh?

Yes. Of course, it is one of the major issues in hernia surgery there are rates of up to 40 percent of chronic pain in patients after every hernia repair, but 80, 90 percent meanwhile are done with meshes.

And you tell them there's a risk of recurrence?

> Α. Yes, of course.

And you tell your patients all of these things when you were doing surgery so that the patient could make a judgment in consultation with you about whether they wanted to have this procedure?

It's an interaction. It's an

surgery, you agree with that? 2

To -- whether he will -- he has to agree that he wants to have this treatment. That is a final decision by the patient, yeah.

Q. And the patient has to determine whether the benefits of the surgery outweigh the risks that you've explained to them?

If you define this as the way to make up the decision that he finds this balance of disadvantages and advantages, yes, that is.

O. When you talked about infection a minute ago, you talked about infections that occur later in life that might be mesh-related.

Tell me what you mean by that. How can you have infections from mesh later in life that are mesh-related?

The most important experience that we have got while we are looking to the explants from Professor Klosterhalfen, and when we look when this was sent to the -- or

Page 281

- when this was explanted, this was explanted
- 2 because of infection two years after the
- 3 operation. We -- in the late '90s, we have
- seen the first report from the UK where
- 5 someone has described this late onset
- infection of the operation and he always
- describe this latency of more than a year.
- 8 There has been a big review from the US,
- 9 from, I think, what age -- starting with age,
- 10 but they, again, said that infection occurs 11

with a long delay of two years.

So in contrast to the early infection that occurs immediately in the first weeks after the index operation, there can be some infections manifesting after two years, 50 years, with a foreign body with an

- 17 implant. So this is an experience and,
- 18 therefore, it is not so that every patient
- 19 has to face this complication, but there is a
- 20 lifelong risk and if you're 80 years old, the
- 21 lifelong risk fortunately is small, is
- 22 shorter, but if you're 20 years old and you
- 23 expect that this implant has to work there
- for another 70 years, of course, the risk is

Page 283

- higher to experience an infection than in an
- old patient. And that has to be part of the
- 3 discussion, the risk evaluation for the
- 4 patient.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

12

13

14

15

16

- As a doctor, hernia surgeon, Q. you had that discussion with the patient?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, what's the background risk for a surgical site infection for a hernia?
- A. The background?
- What's the general risk of O. infection for a hernia surgeon?
- A hernia surgeon? You have to differentiate what type of hernia.

So incisional hernia is a completely different case as groin hernia.

- 17 You always have the combination by some skin
- 18 bacteria that is the early surgical site
- 19 infection. You can have an infection due to
- 20 erosion of some bowels, for example, that is
- 21 a problem when you place the mesh in the
- 22 abdominal cavity. You can have a secondary
- 23 invasion of bacteria sitting or going to the
 - implant and being for some immunological

Page 284 reasons activated there. Yeah, that may be.

- And necrosis, if you made an operation where
- 3 a lot of tissue is going to be ischemic and
- you have a lot of these damaged tissue around and you have an increased risk if you have a
- history of a past infection, then you have an

increased risk.

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

If you take some drugs, immunosuppression after transplantation, you have an increased risk. If you have diabetes, you have an increased risk.

- What's the risk of a surgical site infection for an incisional hernia?
- Incisional hernia, it is -- in very many studies, it is about 10 to 15 percent.
- What's the risk of the surgical O. site infection from groin surgery?
- It depends which study you are looking at. If you are really making a follow-up for three weeks, then you're in the range from 3 to 5 percent. If you're looking to day surgery leaving the patient in the evening and asking whether he has an

Page 285

- infection, then you have perfect numbers, very close to zero percent. So there is
 - always this variation.
 - Do you distinguish between surgical site infections and these latent infections?
 - Yes, I, personally, I do so. Because it is another time period when it occurs and it is another challenge for the surgeon if a patient is coming two years after an operation with a swelling here or sometimes it is a -- just a finding by chance that there is a tumor or so and then it is a late onset bacterial infection, it is always a challenge.
 - Surgical site infections are a risk of any surgery, aren't they?
 - There is no surgery with zero surgical site infection risk, that is true.
 - Do you have a number of a rate of infections, latent infections, that you would attach to incisional hernias?
 - Latent infections in incisional hernias. There is a very limited number of

Page 286 reports of systemic reports, long-term 2 reports and that is the importance of the 3 registries because you cannot imagine a randomized control trial looking for 50 years 5 to 1,000 patients. You only get it from 6 registries. 7

And there from the US, I think, the best data are there where they looked to all patients with incisional hernia and looked to the explants. The reason for explantation is given in about three percent of the patients with incisional hernia in this study.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And for those explants that are removed because of latent infections in the three percent that you just described, are you able to determine the source of the infection from the explant?
- 19 There are reports where someone 20 has made microbiological investigation and he was successful to identify the germ there. It is possible to do so.
 - What I'm talking about, just to make it a little bit clear, you've talked

1 correct?

2

3

6

8

10

11

12

15

16

17

23

1

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. So --
 - Is that yes? Q.
- There is a current -- yes, A. there is a current knowledge that there you have a migration of the germs to the implant,

Page 288

Page 289

the secondary.

- And that can happen whether Q. it's a knee implant or a hip implant or a heart valve, correct?
- In principle, I totally agree, A. but I don't have any specific data showing what is the risk. It depends from the age of the comorbidities and all of these things. In principle, there is a risk.
- You're not trained as an infectious disease doctor, are you?
- 18 Just by my work and facing the 19 problems with patient with surgical site 20 infection and, as you stated already, my 21 surgery as well, they made -- I suffered from 22 some surgical site infections.
 - Q. You, yourself, or your patients?

Page 287

about secondary infections. It's true that a person can develop an infection in one part of the body that can migrate to the implant and have an infection at the implant.

Is that true?

- A. To my knowledge, we believe in this conception. That is the reason that, for example, cardiac valves, you have to make a protection of antibiotics if you have -- if you receive a colonoscopy because we believe that these bacteria are going to the implant and obviously there has been some reports of it.
 - O. There's ---

MR. ANDERSON: Wait, are you through?

THE WITNESS: Yes. **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:**

Q. There's a risk, for example, of a heart valve if a person has a procedure where a heart valve's implanted, they can develop an infection in another part of their body, it can migrate to the heart valve and can be a real problem, even tragic death,

A. No, I suffered from my patients, yes.

- Well, when you have surgical site infections, do you refer them to an infectious disease doctor?
- No, in Germany, we didn't do A. so. It depends from the structure of the hospital whether you need this additional skill or not.
- And if you're unable to treat the surgical site infection with your own skills, certainly you have that discipline available to you to consult with.

Is that fair?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Discipline was --THE WITNESS: I didn't get --

- **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** If you needed an infectious disease doctor for an especially complicated
- 21 infection, you certainly could find one if 22 you needed one, couldn't you? 23
 - I never made the experience. We're at the university. We are at the

Page 290 Page 292 maximum. We're at the upper level of this **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 2 2 and other people send us patients that we Doctor, I spent a lot of time 3 3 treat them -talking to Dr. Klosterhalfen this weekend 4 Q. about the collection of explants that he has, Okay. 5 -- and they fail to do so and both hernia explants and pelvic floor 6 there was no other hospital where we can explants. 7 7 send --What kind of collection does 8 8 your hospital maintain in terms of explants? Q. I see. 9 9 A. -- the patients to. So I The explants I have access to 10 10 didn't have the experience whom to ask. In that are explants that has been explanted by 11 the field of visceral surgery and 11 my colleagues from the surgical department, 12 12 complication of meshes, so I -only from the surgical department, and they 13 13 Does the -- I am sorry. are -- and they made an explantation, they Q. 14 14 Yeah, I cannot give you any send it to our lab and then I get informed A. 15 15 statement of this. and then we place it on stock for the next 16 Does -- when you were 16 time. 17 practicing surgery -- and you haven't done 17 Q. What do you mean, place --18 surgery since 2006? 18 A. We store it. 19 19 A. Yes. Okay. You place it in O. 20 20 Q. When you were practicing formalin? 21 surgery, did your hospital have an infectious 21 In formalin, yeah. A. 22 22 disease doctor on staff? And there may be other explants 23 23 In the hospital, there is an that may go to the Institute for Pathology, A. 24 institute for microbiology that when we want but I have no access to this. Page 291 Page 293 1 So when your surgical to have some investigations, they can do it. colleagues explant a mesh, it doesn't We have a doctor who is responsible for 3 infection in the hospital. He's doing some immediately go to pathology for analysis? statistics there and some training for 4 No. There is -- there is no -cleaning the hands, washing the hands and so. the pathology is not really interested in 6 He's visiting the intensive care unit, looking to the pathology of these mesh 7 materials, but we are interested in, we made looking to some bacteria there. 8 investigations and so this is sent to our But it is not -- it has not 9 been part of the daily practice that he 9 lab. 10 10 advises surgeons what to do. O. Okay. What are the occasions 11 11 when an explant goes to the Institute of Let me ask the question this 12 12 Pathology for review? Why would that happen? way. 13 13 An explant may be from the Is there -- while you were practicing surgery, was there a doctor at the 14 department for gynecology. They will send it 14 15 to the pathology or some other department. hospital where you practiced that had a specialty in treating patients with 16 16 They may send it to the department for 17 17 infectious disease? pathology, but my colleagues and myself at 18 that time when I explant mesh materials, we A. Surgical infectious disease? 19 19 Q. Yes. are collecting these materials in our lab and 20 20 A. No. We did it. for -- because we are interested in analysis, 21 MR. THOMAS: I need to take a 21 we are interested in specific questions and, 22 22 therefore, we make this investigation. We break. 23 23 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. don't want to have a standard evaluation of 24 (Off the record at 4:50 p.m.) 24 mesh materials.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Okay. Are all of the explants Q. in the collection that you maintain at the hospital hernia explants?

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

1

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

24

- 4 Explants that have been 5 explanted from patients with hernia and I 6 always try to get the documents from the OR, what happens there and the medical records of 8 these patients.
 - Q. And how many hernia explants do you have?
 - A. It has been around 600 when we --
 - And how long have you been Q. collecting hernia explants?
- 14 15 We really start to look for 16 this, it was in parallel to the increased use 17 of the mesh materials and this starts in 18 about 2000. In the years before, it was --19 meshes were rarely used. So it was rarely the occasion to get a mesh there, but 20 21 meanwhile, yeah, 30, 40 percent of the 22 patients that you made an operation for 23 recurrent hernia already has some sort of 24 meshes in the --

Page 295

- Q. Is it standard practice in your department when a surgeon explants a hernia mesh that they provide it to you?
- A. It is -- it is a very visual 5 thinking of all participants and fortunately 6 most of my colleagues are involved somehow in 7 the scientific work of meshes and, therefore, 8 most of the colleagues have been a big
- 9 interest to send me their explanted mesh 10 materials.
 - O. So it's a voluntary decision on the surgeon as opposed to a procedure of the hospital about whether to send you the mesh?
 - No, it's voluntary by the surgeon whether to throw it away or send it to the lab, yes.
 - And when you receive the mesh, you collect whatever medical records that you can associated with the mesh?
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you have a form that you use 22 to detail the information for each of the 23 explants?
 - A. The paper for documentation of

the parameters, it is always defined for a

- specific question. I don't build up a
- registry with some basic data where I put in
- some maybe or likely irrelevant information
- in it. So when I want to make a study, I
- choose some explants and then I decide if I want to study this and I need this parameter
 - for controlling the conditions.
 - Who has access to your Q. collection of explants?
 - Every member -- in principle, every employee from the surgical department
 - Do you control who has access Q. to the explants?
 - A. No.
 - Q. So anyone can go in and check one out?
 - I know who is doing research. It is -- no one is going there and taking them and so you need some specific question to do so and if someone has a question, then yeah, likely he will communicate this and then he can take ten of these explants to do

Page 297

Page 296

some research or if he wants to look.

- When Dr. Klosterhalfen left the university and went to Düren, did he take any explants with him?
- A. I don't know. Maybe he took some ex -- he -- maybe he took the explants that he collected in the Institute for Pathology until 2003 that he took this number of explants to Düren maybe.
- Q. Okay. You know that Dr. Klosterhalfen collected explants while he was at the university, correct?
- Yes. On several occasions on the presentations we said to the audience, if you have an explant, feel free, no cost, feel free to submit it to Professor Klosterhalfen in Düren or Aachen. He will be very happy about it. So it was a pleasure for me to announce this.
- The explants collected by Dr. Klosterhalfen while he was at the Institute of Pathology are no longer at the university, are they?
 - A. I don't know.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Okay. Okay. Do you have 2 access to Dr. Klosterhalfen's collection of 3 explants? 4

A. Access in the meaning that he shares his data, his evaluation, yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Do you have access that you could go over and remove some of his explants for your own testing?
- Remove? So if I want to see some and make some further investigations, of course, I don't see any problems that we can do so.
 - Q. You typically don't --
- I never had the idea to go A. there and to remove something, no.
- Okay. The research you do is on your own collection of explants, is that fair?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: We have a very close collaboration still there. It's depending from the time point, but as I saw at the beginning this research program with the European Union, that

Page 299

was done because we need a lot of staining there and I asked him whether it's possible to do this at his department, with his machines because they're better than what we have in our lab and so, therefore, I brought him the box and the staining was done at his facility. So it's no problem. It's 30 kilometers.

Q. Has the hospital -- strike that.

Over the last ten years, has the hospital where you currently work used mesh for the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse?

As I know from the discussions with -- we have a center for treatment of incontinent patients and we had several discussions and we have several joint projects and scientific projects with the members of these incontinent center and, therefore, yes, in the discussion, they said they used these, they used meshes. Not as a first line indication, but in some severe

Page 300

recurrent patients where a mesh-free device 2 has failed there, they choose to make a

reenforcement of tissues with the help of

textiles and since one year, two year they change completely to PVDF.

Q. Okay. Is that for both -- I asked the question was pelvic organ prolapse.

Does your hospital use mesh for the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse?

A. Yes. In these cases.

What do you mean "these cases"? O. MR. ANDERSON: The ones he just told you about. He was answering your question about pelvic organ prolapse.

THE WITNESS: Not a first-line therapy, only in recurrent and --**QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:**

O. I understand.

A. -- in some selected patients.

Now, does your hospital use mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

Α. Yes.

And you told me a minute ago O.

Page 301

that they -- two years ago they changed completely to PVDF.

Is that for both procedures?

A. My impression within the last two years. It is not two years ago sharp time point, but within the last two years. Actually, they are using PVDF for both indications because I assume -- within the past three years, we have increasing conversation about our experiences. We have made a lot of discussions and meetings with our scientific projects. We have been going to the animal facilities, to the anatomy to look for all of this and, meanwhile, they're convinced that PVDF is better.

- How long have you had these conversations with the Center for Treatment of Incontinence?
- A. The first project started in 2007, 2008.
 - Q. And tell me how that happened.
- It was a -- it was a project together with the FEG as a small medium enterprise, together with the Center for

Page 302 Page 304 Incontinence and together with me and 1 I'm guessing. A. 2 They may be throwing it away? 2 together with Professor Muhl. 0. 3 3 Is that Mullen? Q. Yeah. A. 4 A. Muhl. Muhl from the -- from You think that's likely they're Q. 5 5 throwing the explants away? our pore -- you see Professor Muhl from 6 6 I wouldn't be very surprised. Monday. A. 7 7 Q. Okay. It's the engineer --Okay. So as far as you know, O. 8 The engineer, yeah. the explants for both pelvic organ prolapse 9 So there has been a project for and stress urinary incontinence are likely 10 the development of -- yeah, for uses of mesh 10 being thrown away or being sent to the 11 in the pelvic floor area. And there has been 11 Institute of Pathology? 12 12 another for finding a good or to create an I would agree to this A. 13 anchor system or to study anchor systems for 13 statement, yeah. 14 14 the use for mini slings and, yeah, that these You don't want access to those 15 has been the major activities. 15 because -- "those" being -- strike that. 16 16 What kind of mesh is used for You don't want access to the 17 the treatment of stress urinary incontinence 17 explants for pelvic organ prolapse or stress 18 in your hospital now? 18 urinary incontinence because your hernia 19 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 19 explant collection is keeping you plenty 20 20 Asked and answered. busy? 21 21 No, that is not correct. I Go ahead. A. 22 22 THE WITNESS: I don't know deeply want to have access to this, but it 23 23 exactly. has an inferior priority for what I'm doing 24 there. It would be very -- I would be very Page 303 Page 305 happy if we have the facilities to collect 1 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 2 all of these textile implants and to have a In your discussions with the 3 Center for Treatment of Incontinence and your scientific investigation and evaluation of gynecologic department, have you ever 4 this. Yeah, it would be very helpful for all 5 requested that they give to you explant or 5 of us. tissue samples from their patients who have 6 Q. But at least today you haven't 7 had mesh removed from pelvic organ prolapse? done that? 8 Not -- definitely not -- no. A. I haven't done what, building A. 9 Q. Why not? 9 up this institute? No. Because I'm rather busy to 10 10 Collected explants from pelvic do so, so a collection of all of these 11 11 organ prolapse or stress urinary 12 materials it takes a lot of time to have a 12 incontinence? 13 systemic analysis and I'm busy enough to 13 A. That, I didn't done up to now. investigate all of these others, to make up 14 14 O. Okay. Do you know what a Burch 15 the consequence -- the competition to Bernd 15 colposuspension is? 16 Klosterhalfen with all of his explants, no. 16 I've heard this phrase, yeah. A. 17 17 But maybe it's a good idea to tell them they Q. What is it? 18 18 shall send it to Bernd. A. It's a suture repair. 19 19 Q. Do you know whether they send Suture repair of what? Q. 20 20 them to anybody? Of pelvic floor prolapse. A. 21 I guess they send it to the 21 Okay. And where do you get A. 0. 22 22 Institute of Pathology in our university. your understanding of the Burch 23 But you're guessing at this 23 colposuspension as a suture repair of pelvic

24

floor prolapse?

24

point?

Page 306 Page 308 1 I have read from the A. I noticed the results. I had 2 literature. to look to some meta-analysis mainly from the 3 Okay. What literature --UK from the NICE where they made up this Q. strike that. evaluation of these comparative studies of 5 the various approaches. It's a very thick Can you describe for me how a Burch colposuspension works? document and there are these comparisons 6 7 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. where -- have been there. 8 Counsel, you know we're not having him Is that the extent of your 9 offer any opinions on the Burch review of the literature of randomized 10 colposuspension. control trials comparing the use of mesh to MR. THOMAS: I'm just asking if 11 11 other traditional repairs for the treatment 12 12 of stress urinary incontinence? he knows. 13 13 THE WITNESS: I know that I No, it's not limited to this, 14 14 but the meta-analysis published in the UK, read it. I know that I did understand 15 15 that gives a very good overview that, of it, but I cannot recollect this phrase 16 of this textbook where it works. course, every week, every month there is 17 17 published new studies, new reports and I try **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 18 Q. You said you understood it. 18 to get informed about the recent development 19 Other than being a suture in this field. 20 repair of a pelvic floor prolapse, what do 20 Q. What is NICE? 21 you understand it to be? 21 NICE, it's the British -- it's A. 22 Is that the extent of your the British office that is reviewing the --23 or that has to be -- that has to do something 23 knowledge? 24 with the -- controlling the efficiency of A. Same thing. So we can together Page 307 Page 309 some therapies. They're giving advices to 1 go through the textbook and then I'm able to 2 explain you what is done by this. the patients, to the doctors, and they try to 3 Doctor, do you know the primary define some standards and guidelines. method of treatment of stress urinary 4 4 O. And the -incontinence before the use of mesh? 5 5 A. I don't know the abbreviation 6 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. All where it's specifically. 7 7 of these questions about implantation O. That's fine. or SUI. 8 8 And what are the standards and 9 Go ahead, Doctor. guidelines for? 10 10 THE WITNESS: There has been --MR. ANDERSON: Objection. If 11 11 I know there has been some attempts to you know. 12 12 have some -- to improve the -- to the THE WITNESS: Standards and 13 function by placing some tissue 13 guidelines they tried to give a -- to approximated by sutures there. There 14 14 give an advice to patients how to 15 15 has been used some fistular slings in treat a standard patient in a standard 16 16 the beginning of the '90s, first way so, therefore, many, many surgical 17 17 attempts to make a sling-like repair and other societies tried to define 18 18 and then later on it is replaced by some guidelines how to treat a patient 19 19 artificial slings. in a specific situation with what sort 20 20 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** of therapy so the surgeon can ask 21 Are you familiar with 21 these guidelines and can confirm 22 22 randomized control trials comparing the use whether he treats the patient in the 23 23 of mesh to other alternative procedures for best way that is in the moment advice

24

the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

24

by the literature and the officials.

That is maybe the function of the guidelines.

It is not able to give a specific advice for the treatment in a specific patient.

OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. In your experience, do doctors consult the NICE guidelines in connection with rendering care and treatment to patients?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I know some investigations from guidelines from the Dutch where they changed the guidelines and then later on asked the doctors where they follow the new guidelines, yes or not, the result was rather disappointing. So I'm not sure whether the guidelines published by NICE or someone else really changed the attitude of the doctors, but, of course, these guidelines reflect the knowledge that most of the doctors will share.

2004, the first version, the sling repair was advocated as first treatment and meanwhile it is restricted to the elderly patient.

Page 312

So there is some dynamic change in all of these guidelines, but they reflect the actual state of the opinions.

- Q. What organization in Germany provides guidelines similar to those that you've described are offered by NICE?
- A. It is called the AWMF. It is placed at the server in Dusseldorf.
 - Q. What is it?

A. It is a joint -- a community of several medical societies or all medical societies, they agreed to work together in this AWMF and they offered a lot of guidelines for the treatment of cancer, for the treatment of incontinence, for the treatment of cirrhosis, and so forth, for all of these things and this is on the internet and you have various levels of evidence and, yeah, everyone can go to the internet and have a look to it. This is our German platform.

Page 311

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Is it your understanding the NICE guidelines attempt to reflect the best judgment of the experts in the field about the standard source of treatment for a patient who is suffering from a certain condition?

A. It's even more. They don't -- attempt -- good guidelines don't attempt to reflect only the meaning of the expert, but they're collecting and providing a meta-analysis of the data and then together with the experts, they together try to define what can be the best treatment for the patient, yes.

Q. You've mentioned this is a UK publication.

You obviously have consulted it here in Germany.

Do you know if others in the EU consult the NICE guidelines?

A. I don't know. But we have German guidelines as well for the treatment of incontinence, and interestingly in 2003, Page 313

Q. Okay. So you went and consulted the NICE guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence; is that correct?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form. Misstates testimony.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: To get an overview what happened there, I try to look to every guidelines and, therefore, in PubMed, I place there the key words, guidelines and mesh and incontinence and so one of the guidelines I saw there was this one from the UK, and I think I liked it very much because it seems to be very accurate at that time. It's some years old, again, but there are a lot of other summaries of the knowledges and the randomized controlled trials that you referred to.

OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Before you did this PubMed search that you've just described, had you

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

4

6

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

Prof Dr. Me
Page 314

ever determined the positions of NICE or the
AWMF on the care and treatment of stress
urinary incontinence?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to
form.

THE WITNESS: I didn't get the
question.

- QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
- Q. You just described for me two
 organizations that you consulted in your work
 in this case.
 - A. No, not in this case. Sorry.
- Q. Okay. I am sorry, I misunderstood.
- A. So I started to think of this
 very intensely. I went to look to the
 literature when we made our publication for
 medical device dealing with the slings.
 - O. Back in 2007?
 - A. Huh?

8

12

19

20

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

- Q. Back in 2007?
- A. Yeah. That was the first time that we looked regularly to the literature in this. But, again, this is another reason to

surgeons and of gynecologists and

² urogynecologists and urologists and each of

Page 316

those organizations has journals that they published.

You're aware of that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. In Germany, does the AWMF, is that a similar organization? Is that a professional society that has a journal?
- A. No. No. I don't think that they have an own journal. They provided the internet platform so that everyone can have access to this.
- Q. Okay. And is it government-sponsored?
 - A. I think so, yeah.
- Q. Okay. So it's an organization of the German government?
 - A. I think so.
- Q. Is it -- is the medical system in Germany, is it national health care?
- A. We have a very complex medical system. The universities belong to the countries. The health system belongs to, I

Page 315

- have a look to it, but meanwhile, I'm invited
- by many gynecological societies. So it is a
- ³ hot topic, mesh in urogynecology and,
- therefore, I try to get -- to get or to keep
 informed.
 - Q. Okay. And where do you go to keep yourself informed on the hot topic of mesh in urogynecology?
 - A. Apart from the communication at the conferences or the meetings, for me, the best indicator of that is a hot topic is if you count the publications in MEDLINE®, if you place there the topic mesh, almost a third of it, of the recent publication of the last year are dealing with mesh in the pelvic floor area. So it is increasingly discussed and if I look to all of the publications that
- included some references of my work, I get who is interested including a citation of our
- who is interested including a citation of our work, then I see again there is a lot of
- gynecologic, urologic mesh papers there. So
 that is the reason.
 - Q. Now, in the United States, there are professional societies of hernia

Page 317 think, about 100 insurance companies,

different insurance companies.

- Q. And all I'm asking is whether the AWMF is part of the government that describes what procedures will be paid for and under what circumstances, do you --
- A. No, it is not related --
- Q. That's fine.
 - A. -- to any reimbursement.
- Q. That's exactly what I'm asking.
 - A. Nothing about this. This is completely different. It's just the medical definition of appropriate therapies or best therapies.
 - Q. All right. Is there any other organization in Germany about which you're aware that identifies the appropriate therapies for different conditions such as the AWMF or the NICE?
 - A. There are several societies from the urologists and from the gynecologists in Germany as well, and they try to do -- to give some statements, but I'm not aware that they have provided guidelines

Case 2:12-md-02327 Document 2960-10 Filed 10/12/16 Page 83 of 172 PageID #: 114131 Page 318 Page 320 in the quality of the UK. That is not very often a mixup of pelvic floor available with them. 2 2 prolapse and incontinence and older 3 3 Okay. So at least in your and younger patients. experience, the guidelines in the UK and the **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** NICE guidelines are of superior quality to Do you distinguish between what's available from the German professional pelvic organ prolapse and stress urinary 7 societies? incontinence about the use of mesh to treat 8 A. No, I wouldn't say that, but those conditions, whether it's visible or 9 the -- I don't -- I don't want to focus on 9 not? 10 10 what the guidelines are saying. The most MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 11 important thing for me is when you're looking 11 form. 12 12 to the guidelines from the NICE, if you look THE WITNESS: As I indicated 13 to the document of 100 pages or 200 pages 13 this morning, I think it is not very 14 there, you have a very good collection of the 14 helpful to make this differentiation, 15 15 status of the publications and the references however, I made it because you made 16 16 and a good structure of it, and they present it. 17 the data very, very nice. That is what 17 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 18 I'm -- what I appreciate very much when I 18 Okay. But if I hadn't 19 19 distinguished the two, you would treat them look into these guidelines. That is the 20 point. Not the point whether they are in 20 together; is that fair? 21 favor of or -- I have read this as well, but 21 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 22 22 this is influenced by the UK health system Form. 23 23 and so. THE WITNESS: What? 24 24 O. Okay. Are there any Page 319 Page 321 organizations of the EU that put out **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 2 guidelines about appropriate therapies for Do you consider the two 3 patients with certain conditions? conditions to be one? 4 4 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to I don't know any -- I'm not 5 aware that the EU, that any organization in 5 form. 6 the EU gives this. There are these 6 THE WITNESS: There are many, 7 7 international societies for the treatment of many, many differences. If you stick 8 8 to the problem of mesh -- of incontinence. There are the American 9 societies for urology, gyne -- international textiles -- the use of textiles in the 10 10 societies and these together, they provided pelvic floor area, you have to 11 11 differentiate the effect of flat some advices to treat, yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you consult --
- But EU, no. Α.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Did you consult the physicians of the American Urogynecological Society on the use of mesh for stress urinary incontinence?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I have read some statements. Whether it's this American society or whether it's another, I cannot recollect. Yeah, but there is a discussion, very

intense discussion pro and con and

So for the evaluation of devices, for the development of devices, it may be not thus helpful to have this differentiation in

meshes in a flat area and from the reaction to a sling-like material. That you have to -- that is from my point of view, there is a different reaction and different discussion about the functionality and tissue response and structural stability, different requirements to these things.

Page 322 1 incontinence and prolapse. It is not 2 sufficient. 3 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** Q. Okay. Let me understand your 5 answer. 6 You said, "From my point of 7 view, there is a different reaction and 8 different discussion about the functionality 9 and tissue response and structural stability, 10 different requirement to these things." 10 11 Does that mean that you need to 11 12 separate the two in order to understand them 12 13 and the appropriate reaction to mesh? 14 MR. ANDERSON: By "the two," do 15 you mean POP and SUI? 15 16 MR. THOMAS: Yes. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Can you please 17 18 rephrase it? 18 19 19 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 20 20 Your answer to my question 21 21 before you said, "From my point of view, 22 22 there is a different reaction and different 23 23 discussion about the functionality and tissue 24 24 response and structural stability, different Page 323 requirement to these things." 2 2 That was the answer you gave 3 3

it is not sufficient. It depends on whether you want to make this reenforcement with flat meshes or by slings. And, therefore, the summarize, or the grouping, prolapse and mesh, that is not sufficient because you can -- you have to differentiate flat mesh and sling. For incontinence, it is more simple because so far I know there are only slings and no one is until now had to realize the idea to treat it with a mesh. So that is my problem to address this problem. And if you just want to differentiate prolapse and the requirements for prolapse, it is not sufficient from my point of view. You have to specify for what sort of treatment, for what sort of textile. Are you familiar with the

Page 324

Page 325

- European Association of Urology?
- I've heard it. They publish, A. yeah.
- Have you looked at the European Association of Urology guidelines on urinary incontinence?
 - I have to look at my computer A.

just a moment ago.

Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And what I'm trying to understand is whether the different reaction, different discussion relates to the differences between mesh used for the treatment of pelvic organ prolapse and mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence.

A. What I try to explain is if you're looking to prolapse, you can -- there are some -- as Petros who said that -- are you ready?

O. Yes, I am.

A. So if you follow Petros, he said that prolapse can be treated with sling-like structures, five, six sling-like structures. Others said you need flat meshes in this area. So if you want to discuss the requirements to the textile for the use, it is impossible to say that we define the requirements for the textiles for prolapse,

where I've stored --

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ANDERSON: You can look --THE WITNESS: Mesh and pelvic floor is in MEDLINE®. I think it's about a thousand hits. And it's increasing. Every week it's going up. So it is -- for hernia, it's 12,000 hits. So it's almost impossible to -you can read a lot, but to have it -to keep it in mind is almost impossible.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Have you made an effort to determine a consensus among surgeons who use -- strike that.

Have you made an effort to determine a consensus of surgeons who treat stress urinary incontinence of the appropriate method to treat stress urinary incontinence?

> MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: That is -- that is a -- the discussion we had during

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	Page 326					
1	the past half a year was Dr. Liedl,					
2	with Professor Jager, with Professor					
3	Kirschner-Hermanns, the head of the					
4	Incontinence Society, yeah, that is					
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6	agreement and they all admit that					
7	7 there is a complete mixup of the					
8	patients, mixup of the diseases, mixup					
9	of the therapies so we're still					
10	looking for the best structure because					
11	many of these procedures work very					
12	well in many patients, but every					
13	surgeon and everyone knows					
14	considerably fatal rates there, and to					
15	identify which patient should have					
16	another treatment than the one that					
17	has been selected, it depends of the					
18	definition of its individual					
19	condition, and it is difficult to have					
20	a satisfying preoperative condition in					
21	these patients and, therefore, all of					
22	these guidelines are too unspecific.					
23	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:					
24	Q. Okay. Maybe my question wasn't					

we have in Aachen with the urologists, gynecologists of different towns, no, there is no -- there is no agreement of the best therapy. The one made it transvaginal, transabdominal, with mesh, without mesh, first-line mesh, depends from the patient so, no, there is no consensus that you really can say that is a standard therapy for all patients. I don't know it. **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:**

Q. Doctor, have you -- strike that.

Are you familiar with the rates of complications associated with the use of mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

A. I have read a lot of these articles, but I have to admit meanwhile I'm not very interested in these figures, in these rates.

O. Why not?

A. Huh?

Why not? Q.

Page 327

Page 329

Page 328

very clear. What I was trying to determine 2 from you, Doctor, is the extent to which 3 you've undertaken to determine whether there is a consensus of surgeons who treat women 5 with stress urinary incontinence about the 6 appropriate method to treat stress urinary 7 incontinence. 8

I appreciate the fact that you've had discussions with a number of people, but have you reached any conclusion in your own mind about whether there's a consensus or not on the appropriate method to treat stress urinary incontinence?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Outside of his expert report, what I'm going to ask him to do in this case. But answer his question.

THE WITNESS: What do you mean by "consensus"? There are, of course, some writings in the literature where they say, okay, a consensus is like this and this and this. This society is saying this consensus. If I remember all of the discussions that

Because I don't think that it reflects the reality. It is usually done in hundred patients or 50 patients, you have a follow-up time which is maybe six months, maybe sometimes one year. And statistically all of these studies are underpowered. So they are helpful to detect whether there is the risk -- whether there is a risk, to demonstrate that there is a risk, they are helpful because in some patients you can find 11 some risks.

To define whether they can really confirm the safety of a procedure, they are underpowered. You need at least 2,000 patients. You have to make a follow-up of five years, ten years, and that cannot be done, that is not done. You need to have a longer follow-up. And that is what Klosterhalfen and me, we're -- we know this situation for a long and that is the reason that we ask for building up these registries, and meanwhile, we're convinced that is -that this is the responsibility of manufacturers for the post-market

surveillance to build up these registries.

Otherwise, you cannot get a good conclusion what are the risks for the device.

- Q. Does a meta-analysis of studies such as you described in the NICE report give you more information to allow you to evaluate the risk of complications from the use of mesh in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- A. I hope you soon can be able to read our manuscript where we mention this. No, the meta-analysis is collecting several randomized controlled trials. You have an increased variation with this so the statistically analysis is even more nonsense, is meaningless in this, but because they analyze more clinical studies, they are able to detect more possible complications, therefore, they are helpful. You get a good overview what can happen, but they are not able to prove the safety because the power does not increase if you combine ten poor studies. That is, of course.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the

like to have to determine the complications that arise from the use of mesh in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence.

You agree with that?

A. Not completely. I know we have some in the incisional -- in the -- in the groin area, Ethicon has built up its own registry. We have in the field of hernias, we have some registries. There were some registries in Finland I've read in the internet for meshes in the pelvic floor without having access to the long-term data, only for the short term. There are some data pools in either Austria or Switzerland.

So I agree we don't have the data and the registries in the moment to make a decision which is the safe procedure or not. We have not access to this data, but there are a lot of data, but it is -- we don't have access to all of these data.

Q. What's the best data we have available to us to understand the risks of complications from the use of mesh in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

Page 331

Cochran review?

- A. Yes. It is quite similar.
- Q. Okay. Have you looked at the Cochran review of the use of mesh for the treatment of stress urinary analysis?
- A. Yes, I remember there are two or three Cochran reviews in the past ten years, but they work in a similar way.
- Q. So of what benefit to you are the Cochran reviews in understanding of the risks of complications from the use of mesh in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- A. They give a helpful analysis of what is represented by studies or what is published. They very often use similar studies so you find always the same studies in the various meta-analysis. But, again, even the Cochran review cannot prove the safety because all these single studies, they usually are underpowered.
- Q. Doctor, we don't have a registry, you agree with me on that? We don't have a registry to look to as you would

Page 333

Page 332

- What's the best we have?
- A. To define the possible risks?
- Q. Yes.
- A. To define the possible risks, I agree the meta-analysis, the NICE analysis, the European analysis, the American analysis, they all raised -- the FDA analysis, it's a very excellent document, they all raised some concern and, therefore, all of these are reliable sources, yeah.
- Q. So what is the best information we have available to us today to determine the rate of complications from the use of mesh to treat stress urinary incontinence?
- A. The best -- there is no satisfying.
 - Q. There's nothing?
 MR. ANDERSON: Objection.
 Form.

THE WITNESS: There is nothing satisfying. All of these data are incomplete. They are very, very limited. So the question which one of these limited studies is not as

Page 334 Page 336 1 limited as the other, yeah, you can specific situation. 2 2 think about it, but it doesn't help. MR. THOMAS: Let's stop for the 3 3 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: day. 4 Okay. So if you were asked MR. ANDERSON: Okay. 5 5 today to find out the rate of complications MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. 6 associated with the risk of the use of mesh 6 (Off the record at 5:57 p.m.) for the treatment of stress urinary 8 incontinence, you don't have anyplace to go, is that what you're telling me? 10 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 10 11 11 form. 12 12 Answer the question. 13 13 THE WITNESS: The only 14 14 situation I would think that is 15 15 relevant if you have a specific 16 patient and she's asking you what do 16 17 17 you think is the risk there. 18 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 18 19 19 O. So --20 20 So then it depends whether it's A. 21 21 young, whether there's comorbidities and so 22 22 on. And then you can say within the first 23 23 weeks it is a very low risk. That is 24 probably an estimate that you can give. Page 335 Page 337 1 So if a doctor is treating a **CERTIFICATE** woman who has stress urinary incontinence and I, CARRIE A. CAMPBELL, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Certified Court Reporter, do 3 they're discussing about whether to use mesh to treat the stress urinary incontinence, hereby certify that prior to the commencement of the examination, Uwe Klinge was duly sworn where does the doctor go to understand the by me to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the 6 nature of the risks associated with that 7 mesh? foregoing is a verbatim transcript of the 8 A. He has to go to his own testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date experience. So whether -- if he made a hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my 10 follow-up investigation of this patient and ability. 10 11 that is something that has to be required I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am 12 more and more, you need some backup from the neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this 13 surgical communities, you have to re -- or action, and that I am neither a relative nor 14 you have to underline the importance of employee of such attorney or counsel, and 13 that I am not financially interested in the 15 follow-up investigations so that you have action. 14 16 your own experience. You have to go to the 15 17 literature, you have to go -- you take the CARRIE A. CAMPBELL, NCRA Registered Professional Reporter 17 18 information of the companies and that is what 19 you can provide to the patient. And you have 18 Certified Realtime Reporter Missouri Certified Court Reporter #859 20 to think about the long-term -- possible 19 Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporter 21 long-term complications and that, yeah -- and #084-004229 20 Notary Public 22 you have to address some specific risks of 21 Dated: November 26, 2013 23 22 the patient, that is the discussion about the 23 24 possible disadvantages and advantages in a

	Page 338				Page 340
1	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	1			
2				LAWYER'S NOTES	
3		2		EIIII IEIG III	
4	I,, do	3	DAGE	LINE	
	hereby certify that I have read the foregoing		PAGE	LINE	
5	pages and that the same is a correct	4			
	transcription of the answers given by me to	5			
6	the questions therein propounded, except for	6			
	the corrections or changes in form or	7			
7	substance, if any, noted in the attached	8			
	Errata Sheet.	9			
8		10			
9		11			
10					
11		12			
12		13			
	Prof. Dr. Med. Uwe Klinge DATE	14			
13		15			
14		16			
15	Subscribed and sworn to before me this	17			
16	day of, 20	18			
17	My commission expires:				
18	N	19			
19	Notary Public	20			
20		21			
21		22			
23		23			
24		24			
24					
	Page 339				
1					
	ERRATA				
2	LIGHTI				
3	PAGE LINE CHANGE/REASON				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
1		1			I

```
1
             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
 2.
                     AT CHARLESTON
 3
     IN RE: ETHICON, INC, ) MASTER FILE
    REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS, ) NO. 2:12-MD-02327
 4
    LIABILITY LITIGATION
 5
                              ) MDL NO. 2327
 6
                               ) JOSEPH R. GOODWIN
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ) US DISTRICT JUDGE
    CAROLYN LEWIS, ET AL. V. )
    ETHICON, INC.
    CASE NO. 2:12-CV-04301
 8
 9
              FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2013
10
11
12
                Deposition of Prof. Dr. Med.
13
    Uwe Klinge, Volume II, held at the Quellenhoff
14
    Hotel, Monheimsallee 52, 52062 Aachen, Germany,
    commencing at 8:35 a.m., on the above date,
15
16
    before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered
17
    Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
18
    Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
    and Certified Court Reporter.
19
20
21
               GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
22
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
                   deps@golkow.com
23
2.4
```

		_	
	Page 342		Page 344
1	INDEX	1	APPEARANCES:
2	PAGE	2	
3	APPEARANCES 344	3	ANDERSON LAW OFFICES, LLC
5	EXAMINATIONS DV MD THOMAS	,	BY: BENJAMIN HOUSTON ANDERSON, ESQUIRE
6	BY MR. THOMAS	4	ben@andersonlawoffices.net 1360 West 9th Street, Suite 215
7	BY MR. THOMAS	5	Cleveland, Ohio 44113
8	BY MR. ANDERSON 666	•	(216) 592-8384
9		6	
10	EXHIBITS No. Description Page	l _	AYLSTOCK, WITKIN, KREIS & OVERHOLTZ, PLLC
11	No. Description Page 12 Interdisciplinary S2e Guideline for 345	7	BY: DANIEL J. THORNBURGH, ESQUIRE
	the Diagnosis and Treatment of Stress	8	dthornburgh@awkolaw.com 17 East Main Street, Suite 200
12	Urinary Incontinence in Women		Pensacola, Florida 32502
1,	13 AWMF online 346	9	(850) 202-1010
13	14 "EAU Guidelines on the Surgical 349		Counsel for Plaintiffs
14	Treatment of Stress Urinary Incontinence."	10	THOMAS COMPS & SPANNI PLLS
	15 Guidelines on Urinary Incontinence 350	11	THOMAS COMBS & SPANN, PLLC BY: DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQUIRE
15	16 American Urological Association 353	12	dthomas@tcspllc.com
1,6	positions statements		PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQUIRE
16	17 "Position Statement on Restriction of 354	13	pcombs@tcspllc.com
17	Surgical Options for Pelvic Floor Disorders"	<u>.</u> .	300 Summers Street, Suite 1380
	18 "ICS Fact Sheets, A Background to 355	14	Charleston, West Virginia 25301
18	Urinary and Fecal Incontinence"	15	(304) 414-1807
19	19 "Urinary Incontinence, the Management 359	16	ALSO PRESENT:
129	of Urinary Incontinence in Women" 20 "The New Objective Measurements to 378		Julie Filarski, Anderson Law Offices, LLC
20	Characterize the Porosity of Textile	17	
	Implants"	10	
21	21 "Tensile Properties of Five Commonly 386	18 19	
22	Used Midurethral Slings Relative to	20	
22	the TVT" 22 "Hernia Repair Sequela" 496	21	
23	23 "Comparison of Long-Term 501	22	
l	Biocompatibility of PVDF and PP	23	
24	Meshes"	24	
	Page 343		Page 345
	6		
1 1	24 Pathology report for Carolyn Lewis 565	1	
1	24 Pathology report for Carolyn Lewis 565 25 Findings from Klinge review of the 615	1	gr
2	25 Findings from Klinge review of the 615 slides	1 2	(Klinge Exhibit 12 marked for
2	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the 624 		(Klinge Exhibit 12 marked for identification.)
	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat 	2	
2 3	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 	2 3 4	identification.)
2	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 	2 3 4 5	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the
2 3	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 	2 3 4	identification.)
2 3 4 5	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867	2 3 4 5	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the
2 3 4	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644	2 3 4 5 6	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the
2 3 4 5 6	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan Meek dated April 22, 2009,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the
2 3 4 5	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 	2 3 4 5 6	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've
2 3 4 5 6	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has
2 3 4 5 6	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Doctor, when we closed yesterday, we were talking about who a doctor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Doctor, when we closed yesterday, we were talking about who a doctor could consult with to understand the risks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Doctor, when we closed yesterday, we were talking about who a doctor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Doctor, when we closed yesterday, we were talking about who a doctor could consult with to understand the risks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	25 Findings from Klinge review of the slides 26 "Impact of Polymer Pore Size on the Interface Scar Formation in a Rat Model" 27 "The Argument for Light-Weight 633 Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia Repair" 28 Ethicon documents, 641 ETH.MESH.01782867 29 E-mail from Joerg Holste to Jonathan 644 Meek dated April 22, 2009, ETH.MESH.02148431 - ETH.MESH.02148432 30 Clinical expert report from Piet 645 Hinoul, ETH.MESH.08315779 - ETH.MESH.08315810 31 "Demand the most proven technology 647 when selecting a midurethral sling. Make data and safety your choice" 32 PowerPoint slides 653 33 Letter from Christoph Walther to 654 Quentin, HMESH_ETH_00379723 (Exhibits attached to the deposition.) CERTIFICATE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	identification.) MR. THOMAS: Before the deposition, I told Mr. Anderson during the inquiry yesterday about the redacted exhibit concerning the meeting in Suvretta in 2003. I've been advised that the company has already produced an unredacted copy of that document in another production. I've asked for it and hope to have it when they wake up. So I'll produce it to you as soon as I have it. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you for doing that. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Witness previously sworn) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Doctor, when we closed yesterday, we were talking about who a doctor could consult with to understand the risks related to the using of mesh for the

	D 016	_	D 240
	Page 346		Page 348
1	Do you recall that?	1	guidelines that you discussed yesterday
2	A. Yes.	2	online on the computer?
3	Q. And I believe you identified	3	A. Please, I had to look, sorry.
4	for me an organization in Germany that you	4	Q. I am sorry.
5	called the AWMF; is that correct?	5	When you referred to the AWMF
6	A. Yes.	6	guidelines
7	Q. Let me show you what I've	7	A. Yeah.
8	marked as Exhibit Number 12. As I understand	8	Q did you go to the computer
9	it from those people who looked for me,	9	to consult the computer to find those
10	Exhibit Number 12 is an English version and	10	guidelines?
11	the short version of the AWMF registry for	11	A. When I hadn't looked to this,
12	the diagnosis and treatment of stress urinary	12	yes.
13	incontinence in women.	13	Q. Do you have a hard copy of the
14	Is that fair?	14	guidelines from the computer?
15	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	15	A. No.
16	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	16	Q. All right. Do you know whether
17	Q. Are you familiar with this	17	Exhibit 13 are the guidelines that you looked
18	document, Doctor?	18	at online?
19	A. With this English, no, I never	19	A. I tried to figure out the date
20	read it.	20	of when these guidelines have been finished
21		21	because I know that there are at least two
22	(Klinge Exhibit 13 marked for identification.)	22	
23	·	23	different versions; an older version and a
24	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	24	more actual version there. And, therefore,
24	Q. Let me hand you what's been	24	yesterday I mentioned this phrase that has
	D 247		D 240
	Page 347		Page 349
1	_	1	_
1 2	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long	1 2	been changed in the documents I saw when I
	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German.		been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the
2	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document?	2	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it
2 3	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection.	2 3	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical
2 3 4	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you	2 3 4	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy.
2 3 4 5	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the	2 3 4 5	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that.
2 3 4 5 6	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages.	2 3 4 5	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is
2 3 4 5 6 7	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to	2 3 4 5 6 7	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that. MR. ANDERSON: Different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is a document titled "EAU Guidelines on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that. MR. ANDERSON: Different question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is a document titled "EAU Guidelines on the Surgical Treatment of Stress Urinary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that. MR. ANDERSON: Different question. Is this AWMF the organization	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is a document titled "EAU Guidelines on the Surgical Treatment of Stress Urinary Incontinence."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that. MR. ANDERSON: Different question. Is this AWMF the organization that you mentioned yesterday as far as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is a document titled "EAU Guidelines on the Surgical Treatment of Stress Urinary Incontinence." Simple question, have you seen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that. MR. ANDERSON: Different question. Is this AWMF the organization that you mentioned yesterday as far as you can tell?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is a document titled "EAU Guidelines on the Surgical Treatment of Stress Urinary Incontinence." Simple question, have you seen this document before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that. MR. ANDERSON: Different question. Is this AWMF the organization that you mentioned yesterday as far as you can tell? THE WITNESS: Yes. I mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is a document titled "EAU Guidelines on the Surgical Treatment of Stress Urinary Incontinence." Simple question, have you seen this document before? A. No, I've not seen it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that. MR. ANDERSON: Different question. Is this AWMF the organization that you mentioned yesterday as far as you can tell? THE WITNESS: Yes. I mentioned this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is a document titled "EAU Guidelines on the Surgical Treatment of Stress Urinary Incontinence." Simple question, have you seen this document before? A. No, I've not seen it. Q. And the date on it is September
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	marked as Exhibit Number 13. It's the long version, and it's in German. Do you recognize that document? MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection. This is a 62-page document and if you want to ask him if he has if by the cover of this or he can read 62 pages. MR. THOMAS: All I want to know, Ben, is whether this is the organization that he discussed yesterday consulting online for the guidelines for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence. I don't want him to read the whole document. We don't have time to do that. MR. ANDERSON: Different question. Is this AWMF the organization that you mentioned yesterday as far as you can tell? THE WITNESS: Yes. I mentioned	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	been changed in the documents I saw when I made my research. It's somewhere in the text. If you like, I can try to find it here, but it's the recommendation of surgical therapy. Q. Okay. I don't want to do that. A. So I'm not sure whether this is the last version here. Q. That's fine. (Klinge Exhibit 14 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me hand you what's been marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 14. Deposition Exhibit Number 14, Dr. Klinge, is a document titled "EAU Guidelines on the Surgical Treatment of Stress Urinary Incontinence." Simple question, have you seen this document before? A. No, I've not seen it.

Page 350 Page 352 is that correct? On the left right in the Urological Association to be authoritative in 2 middle? the field of treatment of stress urinary 3 3 Yeah. That's correct. Α. incontinence? 4 4 Q. Are you familiar with the A. I cannot comment on this. I 5 European Association of Urology? know from my colleagues here that there are 6 A. No. various societies taking care of the problem 7 (Klinge Exhibit 15 marked for of incontinence and they're competing. 8 identification.) They're sometimes with -- conflicting with 9 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** different assumptions, different advices or 10 10 Q. Let me show you what's been less. 11 11 marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 15. To my knowledge from all of the 12 12 Exhibit Number 15 is a document discussions with them, there is not one 13 titled Guidelines on Urinary Incontinence, single society that is authoritative, yeah, 14 14 Text Update, March 2013. It reads, "This that is able to give recommendations for the 15 pocket version aims to synthesize the 15 woman either treated by urologist or 16 16 important clinical messages described in the gynecologist. But, of course, you find a lot 17 17 full text and is presented as a series of of these different societies. Maybe this is 18 evidence summaries and graded action-based 18 an expression that there are different 19 19 recommendations which follow the standard for opinions as well. 20 20 levels of evidence used by the EAU." Q. And you said, "I know from my 21 Have you seen Exhibit Number 15 21 colleagues here." Is that conversations that 22 22 before today? you've had with colleagues at the hospital 23 23 where you work? A. No, I haven't seen it. 24 24 Doctor, are you familiar with Yes. We have a close Q. Α. Page 351 Page 353 collaboration with Professor an organization known as the American 2 Kirschner-Hermanns, for example, she has been **Urological Association?** 3 Familiar, if you mean that I the leader of the incontinence center. have ever heard of it or noticed it, I think 4 4 O. And that's the incontinence 5 center at the hospital that's part of the so, yes. 6 O. Do you consider the American university? 7 7 A. Yeah. Urological Association to be authoritative in 8 8 the field of stress urinary incontinence (Klinge Exhibit 16 marked for 9 9 identification.) treatment? 10 10 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 11 11 Dr. Klinge is not a urologist and he's Q. Let me show you what's been 12 not here being offered as a urologist marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 16. This 13 nor the treatment options of SUI, and 13 is titled "Position Statements of the 14 14 as I stated yesterday, and so all of American Urological Association." It's dated 15 15 these questions about treatment at the bottom November 2011. 16 16 recommendations for a urologist or a Is it fair to understand that 17 17 urogynecologist clearly are outside of you've not seen this position statement of 18 18 the scope of his expert report and the the American Urological Association? 19 19 reasons that he's being offered as an MR. ANDERSON: Same objections 20 20 expert. If counsel wants to continue I stated before. 21 to ask questions about it, but I'm 21 THE WITNESS: I don't recall 22 22 going to move to strike all of it. whether this is exactly. I recall

23

24

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Do you consider the American

23

24

that I have seen some recent position

statements by some of these societies,

	Proi. Dr. Me	a	owe kiinge
	Page 354		Page 356
1	but it's not my focus to list all of	1	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2	these various societies and various	2	Q. Doctor, are you familiar with
3	aspects.	3	the International Incontinence Society?
4	(Klinge Exhibit 17 marked for	4	A. Yes. I know them.
5	identification.)	5	Q. Let me hand you what's been
6	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	6	marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 18.
7	Q. Let me show you what I've	7	Deposition Exhibit Number 18 is titled "ICS
8	marked now Deposition Exhibit Number 17.	8	Fact Sheets, A Background to Urinary and
9	Deposition Exhibit Number 17 is	9	Fecal Incontinence," prepared by the
10	from the American Urogynecologic Society, and	10	publications and communications committee,
11	it's titled "Position Statement on	11	July 2013.
12	Restriction of Surgical Options for Pelvic	12	Have you seen this document
13	Floor Disorders."	13	before?
14	Have you seen Exhibit 17	14	A. Not as a printout version, but
15	before?	15	I repeatedly am going to the website because
16	A. Maybe. I'm not sure.	16	they offered a lot of interesting tools for
17	Q. Did you consider the position	17	making research and how to investigate all
18	of the American Urogynecologic Society in the	18	these. So it's an interesting website from
19	formation of your opinions in this case?	19	the society.
20	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Same	20	Q. Do you
21	objection before.	21	A. And among this, there is I
22	THE WITNESS: Please, can you	22	made a lot of downloads from the society.
23	say it again?	23	Q. Why did you do that?
24	,	24	A. Because I'm interested. I want
	Page 355		Page 357
1	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	1	to be informed of what happens. There's so
2	Q. Did you consider the position	2	many contradicting information and to get an
3	of the American Urogynecologic Society in the	3	overview, yeah, I'm a scientist and,
4	formation of your opinions in this case?	4	therefore, it is my duty to go into the
5	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. The	5	problems.
6	question is not fair.	6	Q. Let's go
7	Do you want him to read the	7	A. To try to learn of it.
8	entire document because how could he	8	Q. Let's go to page 13 of
9	know whether he considered the	9	Exhibit 18, please.
10	position if he doesn't know what it	10	On the left side, the second
11	is. My objection stands. He's not	11	full half reads, "Definitive therapy for SUI
12	going to be asked any of these	12	is surgical and involves restoring urethral
13	questions and you know that and it's	13	support through use of a sling. Worldwide
14	not anywhere in his report nor is it	14	mid-urethral slings comprised of synthetic
15	in his reliance materials, but if you	15	mesh have become the treatment of choice for
16	want to keep asking, please, feel free	16	SUI. Long-term data are robust and
17	to.	17	demonstrate durable efficacy and a very low
18	MR. THOMAS: Thank you, I will.	18	complication rate particularly in experienced
19	I have a limited amount of time here.	19	hands."
20	MR. ANDERSON: You do.	20	Do you agree with that
21	MR. THOMAS: You can have a	21	statement of the ICS?
22	standing objection to that.	22	MR. ANDERSON: Same objections.
23	- ·	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23	(Klinge Exhibit 18 marked for	23	THE WITNESS: The I don't
24	(Klinge Exhibit 18 marked for identification.)	23	THE WITNESS: The I don't think that I'm in the moment that

I'm able to give an opinion in what woman, at what stage of the disease, what therapy may be the best.

When they said here they are a low complication rate, we talked about what does it mean low, can we be sure that it is low, that is a question we can have intense discussions about it.

My topic or my -- so far as I understood, my question was whether the use of the Prolene®, when it is coming to complications, whether this is a problem of the material. Whether there are some basic requirements that makes it imperative to use the most heaviest weight mesh from a hernia surgery for the use of this. That was the question that I wanted to address by looking all these things.

So even if there is only one patient with a complication that is not necessary because of using the wrong requirements, that was the question that I wanted to address.

Q. Why did you go to the NICE website?

Page 360

Page 361

A. Same answer as some minutes before; to get informed the search and literature is one of our most important tools and -- there has been -- in February -- last year the question whether we have access to all of these things and I would like to point out that at the university we have an almost unlimited access to all things that are published there to correct this impression that it is restricted to the journals I get personally.

Q. I didn't suggest that.

A. No, it was from the last year or in February there has been the discussion, there has been the question whether do our --getting these specific journal and I just wanted to take this opportunity to clarify that we have huge possibilities to access.

Q. I understand that.

The computer is a wonderful thing, isn't it?

A. It has changed completely our

Page 359

But I'm not able to say their requirements for the societies or so.

And I have no doubt that there are some patients taking a big benefit by the use of slings.

(Klinge Exhibit 19 marked for identification.)

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Let me hand you what I've marked now as Deposition Exhibit Number 19. Deposition Exhibit Number 19 --
- A. Yeah, that is the NICE, yeah, the National Institute.
- Q. Is NICE -- it's called -- it's titled "Urinary Incontinence, the Management of Urinary Incontinence in Women," issued September 2013. And it's issued by the organization called NICE, the National Institute For Health and Care Excellence.

Is this the document to which you referred yesterday in your testimony?

A. I downloaded, if I remember correctly, about 10, 15 documents from the website from NICE. So this is one of it.

1 work.

Q. Doctor, let's go back to your report, please, which is Exhibit Number 11.

On page 2 of Exhibit 11 under the summary of your opinions, you say, "The mesh -- excuse me, the Prolene® mesh in TVT® is a heavy-weight mesh --

MR. ANDERSON: Can you show us where you are?

MR. THOMAS: Right at the top of the page.

MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Doctor, in page 2 of Exhibit 11, you state in your report under the heading, "The Prolene® mesh in TVT® is a heavy-weight mesh ('over engineered').

In that paragraph, you say,
"Any pelvic mesh designed with this much
excess surface area and weight unreasonably
increases the risk of injury to the patient
and is a less safe design."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 And my question is when you say that this mesh design unreasonably increases 3 the risk of injury, compared to what?

To a material -- that is, in 5 fact, the most important thing, you have to 6 do it in comparison. If you compare the 108 grams of the Prolene® mesh with the 42 grams of Gynemesh® for the 34 grams of 9 ULTRAPROTM, it has a surplus, it has more 10 material, it has more surface. So if you 11 compare these two, you have more contact area 12 to the tissue and, therefore, you will have 13 intensified tissue reaction.

So if there is no need to have this amount of material, if you can reduce it, if you can produce, if there are some facts that allow you to reduce the amount of material of the Prolene® mesh by half, then you will have an improved tissue reaction and, therefore, you will lessen the scar formation, you will lessen the risks for the patient. That is it. Prolene® mesh is at the maximum. In comparison to all other meshes, it's the maximum of the weight of the to 2 microns and create a multifilament made of polypropylene, then you are right, completely right. That is -- but this has been a -- an important part of our discussions because we, that is coming from Aachen, that is -- has been our work to stick on the importance of the pores and not of the weight.

Page 364

So but sometimes it is more easy to reduce it to this to make it better understandable for the people.

Doctor, do you have any clinical data to which you can point to support your opinion that the Prolene® mesh increases the risk of injury in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence above other materials for the same application?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: As I pointed out yesterday, the clinical data unfortunately that are provided, they are too limited to allow this consequence; however, the basic

Page 363

material that is placed in this area and, 2

therefore, it is, of course, it is

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3 heavy-weight. I think it's the heaviest

monofilament mesh that I know, and if you can

reduce the amount of material, that has been

the sense of our work, then you improve the tissue reaction and reduce the risk.

Didn't we decide yesterday that weight was not the determining factor in the intensity of the foreign body reaction?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: In fact, that is -- yeah. If you have a Prolene® with these fibers and just reduce the amount of material, using a similar fiber, the same fiber, but just reduce the amount of material, of course, you will increase the pore sizes, you will reduce the material and you will improve the tissue reaction.

If you just stick to the weight and change the fiber from 120 microns Page 365

principle that heavy-weight, a huge 2 amount of material locally, small pore 3

size, that this is linked to an

4 increased risk, there are several 5 studies showing it and not least

6 because of this in the guidelines, in

the meta-analysis for surgical meshes

8 for hernia repair they're usually

already is a statement that you have to consider light-weight and large

pore.

OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

You referred to a meta-analysis for surgical meshes for hernia repair.

Have you considered the meta-analysis for the use of meshes for stress urinary incontinence?

We mentioned yesterday I'm deeply aware about the fact that the limited value of meta-analysis.

O. Okay.

It just -- it is helpful to confirm the importance of weight and pore size. In general, that this is -- that has a

Page 366

strong impact of the clinical results. There
is no doubt about it. But as I pointed out
yesterday, it is impossible to see or to
prove any inferiority or superiority of any
specific device.

Also in your answer, you

Q. Also in your answer, you referenced several studies showing this basic principle.

Are these all animal studies?

A. No, there is -- if you are -- if you're trying to figure out what is the relevance of this mesh material discussion in the field of hernia surgery, and the field of hernia surgery is a little bit older than this for the pelvic floor and a lot of -- and we introduced the meshes, I think, earlier, if you try to figure out what is the relevance there, then you find there are several meta-analysis meanwhile summarizing clinical studies, and there are guidelines for the clinical treatment based on these clinical trials and giving the recommendation to use large pore material, reduce

Page 368

That there are some similarities when you place meshes in living tissue, that you have some similarities.

There are -- depending on the specific location, there can be some differences in the tissue reaction, but there are very important aspects that are quite similar that a mesh behaves similarly in the various areas from the point of the histological analysis.

There are considerable differences in the biomechanics and there we know that pelvic floor has different biomechanics. We have a similar area in the reenforcement of the diagram where we have some forces as well. So the biomechanical problem makes it as a functional difference to the hernia mesh. That is even more in another respect when you're taking a hernia mesh to use it in another functional condition. It's a concern and problem.

Page 367

the treatment of hernia patients.

So that confirms that the principle to think or to consider the mesh material and the weight and the pore size, that is well-accepted in the hernia society, yes.

light-weight meshes in the treatment -- for

Q. Is it fair to understand, Doctor, that you're relying upon your training, education and experience in connection with the care and treatment of hernias to support your position that mesh used in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence has the same risks as the mesh that's used in hernia repair?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Of course, my knowledge of the Prolene® is based on our preclinical studies that we did in the animal models, from our clinical experience, from the experience we have got from hernia patients.

Overall, everything confirmed that the tissue response is quite similar.

Page 369

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Let me try my question again and maybe you didn't understand it.

What I'm trying to understand, we were talking about clinical studies used to analyze the extent to which mesh causes problems in the pelvic floor -- strike that.

The goal of my question was to try to determine whether there are clinical studies on which you rely to analyze the problems of complications with the use of mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, and I think you told me that you rely on your clinical experience in hernia for that information.

Is that true?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to the form of the whole question.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: No, that is, of course, not true because to my opinions, it is -- it is necessary to see whether there are some complications when using it as a

Page 370 1 sling, and as you know from the Asked and answered. 2 documents, there are some specific Go ahead. 3 3 complications which are different from 4 those from hernia surgery. So you 5 have to look to the specific 5 6 6 literature what may be some 7 7 consequences. 8 8 However, the general opinion 9 whether it's heavy-weight, whether 9 10 10 it's a higher risk than another, you 11 have to take all of this information 11 12 12 together. 13 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 13 14 14 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony 15 15 that you need to go to the specific 16 16 literature to learn what may be the 17 17 consequences of the use of mesh for the 18 treatment of stress urinary incontinence? 18 19 19 You have to consider this as 20 20 well. You have to include it into this. 21 21 What literature have you 22 22 considered to understand the complications 23 23 which arise from the use of mesh in the 24 treatment of stress urinary incontinence? Page 371 1 I'm not able to give you a list of all of the documents I've downloaded 3 during the past years. I regularly are 4 looking to the literature, and I know it's 5 hundreds of documents every week are coming, 6 some new. So, yeah, several. I looked at 6 7 7 several of them. 8 8 Can you tell me one? Q. 9 A. One of these publications? 9 10 10 Q. Yes, just one. 11 Sling. As I told you, it's the 11 general --A. 12 NICE meta-analysis. 12 13 Okav. 13 O. Q. 14 14 That their -- it is -- as I 15 told you, the AWMF, it is study for PVDF 16 16 meshes from Norway that has been published 17 17 this year. There has been several studies 18 comparing the textile properties. 19 19 Are there any clinical studies 20 20 about which you're aware that suggest that

THE WITNESS: I did not know that there has been a comparison of different materials as it has been done in the hernia -- in the field of hernia surgery where we make randomized controlled trials comparing light-weight and large pore meshes. I don't know whether -- I don't know in the moment a study where someone compared two different slings with the outcome. But as we discussed yesterday, clinical studies are very limited in clarifying whether one material really is better than the other. It is very likely that if you make such a study that you get nonsignificant results due to the variation in your collectives. **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** O. Is it true, simple question, that there are no -- it's true that there are no clinical studies about which you're aware Page 373 that suggest that the design of the Prolene® mesh increases the risk of injury to a patient over -- in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence over a larger pore, lighter-weight mesh; is that true? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Asked and answered again. THE WITNESS: It isn't true because you didn't reduce it to the pelvic floor. So if you made it in QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Oh, I think I did. You just asked me if I'm correct. If there are clinical studies showing that Prolene® has more complications in comparison to heavy-weight or I missed it. So a general, there are clinical studies. For the use as sling, I don't know any. Q. Okay. So just to make sure we're clear. For the use of slings, mesh slings, in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, you're unaware of any clinical studies that show that the use of Prolene®

pore, lighter-weight mesh?

the design of the Prolene® mesh increases the

MR. ANDERSON: Objection again.

risk of injury to a patient over a larger

21

22

23

21

22

23

24

Page 372

Page 374 Page 376 mesh increases the risk of injury to a get objective analysis of this pore 2 patient in the treatment of stress urinary distribution. To make it easier to 3 incontinence over a larger pore, understand what was found in histology, to lighter-weight mesh; is that true? make it easier to understand what are the 5 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. consequences if you change something of the 6 Asked and answered. textile construction, what is the consequence 7 THE WITNESS: That is true. to the pore sizes and the distribution of the 8 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** pores, therefore, we made this machine or we 9 developed this machine together with Q. Okay. Doctor, on page 2 of 10 your report, you continue and say, "The 10 Professor Mühl and it was able to get clear 11 Prolene® mesh in TVT® is a small pore mesh." 11 images of a mesh construction and if you are 12 How big is the pore in TVT® 12 using the textile porosity as before, you get 13 used for the treatment of stress urinary 13 this distribution. 14 14 incontinence? The next decision has to be how 15 15 A. This is -- this is a question to compare distributions to define which is 16 that is -- that has to be explained in detail better than the other. And it is 17 17 from various aspects. It is insufficient to statistically, scientifically it is not easy 18 just make a measure in one dimension and say 18 to make a reliable comparison of 19 this is a pore. distributions and, therefore, we decided to 20 20 In the '90s, we made with the make a cutoff, to define a cutoff because we 21 VYPRO mesh with 3, 4, 5 millimeters of pores 21 have seen at various histological sections 22 roughly when you make these measurements. So 22 that there may be a minimum pore size that is 23 23 these are considered really as large pores. increasing the risk for this bridging. It 24 There are others that are from the 24 has been with the Marlex. It has been done Page 375 Page 377 microscopical view can be regarded as small for the first time with the Marlex, and we 2 pores. So to make a precise measurement of have -- somewhere in your documents there is the pore size or the distribution of the a PowerPoint slide with a distribution and 4 pores, it was a problem for a long time. then there is on the left side, there is a 5 We first started in 2000, I distribution for the Marlex and there we 6 think, for the first time that we tried to marked in between 600 and 800 microns that we 7 figure out that it is a distribution, that saw and we measured the distance of the 8 every mesh has some smaller pores, some filaments that we saw that below this border larger pores. So one specific value -- yeah, of 600, 800 with the Marlex and you have this 10 figure and value of a pore, this does not 10 bridging. 11 reflect the reality of a mesh construction. 11 Later on, 2003, 2002, we took 12 So you have these different pore sizes in as a limit -- as a cutoff to separate the 13 every mesh. 13 meshes with low risk and high risk by 1 14 14 millimeter because we then had the values of And then we got aware that if 15 15 the experiments that has been published by you look to the histology and not to the foreign body reaction around the filaments 16 16 Conze where we measured it in Aachen. 17 17 but to the pores inside, then you see the But at the beginning, we 18 differences that in the VYPRO and the noticed that there is an impact of the 19 19 ULTRAPROTM, you don't have this bridging and polymer so we separated for PVDF and PP. Of

20

21

23

23 difference. 24 In 2003, 2004, we started to

there are, if the filaments are coming closer

together, then you have these pores filled by

22 So the question what is small or what is the best pore or what is the pore cannot be answered by giving you just a

course, there are a lot of other impact

factors that can do it.

scar tissue. So there seems to be a

20

21

22

figure. If you take the extremes, the

- ² ULTRAPROTM, the VYPRO, was a pore of 3 to
- ³ 5-millimeter. If you made a linear
- 4 measurement there with all of the
- 5 limitations, all restrictions, please don't
- 6 stick me to this number 3 to 5-millimeter.
- ⁷ It's just a shortening of this. This is low
- risk for bridging, and whereas, very small
- pores has a high risk of bridging. That is
 the message.
 - Q. Doctor, have you ever attempted to physically measure the pore in the Prolene® mesh used in TVT® for stress urinary
- incontinence repair?
 - A. Whether we made a measurement of the Prolene® mesh?
 - O. Yes.

11

12

13

15

16

17

21

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

17

- ¹⁸ A. Dr. Mühl did it.
- 19 (Klinge Exhibit 20 marked for 20 identification.)

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. And I'm not -- and just for the
- record, what you're talking about is Exhibit
- Number 20, the article that you coauthored

- ... 1

Page 379

with Dr. Mühl in 2007, "The New Objective

- ² Measurements to Characterize the Porosity of
- ³ Textile Implants."
 - Is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. My question is in the 1990s
 - when you're doing your experiments, did you ever measure the pore size of the TVT® mesh
- over measure the pore size or the
- ⁹ used -- strike that.

In the 1990s when you were studying first generation Prolene® mesh, which you call old Prolene®, did you ever measure the pore sizes?

A. The pore sizes in the '90s have

been done first by just making linear

measurements. We know -- we all know that

- this is not accurate to give a good
- 18 reflection of the pore size, but at that
- 19 time, it was the way we did it, and the next
- thing we tried to do is the textile porosity.
- 21 So it is impossible. It is still today
- impossible to measure a pore size.
- Q. Okay. Still today impossible to measure a pore size, correct?

Page 378

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 380

- A. It depends from your definition what you're thinking of as a pore size. What
- is -- of course, you can make images of the
- ⁴ pore area so -- first of all, you have to
- ⁵ define what is your meaning of pore size, in
- what context you want to have this. General
 finding.
 - Q. Doctor, are you -- I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Doctor, are you aware of any standard that tells you or Ethicon how to measure pore size?
 - A. I think -- or the -- the best solution to get an idea or to try -- an objective measurement to make a characterization of textile structures by the use of pores, this is done in this publication.
 - Q. Okay. Other than the Mühl publication, Exhibit Number 20 that we've talked about before, are you aware of any standard promulgated by any regulatory, public health authority or company that tells

Page 381

you or Ethicon how to measure its pore size?

- A. I know there are some -- there has been some publications related to the textile porosity. How to make the textile porosity in a two-dimensional way. There are some -- there are maybe some experimental other attempts to grasp the problem of pores to describe this. But there is, of course, I don't know any official standard showing you have to do it like this.
- Q. In the '90s when you were doing your own studies, you measured them in a linear fashion; is that true?
 - A. At the beginning, yes.
- Q. Okay. And tell me how you did that. What points in the pore did you measure?
- A. As I remember, we had a visual impression what may be the mean distance in the pore. Not looking what is the farest distance, what is the shortest, but what may be the mean roughly.

But, again, the purpose at that time was to give a hint to the reader, to

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

show the difference between 3 millimeters and
 .3 millimeters. And, therefore, this gives a
 good impression that the textile construction
 was different.

Q. Before VYPRO, was there anything in the literature about light-weight -- strike that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Before VYPRO, was there anything about a comparison of large pore to small pore in the literature?

- A. I'm not aware of it, no.
- Q. Before VYPRO, was Prolene®

known as a large pore mesh?

A These words were n

- A. These words were not -- it was not a discussion. At the beginning of the '90s, you already had the Mersilene.
- 17 Mersilene is a mesh with a very low weight.
- ¹⁸ You had the Prolene® with very high weight,
- ¹⁹ and there hasn't been any discussion about
- ²⁰ the different textile characteristics. I
- 21 think that is what we introduced. If you
- look to the literature what has been
- ²³ published until '99 with the search for
- meshes, you will hardly find any good data up

Q. At any time in your research from 1993 to the present, in your experience, was it ever appropriate to describe Prolene® as a large pore macroporous mesh?

A. It is in contrast. If you're looking to our experimental publications, there we took the Prolene® mesh in our experiments as a control for a mesh that is usually bridging, that induces usually an intense inflammatory and fibrotic reaction. That was our control for many of these experiments.

And on the other end, we really had some large pores, light-weight mesh materials, but the prototype of a heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that has been Marlex and Prolene®.

Q. My question, Doctor, is a very simple one and I'm trying to understand whether based on your 20 years of experience in this field, at any time during that 20 years whether the state of knowledge about mesh design was such that it was appropriate to describe first generation 6-mil Prolene®

Page 383

to there. It's a dozen experimental studies until this and then it's going up.

Q. Was the first generation 6-mil Prolene® mesh used for hernia repair, which you refer to as old Prolene®, ever described in the literature as large pore macroporous mesh?

A. In what -- before 1995, that has not been the wording for -- to describe a experimental setting there. Yeah, later on, I know that there is some of the documents where we took over -- I think ourselves took over some measurements provided by the manufacturer and said -- or took it in and mentioned it as 1.2 millimeter, the pore size.

So, but, yeah, as we discussed already, it is not sufficient to give a real impression of pore. It's too difficult.

Q. Doctor, we spent a lot of time yesterday talking about the progress in your understanding about the design of meshes beginning in the party in Christmas in 1993?

A. Uh-huh.

Page 385

Page 384

mesh as large pore and macroporous?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to

form.

THE WITNESS: No. No. It

is --

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. You're familiar with the Amidclassification?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Is that "yes"?
 - A. Yes. Yes. Sorry.
 - Q. And you know under the Amid classification that Prolene® is a Class I mesh? Is that "yes"?
 - A. Yes, I know it, but I have to explain this is not fair because now we are switching to different definitions of large pore.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I --
 - Q. Can I --

A. From our work, it is very clear what large pore is. That is a large pore.

The consequence of a large pore that you have

Page 386 Page 388 a low risk for bridging. That is the message top (AMS, American Medical Systems) 2 of all our work, and this shouldn't be put describing the different meshes tested in together with the definition of large pore in 3 this study. the Amid classification because he has a 4 Have you looked at this table 5 5 different aim and purpose to do so. before? 6 6 So it is mixing up two A. Yes. 7 7 different things and it is increasing the Q. And there are six different 8 confusion that everywhere happens. types of meshes that are used for the 9 You've talked about the Amid treatment of stress urinary incontinence; is 10 classification at length in other depositions 10 that correct? 11 and I'm not going to explore that again, but 11 A. That is correct. 12 12 feel free --And the authors in the Moalli Q. 13 A. 13 paper have a category for mesh thickness, Me neither. 14 (Klinge Exhibit 21 marked for 14 correct? 15 15 identification.) Α. Yes. 16 16 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. And mesh thickness is exactly 17 17 what it says, it's just how thick the mesh Q. Doctor, I've handed you what's 18 been marked as Exhibit 21. 18 is? 19 19 Exhibit 21 is a --A. Yes. 20 20 Then it has pore size and it MR. ANDERSON: Excuse me, Q. 21 shows the pore sizes for each of these meshes Counsel, can you tell me what the F 21 22 used for the treatment of TVT®, and you mesh is? Mine is cut off. 23 MR. THOMAS: Yeah, mine is too. understand that Gynecare is the TVT® mesh, 24 correct? I was just going to say that for the Page 387 Page 389 1 record, but I can't, but I'll get them A. Yes. 2 for you. O. And the Gynecare mesh is shown 3 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: as having a pore size of 1,379 microns, 4 Exhibit 21 a journal article in correct? 5 the International Urogynecology Journal, It is written here, but we A. 6 volume 19, number 5, May 2008, it's titled pointed out, I think, very extensively that 7 "Tensile Properties of Five Commonly Used the number of 1,379 microns is a measurement Midurethral Slings Relative to the TVT®." 8 within the textile mesh, but it does not 9 You cited this article in your reflect the textile characteristic in regard 10 paper, haven't you? Do you remember that? 10 to pores and porosity because you always have 11 11 Cited in what -a distribution. A. 12 12 In your report? But you see still here in the Q. 13 Yes. Yes. It's very nice, 13 year 2008, it was still used there, but this is not what is the relevant information to 14 very interesting study. And this study compares the 15 15 predict the tissue reaction there. tensile properties of five different slings 16 O. Do you view --16 17 17 So it's not relevant. It's not against the Johnson & Johnson TVT® sling, A. 18 correct? 18 really relevant. 19 19 A. The textile properties, yeah. Okay. Is it inappropriate from 20 a scientific perspective for the authors in 20 Okay. I want you to turn to O. 21 page 657 of Exhibit 21, to Table 1. 21 the Moalli study, Exhibit 21, to regard pore 22 22 And Table 1 shows, "The textile size in this fashion? 23 23 properties (including loaded failure) No, it is -- no. It is -- a A.

provided by the manufacturers listed at the

24

lot of people is doing it when they don't --

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

5

6

7

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 20

21

22

Page 390 when they were not aware of the problems of 2 this. You cannot discuss every parameter in 3 detail in every manuscript, otherwise, you -so every manuscript is a comprise. You can 5 present some data. 6 But in this litigation, we're 7 sitting here and discussing about the pores 8 of the Prolene® and when you cited this 9 document as proof that Prolene® is a mesh 10 with pore size of more than 1,000 microns,

did the best to take the information they got
 there, but it doesn't help me for my opinion
 whether it's a small pore or large pore.
 That is the fact that has to be clear, I
 think.

that is -- that is not relevant. It is a --

it is a paper, it is a manuscript and they

- Q. I understand that. I actually am going to use this for a whole different reason than you think.
- A. I'm not sure.

11

12

18

19

20

21

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 22 Q. I know that, but that's why I 23 get to ask the questions.
 - A. And I have to be concerned.

A. No.

Q. Are you aware of any other mesh marketed in the United States for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence other than the ones listed in Exhibit 21?

A. Aware in the meaning that I know that there are several others. I'm not -- I'm not able to present the total list of all possible sling materials there.

Q. Okay. The PVDF mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence is not available in the United States.

You agree with that?

- A. To my knowledge, it is correct.
- Q. And the PVDF mesh from FEG that's used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence has not been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration.

Do you agree with that?

A. It is -- yeah, to my knowledge, it is the fact. But I'm not sure whether they really sent it to them to have it checked.

Page 393

Page 392

Page 391

Q. No, you don't.

So have you looked at the mesh of Boston Scientific used in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

MR. ANDERSON: It's a specific question. Have you looked at the mesh of Boston Scientific?

THE WITNESS: No. OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Have you looked at the mesh manufactured by AMS for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you looked at the mesh manufactured by BARD for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you looked at the mesh manufactured by Caldera for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you looked at the mesh manufactured by Mentor for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

- Q. I understand.
 - A. Or whether they didn't do it.
- Q. I don't know whether they've asked either, but --
- A. But this is -- I think this is a major difference.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the pore size for the Gynecare mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, the Ethicon TVT®, has a pore size that's larger than the other five that are listed in the Moalli study?
 - A. No.
 - O. Why?
- A. Because the question what is the pore size, whether it's bigger than the other, it cannot be answered. You have this distribution. You have some pores bigger than the others. You have to make the testing or you have to figure out what is the specific distribution of the various pore size and then when you want to make a cutoff, when you include a cutoff, then you have to look to the effective porosity and then you

3

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

can get an opinion whether one is better than 2 the other.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Have you ever analyzed the extent to which meshes available in the United States have an area of pore size that are larger than the Ethicon TVT® mesh, any of them?
- A. Though even the information about the area has some limitations, but I have to say, no, I never made systemic analysis of the competitor -- of the slings from the competitors to have a systemic analysis of the other devices.
- It's your opinion that the Ethicon TVT® mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence does not have sufficient effective porosity as measured by, I think it's Exhibit Number 20, the Mühl study, to be used safely in a woman for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence; is that true?
- A. The idea was or the facts are that when you look to the histology, reaction to this mesh material, you always hardly ever

analysis that you and Dr. Mühl devised in 2 Exhibit Number 20, correct?

Page 396

- Please can you rephrase the --I didn't -- I'm not sure whether I got the first relationship in your sentence.
- When you measure pore size, it's your expert opinion that it's appropriate to use the effective porosity analysis that you and Dr. Mühl devised in Exhibit Number 20, correct?
- A. I can say that the effective porosity that we mirrored from the study from Professor Mühl, they give some relevant, important information about the pores, the distribution of the pores in the Prolene® mesh. So, therefore, this is consistent with the histological findings and, therefore, my opinion is based or includes this one.

But it wouldn't be correct to reduce every statement about pores to the effective porosity.

Q. But isn't it true for purposes of your analysis of the extent to which a mesh is designed inappropriately insofar as

Page 395

or always -- almost always find some bridging

when looking to tissue around the Prolene®

3 mesh. Therefore, it behaves biologically as

4 a small pore meshes. Then if you -- and this 5 is consistent to the measurements and this is

6 consistent to the missing effective porosity

7 in the Mühl testing.

So this is very, very consistent. If you look to the competitors, it is hardly difficult to find -- just to see the differences from the images between the various devices. So I think -- I assume that we will get similar results.

MR. ANDERSON: And you were pointing to page 658 of Exhibit 21 when you said "images"?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Figure 2 and --

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Let me break down your answer a little bit.

When you measure pore size, it's your expert opinion that it's appropriate to use the effective porosity

Page 397 there's adequate pore size for appropriate

tissue integration that you rely on the study

that you and Professor Mühl prepared, Exhibit

4 Number 20, to determine the appropriate 5

porosity measurement?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: It's a very long sentence, but I try to answer it however.

You have to understand that the measurement of Professor Mühl helps us to understand and to predict the tissue response.

If you assume the textile engineers from Ethicon would change the machine a little bit and the Prolene® really is a challenge for this machine. If they changed the machine a little bit and made the pores a little bit wider, then probably you get with the testing of the machine an effective porosity of maybe 40 percent, yeah.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

In this case that the machine would have been changed a little bit, then we would have problems because in the histological analysis we saw the scar formation, we saw this bridging and, therefore, it is one way -- it is one important information, the effective porosity, and it helps to explain why certain devices have some problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And we would have serious problems if there is a mesh device, and I don't know whether the competitors have it, which may be 1.1 millimeter. So that you have an effective porosity, then the consequence for us would have to be to rise this limit. But in the moment, we feel consistent and satisfied with this limit.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

O. Under the Mühl study that you and Professor Mühl did in 2007, Exhibit Number 20, is it fair to understand that

Page 399

meshes with an effective porosity with less than 1,000 microns as measured by Professor Mühl, you believe present an increased risk of injury to patients? MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

And that meshes with an effective porosity of greater than a thousand microns -- strike that. Let me start over again.

Do you know whether any of the meshes on page 657 of Exhibit 21 have an effective porosity of greater than 1.000 microns as described in Exhibit 20?

- A. No, I don't know.
- O. As you look at the relative pore sizes as measured by Moalli and others where you see that the Gynecare mesh has a pore size measured at 1379, all of the other manufacturers mesh sizes as measured by Moalli are lower, correct?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Is it possible not to make a comment to this?

MR. ANDERSON: No, you have to answer the question, but you can --

THE WITNESS: I think it is inaccurate to compare textiles, different textile constructions by the use of these values for the pore size. It is inaccurate and insufficient.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

O. Let me ask you this question, Doctor.

Do you know whether any mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence available in the United States has an effective porosity of greater than a thousand microns as measured by the Mühl study, Exhibit 20?

A. No, I don't know.

MR. THOMAS: Let's take a break, please.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay. (Off the record at 9:46 a.m.)

Page 401

Page 400

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Doctor, did you have any involvement in the development of the 5-mil hernia mesh used by -- marketed by Ethicon for pelvic -- strike that.

Doctor, did you have any involvement in the development of the 5-mil hernia mesh marketed by Ethicon?

- A. No, I don't have.
- Do you know whether the 5-mil hernia mesh marketed by Ethicon is still used today for the care and treatment of hernias?
 - A. No, I don't.
- O. Do you know whether the 5-mil hernia mesh made by Ethicon is appropriate for use in the treatment of any hernias?
- For any hernias in the way that there may be some hernias that should be -can be treated with this, yes.
- And under what circumstances would it be appropriate to use a 5-mil Ethicon hernia mesh?
- If this is a -- in its textile A. properties comparable to what we know as the

5

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Prolene® mesh. If there aren't any severe
- 2 differences because I'm not familiar with
- 3 this mesh and its textile characteristics.
- It should be considered as a heavy-weight,
- 5 small pore, very stable mesh material and the
- 6 indication for these mesh materials in

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 7 general from my point it's more or less the
- 8 replacement of defect and not the use for the 9 treatment of a hernia.
 - When you say it's for the "replacement of a defect," what do you mean?
 - There are some cases where you have a complex defect of all tissues. There are various layers of tissues. In a hernia, you mainly have a hole and you have some stable surrounded tissue there and, therefore, the principle for the treatment of a hernia is to cover the hole with a wide overlap.

If you don't have this strong tissue that can cover the mesh, then it is necessary to have a more stable mesh with a restricted stretchability, otherwise you have a bulging here. And I think still the best

Page 404

- acceptable. Acceptable in a legal way, yes,
- 2 so all of these mesh materials that are
- 3 permitted to be used in surgery can be used

without any legal consequences.

If you're thinking of the possible risks for your patients, then it has to be seen in relation to the patient and the specific conditions and the specific hernia type whether you use a Marlex mesh, yes or not. There is no principle answer to this question.

- O. Based on your training, education and experience in mesh research and your experience as a hernia surgeon, would you ever use Marlex mesh in any of your patients?
- A. As I told you, it is -- the basic question wouldn't be whether to use specifically Marlex or -- yeah, but the question would be whether to take a heavy-weight, stable, small pore, whatever you want to -- whatever you prefer to name these type of meshes. Whether you want to take these more stable meshes or whether you

Page 403

indication for using these meshes are when you made a resection of the thoracic wall here in this field and when you want to make a repair in this area.

If you remove the ribs, then you need some very strong material without any significant flexibility.

Q. So would it be appropriate for a hernia surgeon in certain indications to use 5-mil hernia mesh for the repair of a hernia defect?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Asked and answered.

Answer it again.

THE WITNESS: There will be some specific indications where you have -- where the surgeon can have some good arguments to use this material, yeah.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Is Marlex mesh still an acceptable option for a hernia surgeon to use in the treatment of hernia patients?
 - You have to define what is A.

Page 405

- can reduce the amount of material to come to
- a satisfying result for the patient. That is
- the decision you have to do, and I can
- imagine that there are some conditions where
- Marlex is an appropriate -- Marlex or
- Prolene® or something like this is an
- 7 appropriate selection.
 - You've described one circumstance where you thought it might be appropriate to use a 5-mil Prolene® mesh for a repair.

Are there others that you can think of?

- A. Maybe replacement in the brain, but I -- I don't -- or I do not have any specific condition in the moment where I think that it is necessary to use a mesh like Prolene® or Marlex.
- Over this litigation, I've heard a number of different estimates of the hernia surgeries conducted around the world in a year.

What is your current best judgment about how many hernia surgeries are

Page 406 Page 408 conducted around the world each year? Using **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 2 2 mesh, I am sorry. Do you include any other mesh, 3 3 specific mesh brand names that are currently A. I never counted it, but I've used when you describe the Prolene® 5-mil and read this publication from Sanders and 5 the Marlex as a heavy-weight, small pore Kingsnorth. They estimate the use of meshes with about 20 million per year. I know that mesh, any other meshes on the market? 7 there are in the US about 1 million hernia There are other manufacturers 8 operations a year. In Germany, it's about as Covidien and Brown. In Germany, there 9 9 300,000 hernia operations in the year. I are, yeah, other manufacturers as well. So 10 10 guess India and China will contribute there are lots of -- finally Coda comes up 11 significantly, however, I don't know the 11 with a list of 200 different mesh materials. 12 12 figures. MR. ANDERSON: I'm not sure he 13 Do you have any information 13 understood your question. He was Q. 14 14 that allows you to estimate of the 300,000 asking about how many other 15 15 hernia surgeries in Germany using mesh, how manufacturers make heavy-weight, small 16 16 many use Prolene® 5-mil mesh? pore meshes. 17 17 For the treatment of groin Did you understand that? 18 hernia, I don't know any. For the treatment 18 THE WITNESS: Well, there are 19 19 of incisional hernia, there will be some few other -- yeah, there are some others 20 20 that are still using heavy-weight meshes. from Brown, others from Covidien, 21 21 And of the 300,000 hernias in others from AraVista. 22 22 Germany, do you have a breakdown into groin **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 23 23 and incisional hernias? Within your category that 24 Incisional hernia it's about you've described as heavy-weight, small pore A. Page 407 Page 409 30,000 to 50,000. And there is a -- maybe mesh, including as you describe it Marlex, 2 about 50, 60,000 infant hernias that are the Prolene® 5-mil, do you know what 3 treated without any mesh. percentage of the incisional hernia repairs 4 use the heavy-weight, small pore meshes? O. Of the 30 to 50,000 incisional 5 hernias, which is I think the only category 5 A. I don't have exact data. So 6 far I have heard that market share of where you said it would be appropriate to use 6 7 ULTRAPROTM was about 70 percent in Germany. Prolene® 5-mil hernia mesh, what percentage 8 8 of those incisional hernias would be treated O. Does that mean --9 with 5-mil hernia mesh? So that will be the most 10 10 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to easiest way to figure out what is the 11 11 relationship between Prolene® and ULTRAPROTM form. Misstates -- mischaracterizes 12 testimony. 12 in Germany. 13 13 Q. Is it just as simple to say Go ahead. 14 14 that the remaining 30 percent is a THE WITNESS: I do not have 15 15 the -- I do not know the market heavy-weight, small pore mesh? 16 16 shares. I know that the predominantly A. No, all of these other 17 17 used mesh in Germany is the ULTRAPROTM. manufacturers have light-weight, there's tie 18 It is a large pore, small pore meshes mesh, light-weight, material reduced. 19 19 in the groin and for incisional There's something midway. Again, we're 20 20 hernia, and I cannot remember in the coming into this confusion about the weight 21 past years anyone reporting about his 21 material. 22 22 experience with a heavy-weight, small Ο. 23 23 pores, either Marlex or Prolene®. The conclusion that everything 24 else is heavy-weight is not true.

Page 410 Page 412 1 Okay. That helps me. 1 Is the same thing true for each Q. 2 But what I'm trying to figure of these categories that you have in heading 3 out, and maybe you don't know the answer to G on page 43 of Exhibit 11, do you have any this, do you have any information that leads clinical data to link what you understand to 5 you to be able to estimate the percentage of be these conditions being fraying, particle 6 heavy-weight, small pore meshes used for the loss, machine-cut mesh, laser-cut mesh, 7 treatment of incisional hernias? curling and roping, to any clinically 8 8 Apart from this estimate, no. significant conditions? 9 Okay. This estimate, I don't 9 Q. A. No, unfortunately, I did not 10 10 think you gave me an estimate. find any study dealing with these problems. 11 Estimate is 70 percent market 11 Doctor, the next several pages share of the ULTRAPROTM. 12 12 in the description of the fraying, particle 13 Okay. loss section beginning on 43 of Exhibit 11, Q. 14 14 A. So at least it should be have you ever read any study that discusses 15 15 risks associated with particle loss in vivo 70 percent. 16 16 from Ethicon mesh used for the treatment of Q. Okay. Doctor, on page 43 of 17 your report, which is Exhibit 11, you begin 17 stress urinary incontinence? 18 your discussion of fraying/particle 18 I don't know any study that is 19 loss/MCM/LCM/curling/roping. 19 testing the impact of this particle loss in 20 Do you have that? 20 an in vivo system. 21 21 A. I have it, yes, I see it. You were a hernia surgeon for Q. 22 22 In 2012 when your deposition how long? 23 23 was taken, I believe your testimony at that A. I have been surgeon starting in 24 time was that you didn't have any information 1985, and I'm still surgeon. I have been Page 411 Page 413 that fraying, particle loss from mesh insofar 1 operating hernias from 1985 to 2006. 2 2 as it related to pelvic organ prolapse Q. Okay. 3 created any injury of clinical significance. 3 A. I'm not a hernia surgeon 4 MR. ANDERSON: Is that a 4 because in Germany you don't have hernia 5 question? 5 surgeons. 6 MR. THOMAS: Yes. 6 Q. I see. 7 7 Have you had any surgery --MR. ANDERSON: It doesn't seem have you done any surgeries since 8 like one. I'll object to 9 mischaracterizing testimony. 9 December 2006? 10 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 10 A. No. Not in humans. 11 11 Q. Let me start over again. Do you still have your license Q. 12 As you sit here today, Doctor, 12 to practice surgery if you like? 13 are you aware of any literature that supports 13 A. Yes. 14 the contention that any fraying of TVT® mesh 14 O. When you used mesh for the leads to clinically significant results in treatment of hernias, did you on occasion 15 16 patients who receive the mesh for the have to cut the mesh? 16 17 17 treatment of stress urinary incontinence? A. Usually you have to trim it, 18 A. There is good evidence that 18 yes. 19 fraying, increase of surface induces an 19 And how do you trim it? Q. 20 20 inferior tissue response, but I don't know Outside of the OR field with 21 any clinical study testing the relationship 21 specific other gloves to reduce the risk for 22 between particle loss and the clinical 22 contamination there, then you get some 23 outcome, and I cannot imagine that it can be 23 sterile scissors and you're cutting out of

done in a clinical study.

24

the OR because when you're trimming a mesh,

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- always you have some sort of particle loss, 2
- always. There are some structures that
- 3 create more. It depends on the bindings, it
- depends on the coarse of the filaments. So
- 5 we know that there is some particle loss when
- 6 you trim this mesh and, therefore, we did it
- outside and then we took the trimmed mesh and
- 8 took these mesh and placed it in the groin or
- 9 in the abdominal wall. So we try to avoid to
- 10 trim it when it's already placed in the
- 11 tissues.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O. And is it fair to understand that for the approximately 20 million hernia surgeries conducted a year using mesh that you would expect those hernia surgeries to involve the trimming of the mesh in some way?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you expect based on your training, education and experience to the extent there was a clinical problem associated with particles being shed by mesh in vivo during the surgery that it would be reported in the literature now?
 - A. No. No. I don't think that

Page 416

- risks. In hernia surgery if you place a mesh
- 20 to 30 centimeters in a flat area and you
- made this trimming of some corners there in
- relation to the abdominal, surgical trauma,
- in relation to the mesh area, it is a
- considerably small area where there may be some effect.

We never selected a mesh material by thinking or asking for the amount of particle loss or, yeah, selected the mesh material with the least amount of particle loss. In hernia surgery, it was not an issue and I don't know anyone who is doing so.

- Prior to this litigation, in the 20 years of experience that you had in mesh research, did you ever identify a potential risk of injury to a patient associated with particles that are lost from a mesh during hernia implantation?
- Only in the sense increased surface generally increases the risks but not specifically that we had some patient with a specific complication that can be related to particle loss, no.

Page 415

- the -- that it has -- that there has -- would
- 2 have to -- or that there should be a report
- 3 about this and I don't think that the absence
- 4 of such a report indicates that it's not a 5
 - problem.
 - In the 20 years of mesh research that you've conducted, have you ever studied the clinical effects of particle loss from mesh?
 - We only studied in these years the impact of surface to bacteria adherence, to tissue response, cellular response. So increased surface means enhancement of this reaction. We never made a specific investigation whether reduction of particle loss by 10 percent leads to a change of this. We never did it.
 - Did you ever consider analyzing the extent that particles shed by hernia mesh create any risk of injury in patients?
 - As I told you, we are convinced or we know that increase of surface will mean increase of tissue response and that means finally increase of scar and increase of the

Page 417 MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, I have

to take a quick break again.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

(Off the record at 10:21 a.m.)

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Doctor, as a part of your opinions in this case, have you analyzed the extent to which you think that the Ethicon mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence sheds particles in vivo?
- Can you please repeat the first A. word?
- Q. As a part of your opinions in this case --
 - A. Yeah.
- -- have you analyzed the extent to which the Ethicon mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence sheds particles in vivo?
- A. Sorry, whether we analyze it or whether --
 - Q. Yes.
- We did made a systemic analysis, but I saw in one of the specimen

that I was sent, that I got of the explants
 there are at least one area where you can be
 sure that this is a particle that has been
 there since the implantation.

There are a lot of other particles there, but you cannot be sure whether it's by dissecting for the histological preparation, but at least there is one and I made an image where this one particle can be seen there.

Q. We'll talk about that a lot later.

My question is -- I think you've already answered.

You've not made any systemic analysis to measure the extent to which the Ethicon mesh used in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence sheds particles in vivo; is that fair?

- A. No quantitative analysis.
- Q. Have you ever -- strike that.

Did you compare the extent to which Ethicon mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence compares to

Page 420

- A. What I expect is that you
 cannot divide some particle loss when you're
 cutting a textile due to the way it is
 manufactured. Therefore, I expect that you
 will have some particle loss in both areas.
 The consequences and quantity -- and quantity
 may be different.
 - Q. Do you have an opinion as to in which area the particle loss is greater, whether it be hernia repair or stress urinary incontinence?
 - A. I think that -- or the particle loss depends on the textile structure of a specific device, whether there are some loose ends that can be released from the material, it depends from the lengths of the cutting, not from the amount of mesh material, but from the lengths of the cutting, the more trimming, the more particle loss you will have. The biological consequences, they have to be defined in relationship to the surgical trauma around.
 - Q. And my question is: Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of

Page 419

hernia mesh to determine the extent to which

- one sheds particles in vivo compared to the other?
- A. Compared to hernia mesh?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. I didn't get it.
- Q. Have you made any kind of analysis to understand whether more particles are shed when you trim hernia mesh and implant it for hernia repair as compared to the placement of Ethicon mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- A. First of all, it is similar mesh. It is mainly similar textile structures so when you cut these mesh structures, I wouldn't expect that there is any difference. The extent of trimming during the gynecological or urological operation, I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Would you expect any particle loss between the placement of mesh in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence to be similar to the placement of mesh for the treatment of hernias?

Page 421
scientific or medical certainty that the
hernia procedure has some degree of particle
loss different from what you would expect
from placement of mesh for the treatment of
stress urinary incontinence?

- A. My opinion is from what I've seen from all of the documents that the surgical trauma in hernia repair is much bigger than the application of a sling.
- Q. And how does that inform your opinions about the amount of particle loss in either procedure?
- A. As I told you, the amount of particle loss depends on the -- can vary between the different devices. It depends from the lengths of the trimming away.
- Q. Okay. Doctor, do you know the extent to which a surgeon who implants Ethicon mesh, the TVT® classic, for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence trims the mesh?
- A. I'm not an expert of how to handle this during the OR, but at least he cuts it.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 422 And where does he cut it?

A. He cuts it to remove the

3 needles beneath the skin level.

Does he cut it

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

O.

intra-abdominally or outside?

He tear it and cutted it and then it slipped back, but you have to

consider that you have a cutting of the mesh

9 during the manufacturing process and,

10 therefore, usually you have these particles

11 there. We all know that there are some

12 during the transport, during the preparation.

13 There are some additional particles that is

14 not necessary that they are released during

15 the trimming process, but particle loss is a 16

concern for textiles in general.

For all meshes? O.

Overall. The amount will differ independent of the type of linkings and connections between the filaments.

When a surgeon implants Ethicon TVT® mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, the only cutting of the mesh occurs after the mesh is placed, the needles

Page 424 tissues because we know that one place in the

2 tissue it is -- it is more difficult to

remove them again. Therefore, we had the

similar discussions about fixation of meshes 5 in hernia surgery.

6 Q. So when the surgeon places the mesh underneath the urethra for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, it's the

tissue of the patient filling the pores that 10 keeps the mesh in place?

> A. That is my belief, yeah.

Q. Now, do you have any understanding about how the mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence is placed?

A. I've seen a video.

O. Okay. And --

A. Or several videos I would say.

Are these -- were you provided O. videos or did you access them on YouTube or where did you see these videos?

Several times on the conferences, videos have been presented there how to do it and there I had the opportunity

Page 423

are pulled through the skin and then the mesh 2

is cut on the outside of the body; is that

3 correct?

> A. The trimming, yeah, outside.

Q. Okay. When the mesh is placed -- strike that.

When a surgeon places Ethicon mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, after the mesh is cut as you've just described, is the mesh secured to the tissue with anchors in any way, staples or sutures or anything of that kind?

A. No. No.

O. Doctor, when a surgeon places Ethicon TVT® mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, what holds the mesh in place?

17 18 A. The mesh is kept in place 19 because the surrounding tissue is filling or 20 is -- yeah, is filling the pores of the mesh. 21 So if you are using a sheet without any 22 pores, it will be more easy to remove this. 23 If you have a -- and this is a reason that we 24 use textiles for the reenforcement of the

Page 425 to see this and I got some for this

litigation.

Q. From Mr. Anderson?

A. Yes.

Have you seen videotapes Q. showing how surgeons are instructed to use Ethicon TVT® classic mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

> A. Yes.

What is your understanding about how a surgeon is to place the Ethicon mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, where and how?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Outside the scope of the opinions being offered in this case.

THE WITNESS: I've not the knowledge to discuss any or to give comments to any details of this procedure.

OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Do you know how -- strike that. Do you know the mechanism by which the Ethicon mesh treats stress urinary

Page 426 Page 428 1 incontinence? 1 work to identify the anatomy or the 2 2 structures in the pelvic area. Do you know how it works? 3 3 We worked a lot of it, but I'm A. I know a lot of ideas that have been developed to get an understanding why not prepared to give you a specific 5 this -- why this works and why this doesn't 5 analysis of it. 6 work sometimes. So a lot of ideas to **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 6 7 understand this, but I don't know one way how Q. Can you tell me anything about 8 8 what the mesh does to treat stress urinary it works. 9 9 O. What's your best understanding incontinence? 10 10 as you sit here today about how Ethicon mesh MR. ANDERSON: Same objections. 11 used for the treatment of stress urinary 11 THE WITNESS: Roughly we assume 12 12 incontinence works? that with the providence of a 13 MR. ANDERSON: Same objection. 13 nonabsorbable permanent textile 14 14 THE WITNESS: So far I structure you have a reenforcement of 15 15 understood that the sling provides a these tissues around the midurethra. 16 16 relaxation of this area at certain and this is a some sort of 17 17 counterforce when the pelvis is going strain of the patient so that you have 18 this tendency to -- that you have 18 down. So, therefore, this is 19 19 these changes in the function of the compensating these forces and thereby 20 bladder and the sphincters and if you 20 it improves the situation. 21 21 can provide a resistance there by this **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 22 22 textile and the -- and the scarring Do you know mechanistically how 23 23 process around this textile. mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary 24 incontinence improves the situation as you've Page 427 Page 429 1 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 1 described it? 2 2 What role do you understand As I told you, there are a lot 3 mesh has on the sphincter? of discussions how it definitely works and I 4 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. just reflect that there are controversials 5 Outside the scope of his opinions. about the definite mechanism, and I cannot 6 Go ahead. provide you the one mechanistic solution. 7 7 THE WITNESS: I know that there Q. Do you have any understanding about whether mesh used for the treatment of 8 are several other experts saying that 9 stress urinary incontinence provides support it is not a -- that it shouldn't 10 impact the sphincter at all, but 10 to the urethra? 11 11 should be in the midurethral area, but MR. ANDERSON: Same objections. 12 it is a huge field and it is not my 12 Go ahead. 13 topic to --13 THE WITNESS: Of course, it 14 14 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** supports the tissue area there. It 15 15 shouldn't be close to the urethra, O. That's fine. 16 16 but, of course, it supports this --Do you have any information 17 about how the mesh relates to the bladder for 17 the urethra and this tissue as well. 18 the control of stress urinary incontinence? 18 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 19 19 MR. ANDERSON: Same objection. O. You said it shouldn't be close 20 20 THE WITNESS: Yeah, in general, to the urethra. 21 I have an impression where the sling 21 How close should it be at the 22 22 is, that it's not directly interfering most? 23 23 with the wall of the bladder, but, MR. ANDERSON: Same objections. 24 24 again, this is not the center of my THE WITNESS: If it's very

Page 430 Page 432 1 close, there's a high risk for there has to be a distance. So I'm 2 2 erosion. not able to recall and to replay the 3 3 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: video and I didn't never tried to do 4 Q. Okay. So based on your 5 training and education and experience, how 5 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 6 far away should a surgeon place the mesh in 6 Do you have any understanding 7 about whether the mesh -- strike that. order to protect against erosion? 8 8 Do you have any understanding MR. ANDERSON: Same objection. 9 about whether the Ethicon TVT® mesh used for His experience -- training, education 10 10 and experience, as he has told you, the treatment of stress urinary incontinence 11 has nothing to do with the treatment 11 is designed to provide support for the 12 12 urethra? of SUI. 13 THE WITNESS: I have no 13 MR. ANDERSON: Same objections. 14 14 experience to give you some comment on He's not being offered as a 15 15 this. I know it is a problem for the urogynecologist or a urologist. 16 16 surgeons doing this procedure. Answer his question, if you 17 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 17 can. 18 Q. What's a problem? 18 THE WITNESS: I only have a 19 19 MR. ANDERSON: Same objections. very limited -- no, I -- if you 20 20 address that problem whether it's THE WITNESS: That in some 21 designed for the use as a sling, I 21 patients you have a damage of the 22 22 urethra later on. cannot remember very good -- no, I 23 23 cannot remember in the documents that **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 24 24 Do you know in what percentage there was a specific design for this O. Page 431 Page 433 of patients that happens in the placement of 1 purpose that is used for the 2 2 mesh for stress urinary incontinence? reenforcement of this area. As I told 3 MR. ANDERSON: Same objections. 3 you, yes, there is a risk of the 4 4 THE WITNESS: No, not -- I damage of the urethra, yes, by the 5 don't recall. I've read it, of 5 surgeon immediately, that is one sort 6 course, but I don't recall in the 6 of damage. 7 7 The other is after two or three moment. 8 8 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: years you may have this damage and 9 Do you know from your research 9 this is a problem with the material. in this case where relative to the urethra 10 10 So these are -- has to be separated in 11 11 the surgeon is instructed to place the mesh? this discussion that the mesh material 12 MR. ANDERSON: Same objections. 12 is specifically designed for this 13 THE WITNESS: I know this from 13 purpose. I don't get any data that 14 14 the video, what is said there, but confirms this. 15 15 I've not the expertise to do this **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 16 16 procedure or to give a comment on O. Doctor, let's go to page 28 of 17 17 this. Exhibit 11. 18 18 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** Page 28 of Exhibit 11 deals 19 What do you recall from the 19 with that portion of your opinion that 20 20 video about the placement of the mesh addresses mesh contraction. 21 relative to the urethra? 21 On page 29, you have a Figure 7 22 MR. ANDERSON: Same objections. 22 which is a photograph of a mesh explant. 23 23 THE WITNESS: That it should be Is that a hernia mesh explant? 24 24 placed right and left to this and It is a mesh that we used in A.

	TIOI. DI. MC	. .				
	Page 434		Page 436			
1	hernia.	1	from this image.			
2	Q. What kind of mesh is that?	2	Q. Okay. Why?			
3	A. I have to recall. It's either	3	A. The placement of these mesh			
4	Prolene® or it's Marlex. I guess it's	4	particles, it depends from how it what			
5	Marlex. I know it's written in the document,	5	happens during the OR, how it's done, how			
6	but I don't recall it.	6	it's taken, how it was handled. There's no			
7	Q. Okay. On page 30, Figure 8,	7	protocol how to handle all of these mesh			
8	again, Figure 8 is a hernia mesh?	8	materials there to remove this so, therefore,			
9	A. Yes.	9	every further finding when you try to measure			
10	Q. And do you know what kind of	10	something here, it will be very hard to			
11	hernia mesh that is?	11	impossible to get a good interpretation of			
12	A. It is a composite of	12	this.			
13	polypropylene and the ePTFE.	13	Q. It's fair to understand that			
14	Q. And who makes that mesh? Is it	14	after a mesh is explanted, a person needs to			
15	called a Kugel mesh?	15	know how the mesh is handled at every step			
16	A. Kugel mesh.	16	before your analysis so that you can			
17	Q. And that's a BARD product?	17	understand the extent to which the explant			
18	A. I think so, yeah.	18	may have been altered, fair?			
19	Q. And Figure 9 A, that's an	19	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.			
20	explanted Prolift® mesh that apparently	20	Go ahead.			
21	you've taken from the International	21	THE WITNESS: It depends from			
22	•	22				
23	Urogynecological Journal; is that correct? A. Yes.	23	the question you further on have.			
24		24	If you just want to know if			
24	Q. And Prolift® mesh is a	24	there are some specific cells at the			
	Page 435		Page 437			
1	different kind of mesh than what is used in	1	interface, it is not important to know			
2	the treatment of stress urinary incontinence,	2	where it's explanted or so.			
3	isn't it?	3	So it very it depends from			
4	A. Yes.	4	where you're looking at whether this			
5	Q. It's called Prolene® Soft?	5	is affected by the handling of the			
6	A. Yes.	6	surgeon.			
7	Q. And page 31, Figure 9 B is a	7	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:			
8	photograph of what's described in footnote	8	Q. Was it important to you in your			
9	121 as the Carolyn Lewis explant photos.	9	work in this case that the mesh that was			
10	Is that correct?	10	provided to you for analysis had been cut			
11	A. Yes.	11	prior to being sent to you?			
12	Q. Did you ever observe other than	12	A. Cut in sections, in			
13	by photographs the actual explant of Carolyn	13	histological sections?			
14	Lewis?	14	Q. Yes.			
15	A. No.	15				
16		16	1			
17	Q. So do you have other	17	only the histological cut that gives some			
18	photographs of the mesh explant in addition	18	limitations to the analysis, of course. So			
19	to the one that's in 9 B?	19	you are restricted to what you see there.			
20	A. I do not recall.	20	Q. Other than the image that's on			
	Q. Did you make any effort to use		page 31 of your report and the histological			
21	the photograph in paragraph 9 B to analyze	21	cuts that you've just described, were you			
22	the condition of the mesh?	22	provided any other information related to the			
23	A. No. And I'm convinced it is	23	explant of Mrs. Lewis?			
24	not possible to make any further analysis	24	A. I've seen the report during the			
GO.	lkow Technologies, Inc.		Page 25			
	Fage 25					

Page 438 operation by the surgeon.

Q. Okay.

A. And the pathology statement from the hospital. So some medical records.

Q. Other than the operating room report and the pathology report from the hospital after the explant, do you recall receiving any other information about Carolyn Lewis?

A. Yeah, I recall 10, 11 files with medical records to various extents and thousands of pages with lab results and post-analysis and --

Q. Okay. Did you understand that you received, to the extent that it was available, her medical history?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. So is it fair to conclude, Doctor, that you didn't analyze the mesh that's on -- in the photograph on Figure 9 B on page 31 of your report to determine the extent to which the mesh contracted?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Page 440

therefore, yeah, you have a high risk for
 shrinkage and contraction. We know -- to say
 it already here, we know that there are some
 patients where it's less and there are other

But what we have learned in these 20 years is that Prolene®, with its structure, with its weight, with its amount of material, it's a high risk for contraction.

patients where it's more pronounced.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. In your 20 years of research, have you specifically studied the extent to which Ethicon Prolene® mesh used in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence contracts in vivo in the stress urinary incontinence application specifically?

A. We did not make our preclinical experimental studies to this topic, but I know that my clinical colleagues made some ultrasound investigation looking into the slings that Dr. Tunn in Berlin has done it, that there is -- there are some references showing that you can -- that you can analyze

Page 439

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I didn't analyze it on the basis of this microscopical image.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty that Ethicon TVT® mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence contracts after implantation?

A. To make it clear in advance, I know that polymer itself does not contract and polypropylene does not contract itself. It is contraction of the wound area. It's a contraction of the collagen. It is a change of the tissue there. To be clear in this field, otherwise everyone can say a plastic sheet doesn't contract.

So in this regard that the scar shows some contraction and with this scar contracts the mesh, yes, it depends. In principle, the extent of contraction is related to the extent of scar formation and Prolene® induces a lot of scar formation and,

the degree of contraction in patients as well and that you find there some narrowing of the

width of the sling.
 O Have you

Q. Have your clinical colleagues published any study describing their experience of contraction?

A. There has been a presentation, I think, at an international Congress and at the -- at a German conference where they presented their results.

Q. Do you know if the results that your clinical colleagues found have been published anywhere outside of this presentation?

A. At least the European or the international abstract has been published in the supplements there.

Q. Who are your colleagues so if I wanted to find that abstract I could find it?

A. Professor Kirschner-Hermanns, was a coauthor, Dr. Najjari, she made the study.

Q. Do you cite that abstract in your report?

Page 441

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 You know, I don't need to know. You mentioned some work by 2 3 Dr. Tunn in this area.

Dr. Tunn, yeah. We call it -he has published studies using ultrasound 5 looking what happens to meshes there, and I 6 7 think it was -- it were one of the first 8 articles published showing that there is this 9 change of the mesh structure which was quite 10 common in hernia surgery, we know it ten 11 years longer, but for the urogynecologists, 12 it was a new message at that time, I believe.

Q. Now, was his study published in a journal?

13

14

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 15 A. It was published in a journal. 16 I don't recall precisely whether he was 17 focused on meshes, the flat meshes, the 18 Prolift® things, or whether he really looked 19 to the slings or whether he combined it. I 20 don't recall the details any longer, but he 21 showed very clearly that using a textile in 22 the pelvic floor as well you have these 23 changes what we have seen in hernia surgery 24 years ago.
 - Page 443 Do you recall the extent to which Dr. Tunn found contraction in the study that he conducted?
- 4 Significantly. 50 percent or A. 5 more.
 - Q. And when you say "50 percent or more," does that mean that the tissues surrounding the mesh basically shrinks in half?
- That is a good point because there is a mixup of all of these things. 11 12 Whether it's a reduction of the area of 13 50 percent, then you have a smaller reduction in the lengths and in the sides. So 14 sometimes they -- in the literature, it's --16 they mention the reduction of the lengths, not of the area.

So it is very often not clear about it, but at least in our clinical study, they measured the widths of the sling so it is clear it is in one dimension.

Okay. Now, on page 33 of your report, in the middle of the page it says, "It also is my opinion to a reasonable degree Page 444

- of medical and scientific certainty that the Prolene® mesh in Ethicon's TVT® products
- contracts or shrinks 30 to 50 percent after

implantation.

Is that correct? Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Does that mean that every mesh O. implanted in a woman for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence is going to shrink at least 30 percent?

No, that is -- that is not -that is not correct. I wouldn't expect this. We know that from all of these preclinical and clinical studies that has been done to address the issue of shrinkage, it, of course, is influenced by the textile structure, but it is influenced by the surgical trauma as well, which leads to scar, which leads to a contraction of this area.

So even the best mesh which probably does not induce any inflammation in this field will be in an area of scar that shows a contraction of about 15, 20 percent,

Page 445

- if you have extended scar tissue. If you have a laparoscopic procedure where the
 - surgical trauma is minimized, this can be
 - less than 20 percent. When you have an open
 - surgical trauma there, it should be in around
 - 20 percent. I would expect that this is a
 - range that will be very hard to come below 8 this range.
 - Q. For any --
 - A. Everything -- yeah, it is a consequence of the surgery and of scar. If you create some scar, you have it. If you produce a lot of scar, this shrinkage rate can go up to 80 or 90 percent.
 - And when you use figures in your report of 30 to 50 percent or use numbers like you just used a moment ago of 80 to 90 percent shrinkage, what does that mean?

MR. ANDERSON: Other than what he's already told you?

MR. THOMAS: Well, he told me there's a confusion in the literature about how it was measured and I want to know what he means.

MR. ANDERSON: Well, he told you more than that, but go ahead.

THE WITNESS: So we started when we first made revision operations and looked to all of these old meshes. We took a lot of photographs where we took the images of the mesh when it was implanted, we got a size of it and then later on at the revision you see that only a small -- a much smaller mesh because of the contraction. And that was the extent of shrinkage at that time.

Amid at the Suvretta meetings, he reported of a shrinkage rate of 80 to 90 percent for the plaques which are very big amount of material in a small place so this is the upper limit 80 to 90 percent of this. When we made our own experiments where we tried to figure out and we were still busy to work on it to objectify the extent of the shrinkage under various conditions.

understand that based on your training,

Page 448

education and experience that the use of mesh
 in any application will induce a shrinkage or
 contracture of 20 percent?

A. Not in the meaning that the mesh makes a shrinkage of 20 percent. It depends from the type of mesh. There are some meshes which usually lead to shrinkage that is 30 to 50 to 60 to 80 to 90 percent so.

Q. I get that.

My question is: Is the best that you can do when you use mesh in the human body is to have a shrinkage or contracture rate of 20 percent?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Asked and answered.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: As I told you, it depends. It's influenced by the surgical trauma as well. If you have a very, very small surgical trauma and very little scar formation, there may be. I can't imagine that you can go

Page 447

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Are you currently involved in a study analyzing the extent to which the tissue around mesh shrinks or contracts?
- A. Yeah. We have a study in the groin to look what happens to the mesh material after one year and specifically with the focus on shrinkage.
- Q. Okay. And we talked about that yesterday?
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. Have you ever conducted a study to determine the extent to which the tissues surrounding mesh after implantation for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence contracts?
- A. We did a lot of these studies with Prolene®, with Marlex, which is the mesh that is used for the treatment of.
 - Q. I'm talking -- I am sorry.
- A. But we didn't make specific analysis which reflects the treatment with a sling in the pelvic.
 - Q. Okay. So is it fair to

Page 449

below this range.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. "This range" being what?
- A. Of 20 percent but, yeah.
- Q. Okay. In how many patients who receive Ethicon TVT® products do you expect to see a shrinkage rate of 30 percent?
- A. You cannot answer. It depends from the time period. It depends from the conditions of the OR. It depends whether there is a contamination with bacteria. It depends from the degree of the inflammatory process. So hopefully the number is quite low, but even if it's low, if it's not necessary, it should be avoided.
- Q. In how many patients who receive Ethicon mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence would you expect to see a shrinkage or contracture of 50 percent after implantation?
 - A. I can't give you a figure.
- Q. Is that a common finding, a rare finding? Do you have any kind of range at all to attach to that number?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection as to form.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Again, it depends from the subgroup which you analyze and the time period. If you're looking after two months, then you will not expect a significant shrinkage due to wound contraction and therefore, the function may be very well.

If you're going to -- if you're looking at two years, three years and you have a patient with increased problems in this area due to the scarring process, then the likelihood of finding a shrinkage is -- well considerably higher.

If you look to all of the patients that you are -- you have to think about in the moment. In this subgroup, I expect that the rate of the significant shrinkage is much higher than in those who doesn't have

Page 452

THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't depend on it. It is influenced on. So you can create some pain just by surgery. You don't need a mesh to create some pain. But if you have an excellent surgery, excellent patient and then you get a pain, then maybe it can be a problem of the mesh.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Well, my point is you discuss with the patient who is considering whether to have mesh implanted for hernia the fact that this mesh will contract and it may cause complications?
- A. Yes, but we are able to tell them that we are using mesh material where this risks has been minimized.
- Q. Okay. And to the extent that you're using a heavy-weight, small pore mesh for those repairs where still appropriate, you would have the same conversation, wouldn't you?
- A. Similar conversation, but another list of risks and benefits.

Page 451

any problems.OUESTIONS BY

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Doctor, is it fair to understand that mesh shrinkage or contracture does not always lead to patient complications?
- A. I would expect that there isn't a hundred percent correlation between shrinkage and complaints. However, what we have learned in all our work is shrinkage is another description of reality. It's an explanation of complaints in many patients.
- Q. And when you performed hernia surgery, you understood that your mesh would shrink or contract, fair?
- A. I expected a shrinkage of this area to some degree in every patient, yes.
- Q. And the extent to which that shrinkage or contracture caused any complication in the patient depends on the surgeon's skill and the specific comorbidities of the plaintiff -- excuse me, of the patient; is that fair?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Q. What are the complications that you associate with shrinkage?

A. Shrinkage?

It is a considerably stiffening of the implant so that migration, erosion is related to this. It is an expression of that you have an intense scar formation there so the likelihood that you will get a very stiff material that is not any longer very close to the physiological requirement or physiological characteristics, properties of the surrounding tissue, it became a very stiff thing and, therefore, it causes complaints and pain just by restricting the mobility of the tissue. It expresses huge intensity of scar formation in this area so there is a high risk of getting entrapped nerves in this scar formation. It reduces the area of the mesh material. In the field of hernia surgery, you expect that the overlap is decreased and, therefore, the increase for recurrence is higher.

If you have a significant shrinkage for meshes, slings that have to

Page 453

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- withstand some forces, then you have a higher
- ² pressure to the cells because the contact
- 3 area is reduced. Shrinkage means that you
- have an accumulation of material at a
- ⁵ specific area so even the large pore

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- constructions will change and switch to small
 pore constructions. This may be some.
 - Q. Do you have any idea of the rate of complications that are reported due to contracture or shrinkage in the placement of mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
 - A. We had some figures where we can -- where we can estimate the increase of risk for pain for these heavy-weight, small pore meshes as Marlex, as Prolene®, which were used for the treatment of incontinence.
 - Q. Marlex is not used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, is it?
 - A. As these meshes that are used, Marlex, no, it's not used. But these are -these are the group of meshes and Prolene® is one of the meshes that is used.

Page 455

I'm not sure whether you are sticking on the specific situation in the pelvic floor or whether you want to focus on Prolene®. Prolene® is a hernia mesh that is used for this purpose and, therefore, I can say for the Prolene® mesh there is a significantly increased risk for pain. There are some data about it.

Q. I'm talking more specifically than pelvic floor. I would like to know for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence whether you have any idea of the rate of complications that are reported due to contracture or shrinkage in the placement of mesh for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Asked and answered.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Independent from the mesh material, if you wanted to know some figures of the patients treated for incontinence, whether they have some problems, I can't give you the figures.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Okay. Doctor, do you have an opinion about the extent to which mesh contracture or shrinkage in patients who are being treated for stress urinary incontinence impacts the cure for stress urinary incontinence?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: It depends from the subgroup you're analyzing. If you're analyzing the patients that complains afterwards, you will find a significant ratio of patient that suffered from shrinkage and, therefore, developed these complications.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. When you say "complaints," what kind of complaints are you talking about?
- A. Pain, dysfunction of the bladder.
 - Q. Now, just so --

Page 457

Page 456

- A. Erosions.
- Q. Just so we're clear, the treatment of stress urinary incontinence is designed to help a woman manage her bladder for lack of a better description, isn't that fair?
 - A. What?
 - Q. Strike that.

The treatment of stress urinary incontinence is designed to treat the involuntary discharge of urine?

- A. Yes.
- Q. With that goal of the treatment in mind, does mesh contracture or shrinkage have any impact on the ability of the mesh to treat that condition?
- A. Shrinkage, from my opinion, will be one reason or is a fact that reflects the extent of scar formation and this will be one reason for bad results of this procedure.
- Q. And when you say "bad results," in terms of the ultimate goal of treating the stress urinary incontinence, what would you expect?

Page 458 1 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to complex system, and if you have a very strict 2 scar there that may be too small, that this form. 3 impairs the dynamic of the pelvic floor THE WITNESS: I didn't -- I 4 significantly and, therefore, the function of didn't understand what comparison you 5 all of the organs that are in the pelvic want to have. 6 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 6 floor. 7 7 Q. Well, we understand the goal of MR. ANDERSON: It's my turn to 8 8 using mesh to treat stress urinary take a break. 9 incontinence is to manage the involuntary 9 MR. THOMAS: Sure. 10 discharge of urine, correct? 10 (Off the record at 11:23 a.m.) 11 A. Yes. 11 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 12 12 O. If you have mesh contracture or Doctor, during the development 13 shrinkage, how does that impact what the mesh 13 of VYPRO I, did you have any involvement in 14 does to treat the involuntary discharge of the biocapability analysis of VYPRO I? 15 15 urine? A. Yes. 16 16 A. If you have a significant Q. And were there tests conducted 17 shrinkage, a significant scar formation in 17 on VYPRO I for carcinogenicity, for example? 18 this area, then you can have pain, you can 18 If you think -- if you're have a increase -- or migration and erosion 19 thinking of some in vitro tests for -- I do 20 of the urethra. You can have erosion in the 20 not recall whether these tests have been done 21 21 vagina. in Aachen. 22 22 So all of these things can be If you're thinking of the 23 the consequence of scar formation and 23 general discussion about whether there is a

investigations.

2

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Okay. Did you make investigations -- strike that.

Do you recall conducting any in vitro testing for VYPRO I?

In vitro testing we did it for the attachment of bacteria. We did it for the -- for the -- we did it in a setting where we looked what happens to the fibroblasts when growing together with meshes in vitro. That has been our studies, yeah.

risk for cancer when using textiles, we made

Did you conduct any cytotoxicity testing for VYPRO I?

A. Not that I recall.

Do you recall learning that VYPRO I tested positive for cytotoxicity in vitro?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Based on his prior answer.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I recall that somewhere in the documents there has been some -- there has been done some in vitro cytotoxicity tests indicating

Page 459

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

shrinkage in this field.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Do you know whether mesh contracture or shrinkage impacts the ability of the patient receiving the mesh to control her urine?
 - A. I didn't -- please rephrase it.
- Do you know whether mesh O. contracture or shrinkage controls -- strike that.

Do you know whether mesh contracture or shrinkage impacts the ability of the patient receiving the mesh to control her urine?

- A. I expect that considerable shrinkage of the mesh can in some patients lead to the -- or to a recurrence of the incontinence.
- Okay. So you would expect the incontinence to return. Mechanistically, how does that happen?
- I have the impression that we're back some two hours ago. There is a complex interaction between the ligaments, the muscles of the pelvic floor. It's a very

Golkow Technologies, Inc.

Page 460

Page 461

8

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

23

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

- that polypropylene has some problems,
- but I do not recall any specific
 investigations to the VYPRO that is
- done in Aachen. I'm sure it will be
 done or it was done in Hamburg Ethicon
- because it's required before launching
 a product to the market.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24

- Q. And my question is simply this: Whether you did the testing or not, did you ever learn from any source that VYPRO I tested positive for cytotoxicity in vitro during the biocompatibility analysis?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. About an hour ago, maybe more, you mentioned a recent study in the last year involving PVDF mesh and I thought I understood you to say it was a comparative study.

Do you recall that testimony?

- A. I mentioned a study with PVDF?
- Q. And I want to say, my notes are very sketchy on it, I tried to write it down so I could remember, but I thought it was a

this study that is done by our gynecologist,

- Do Nation and a salar and a
- ² Dr. Najjari, who made ultrasound
- investigation comparing two different slings,
 one of polypropylene and one of PVDF, and
- they presented these results in this abstract
- that has been published in this supplement article.
 - Q. Other than that study that you just described, since your last deposition in October 2012, are you aware of any clinical studies that compare the use of PVDF to the use of polypropylene in any application to determine which is better?
 - A. No, I don't recall any clinical study.
- Q. Doctor, what have you done to analyze the forces that are placed upon mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
 - A. It started with our efforts to get a first impression about forces to the mesh materials in principle, how to define it, how to measure it, how to get a range, a figure out, and these efforts started in 1993

Page 463

comparative study involving PVDF meshes perhaps out of Berlin.

MR. ANDERSON: He's asking you if earlier in your testimony did you -- were you talking about some comparative study involving PVDF meshes out of Berlin.

THE WITNESS: In the pelvic floor?

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Anywhere. Pelvic floor, hernia, I'm not sure.
- A. Comparative in the meaning that you compared different materials and one of it is PVDF?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. No, I don't recall any clinical study.
- Q. Okay. Since your deposition last year, are you aware of any clinical studies that compare the risks and complications associated between PVDF and polypropylene?
 - A. Now I got it. You may refer to

Page 465

Page 464

with this question. And in 1994, we started to think about how to define the forces, the requirements to the textiles for the reenforcement in tissues.

So that was the -- that is the rough experience that we got during all these years that we got an impression of the range and what can be considered as over engineered and whatnot.

In 2005, '6, the upcoming question was what are the biomechanical properties to the pelvic floor and we tried to -- or we made -- we looked very careful to the literature, Cosson and all of these expressed what they are considering for the use in the pelvic floor and so we tried to combine all of this knowledge to get an impression.

- Q. Okay. So what specifically have you done to analyze the forces that are placed upon mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- A. As I tried to answer it before, we analyzed a lot of these data that have

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- been there. We analyzed very careful the
- 2 difficulties to find a good equipment, a good
- 3 setting, to come to a specific data there.
- And finally we got an impression about the
- 5 biomechanics, the differences of the
- biomechanics between the pelvic floor and the abdominal wall. We didn't do any specific
- 8 measurements as Cosson did, yeah.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- When you're talking about forces in the pelvic floor, are you talking about forces that occur in the pelvic floor in the management of pelvic organ prolapse?
- It is not limited to the pelvic -- it is not limited to the prolapse. It is -- when we have been studying the biomechanics of the pelvic floor, what happens there, the intention of this was to
- 18 define what may be the requirements of the
- 19 textile in regard to stability. That was the 20
- purpose for this. Not to simulate or to
- 21 reflect the situation there and, therefore, 22
- we focused mainly on some forces per 23
- centimeters and, yeah, we know that there 24
 - are -- or I know that there is a -- that it's

Page 468

forces, what is elasticity that can be done 2 there.

So a lot of various things to get a closer idea about the biomechanics of the pelvis.

- O. What specifically have you done to measure the forces that are applied to mesh that are used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- Α. We never made direct measurements of the forces.
- Have you reached any opinions about the nature and the extent of the force that's applied to the mesh used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- So in conclusion of all these -- our experiences and all of the literature there, it is -- it is -- I'm sure it is in a range that is far below the tensile strength that is required for the abdominal wall so it is less about 10 newton per centimeters. Yeah, less than 10 newton per centimeters I would expect.
 - And when you say 10 newtons per Q.

Page 467

very difficult to define really the

biomechanics in the pelvic floor. It is

impossible to get all aspects there. 4

So force is one aspect, but we focused on the force -- what is important for the characterization of the mesh material, of the textiles there.

- And what forces did you study to determine the requirements for textiles in the pelvic floor, what forces did you study?
- The forces -- the basis of our -- of my opinion about the -- it is based on the experience that tissue has some limited ability to withstand some forces so any repair has to consider that the surrounding tissue is limited in this field.

You have to consider some intraabdominal pressure, that you have to consider some flexibility of the anatomic structures. We have made some measurements tearing out looking at what is the resistance of tissues to extract meshes or sutures or anchors what are the forces there. We made some analysis of textile structures, what are

centimeter, what does that measure?

That means that's the force per centimeter of the textile. I know there's a mixing up, and I recall a very precise summary of this mixing up by Professor Williams. At the last deposition, he made an expert report where he summarized the mixing up of pressures force per centimeters and forces, per se. That cannot be interfered or that cannot be exchanged so this figure is limited to newton per centimeter, that means per centimeter of mesh in the width end or tissue.

- O. And when you speak about force, in what direction is it applied?
 - It's a uniaxial force. A.
- Q. And from what direction is it applied?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: It is a -- first of all, it is an abstract direction -yeah. No, it's theoretical assumption without having a specific direction.

Page 469

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

Page 470 When you estimate the tensile

strengths that is necessary to

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- ³ reinforce abdominal wall of the pelvic
- floor, there is no specific direction.
- If you made a measurement at the
- 6 textile, indeed you have to make
- separate analysis in meshing direction
- and perpendicular to the machine
- direction then you will get different
 results.

But to define the -- an estimate of the maximum of the requirement -- maximum or minimum requirements, then there is no direction.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Okay. You said a moment ago that the force was uniaxial.

What do you mean by that?

A. Uniaxial is the experimental setting that you fix the mesh or the sample -- tissue sample or mesh sample on one side and your tearing on the other and then you get a force. And if it's a stripe with

Page 472 under stress may help to improve

biocompatibility of textile implants."
 Has there been any further in

Has there been any further in vitro -- have there been any further in vivo studies to investigate whether the preservation of a high effective porosity under stress may help to improve the biocompatibility of textile implants?

A. Can I have a look?

As we discussed yesterday, the -- a difficult or an important point is to identify the impact of these effective porosity on the clinical outcome, how to identify this. And, yes, indeed there -- we meanwhile know that there are various mesh materials. We try to get precise data of the effective porosity of the various kinds of materials and we want to analyze registries in regard to these properties of the mesh materials. These are the studies we're working on in the moment. So, yes, there are attempts to make clinical studies.

Q. But there haven't been any published yet --

Page 471

widths of 1 centimeter, you get some figure.

- If it's 2 centimeters, you get another
- ³ figure.

To easy up the comparison of different structures, later on it is

- different structures, later on it is
 normalized to a width of 1 centimeter
- 6 normalized to a width of 1 centimeter,
 7 though in fact these measurements are
- though, in fact, these measurements are all
- 8 done at various widths of the sample size, 4
- ⁹ centimeters, 5 centimeters. There are
- ¹⁰ different standards. Usually it's described
- in the material and methods.
 - Q. And the uniaxial testing you're describing now, is that used by yourself and Dr. Mühl in your study, Exhibit 20; is that correct?
 - A. This uniaxial testing is part of these measurements of Professor Mühl, but we started in 1994 with our first textile analysis to provide this data.
 - Q. Back in 2008, 2007, when Exhibit Number 20 was published, the last sentence of the abstract says, "Further, in vivo studies have to investigate whether the preservation of a high effective porosity

A. No. No. No.

- Q. Let me get my question out.
- A. Yes.

Q. There haven't been any

studies -- strike that.

There haven't been any in vivo studies which investigate whether the preservation of a high effective porosity under stress may help to improve the biocompatibility of textile implants; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, we talked earlier about how the mesh is placed in the body.

It's not anchored or secured on either end?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And the way the mesh holds its position in the body is by the tissue moving through the pores and anchoring the pores, correct?
- A. If you restrict it to the time period directly after the operation, this is for the first seconds or minutes, this is the

Page 473

major mechanism, I suppose. Later on, it will be replaced by others.

- Q. When there are forces applied to the mesh after implantation, the mesh can move with the forces, can't it?
- A. If you apply some forces to a mesh, then, first of all, you have some sheering stress at the area where the forces is applied.

So the assumption that the entire mesh as a block moves accordingly to some forces somewhere, I think this is not a true, realistic image.

- Q. Okay. In hernia repair, you often anchor the mesh, suture it; is that fair?
- A. Fixation of meshes for incisional hernia, it is not necessary. When you place a mesh in the retro muscular position, it is not necessary to do any fixation anymore. If you make a TP repair and you place a mesh there, there's no need for making any further fixation there. If you make a TAPP, you increasingly use glue --

any precise measurements of this that does not interfere with the -- with the procedure.

In general, you have to expect that by the movement of the urethra, by some physiological movements, standing up or pressing or so, or the movements of the pelvic floor, that you have some shifting of the position of these -- of these organs in relation to other -- to the bony structures.

And this shifting, this mobility, this movements, they will lead to some locally forces.

- Q. And those forces will come from multiple directions, won't they?
- A. Always. Always they will come to -- from all directions, from all three directions, but to get a good estimate to get an idea of the model to evaluate a device or to construct a device, I am sure that for slings it is a reasonable and acceptable compromise to think that the uniaxial is more important.
- Q. And on what do you rely for that statement?

Page 475

which Fibrin glue from Ethicon, for example, that sticks there or fixed there the mesh for some days or hours. So short-term fixation there.

It depends on the position. It depends -- IPOM mesh usually have to get a fixation. It is a -- yeah, it is a difficult question there.

Q. Okay.

- A. It depends on the mesh and on the localization on the patient, on the surgeon.
- Q. Uniaxial loading means just as you described it. You have one end of the mesh stable and you pull the other end, correct?
- A. It's not necessary that one end is stable even if you have a textile like this and you're tearing from both sides, it's uniaxial.
- Q. Okay. And what are the forces underneath the urethra that cause the uniaxial loading that you've just described?
 - A. To my knowledge, there isn't

Page 477

Page 476

- A. On our experience, the literature.
 - Q. In hernia repair?
 - A. Textile. Not hernia repair. It's a use of textiles for the reenforcement of tissues.
- Q. Okay. Specifically, Doctor, have you analyzed the forces that are present in the area of the body where the mesh is placed for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
 - A. Whether I've analyzed these forces?

O. Yes.

- A. Only in the way that I try to express looking to the literature, looking to -- making some measurements at textiles to see whether it's comparable or not.
- Q. Can you point me to any literature or research upon which you rely specifically identifying the forces that are present in the area where the mesh is placed for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

I recently found a publication from 1995, I guess, where they placed at the time before TVT®, they -- at the time, they placed fascia slings around the urethra and

- Do you remember the name of Q. that study?
 - A. Not at the moment.
- 9 Q. Did you find that information 10 to be valuable, important to you?

there they measured the force there.

- It was just recently that I found it, but it was a confirmation of these estimates.
 - Okay. Q.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

there.

- 15 A. Because it was less than these 16 10 newtons.
 - And do you recall what that Q. study that you found looked at and what it found?
 - A. They measured in patients with a device the force at both sides of these fascia sling that the force that was necessary to make a narrowing of the urethra.
 - Q. Okay.

Page 478

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

8

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

described, did they provide any measurements 2 of the forces in the body at the place where the mesh is used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence? 5

- They made some -- as I recall, they made some estimates of the tensile forces that should be considered for the reenforcement of pelvic floor area.
- Now, I'm not talking about reenforcement of pelvic floor.

I'm talking very specifically about mesh placement for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence.

- I don't recall that they have a specific chapter dealing with slings.
- Okay. So we're back to the Q. 1995 study.

Is there any other study to which you can point me in support of the -your understanding of the forces in the body at the place where the mesh is used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

There maybe -- maybe some others, but I don't recall. But these --

Page 479

A. So they got an in vivo force there.

- Q. Okay. So anything other than this 1995 study that you recently reviewed upon which you rely for the forces that are present in the area of the body where mesh is placed for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- Look to a lot of these A. references, but from my memory, the Deprest working group with Ozon -- I think Ozon is his name, they presented two, three extended thesis, documents where they presented a lot of data, what they measured and what they calculate, what they estimate.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. For the pelvic floor area.
- Okay. Now, did -- is Cosson, Q. is that what you said or Deprest?
- Yeah, Cosson made a lot of 20 21 measurements at the tissue, but it was from 22 Leuven, Deprest, yeah. This working group 23
 - Q. The working group that you just

Page 481

Page 480

this is -- to my knowledge, this is the only one who really measured the forces.

- And just so the record is clear, you have not conducted your own analysis of the forces in the body at the place where mesh is used for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence; is that true?
 - That is true, I didn't do it. A.
- Okay. Now, when you use the term "uniaxial loading," you were referring to forces purely coming from one end to the other of the mesh; is that correct?
 - Α. That is correct.
- O. Seems to me that if mesh is placed across a woman to support the urethra for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, that there will be forces from the back to the front of the mesh as well: is that true?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to the form of that question.

THE WITNESS: If you're talking about forces that happens in the pelvic floor area, you're right.

Page 482 Page 484 1 There are various forces for the definition means you're pulling on each end, 2 2 various structures. correct? 3 3 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: A. Or you made a fixation at one Q. So the basis of your opinion is end. It is uniaxial, it is just in one 5 that the predominant force is uniaxial; is direction. 6 6 that fair? O. And the force that you just 7 A. In a sling, the assumption that described, if you have a mesh in a straight the uniaxial force is an important issue, line, the force that you're describing comes 8 9 from above is down on top of the mesh; is yes, that is true. 10 10 Q. Okay. How does the body apply that correct? 11 11 a uniaxial force to a sling? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 12 12 If you place -- in contrast to Form. 13 meshes which are flat meshes with a wide area 13 THE WITNESS: However -- the 14 14 of tissue integration, when you make small result is that the sling, the 15 15 slings, not 20 centimeters, but 1 centimeter, ligament, is stretched. 16 16 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** and this is 20-centimeter long there and you 17 17 made or placed a sling from the lower part of I understand. O. 18 18 the pelvis to the skin there, that if you Α. And that makes an uniaxial 19 19 have some movement there in this direction -strain. 20 You're moving down? 20 Q. But the force you're describing 21 21 A. Down, yeah. is not at the end, it's from the top down on 22 22 If the pelvic floor is going the mesh, correct? 23 23 downwards, I expect that most of the forces, Α. Yes. 24 24 the strain, is going in the similar direction O. And so when the force comes Page 483 Page 485 where the sling is located. And if you down on top of the mesh, there is a force compare the movements going down, they are --2 into the pore structure of the mesh, correct? 3 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 3 or they are in relation to the widths of the 4 textile, they are -- they are higher than the 4 Do you understand his question? 5 mobility in these two directions. 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, but 6 6 Q. Okay. I just -- in principle, yes, there's 7 A. 7 You only have 1 centimeter of force, but what is the force, how big width. If you have a tensile force trying to 8 is the force. It depends on the 9 make this wider, it's a very small effect. 9 surface, it depends from the cells. 10 Okay. So as I understand your 10 As a scientist, I usually try to then 11 answer, please correct me if I am wrong, a 11 to measure it. I think it is 12 downward force perpendicular to the placement 12 impossible. There is a force, yes, 13 of the mesh will cause a uniaxial loading on 13 but I think it is -- I'm sure it is 14 14 the mesh; is that correct? a -- in an area where it's almost 15 15 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to impossible to measure because it's so 16 16 form. Mischaracterizes his testimony. low. 17 17 THE WITNESS: What I wanted to **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 18 18 express is that you place a sling, Let me ask you this question, Q. 19 19 that the uniaxial strain to this sling Doctor. 20 in the direction of the sling, that is 20 A. And, therefore, not relevant 21 more relevant than the strain from the 21 so --22 22 sides. Can you think of a circumstance 23 in the body where a mesh used for the 23 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:**

Okay. Uniaxial loading by

24

treatment of stress urinary incontinence is

Page 486 Page 488 placed under stress by pulling one end 1 So any procedure using textiles 2 against the other like you do in Exhibit 20? for the replacement of ligaments usually 3 Is placed under stress? Α. mainly has to address uniaxial forces. So In the same way that you did in Q. textiles replacement of ligaments usually I 5 the study which is Exhibit 20. think it is -- very acceptable to reduce this 6 So far I understood is that the to an uniaxial model. 7 Ligaments have forces and recommendations when implanting these slings, 8 that this shouldn't be done by applying a stresses in other directions, too, don't 9 huge amount of tension there. And I don't 9 they? 10 think that it's a good idea to place a mesh 10 As we told, there are always wherever under tension. 11 11 forces to some degree from every direction, 12 12 So my question is this, Doctor. but they are not significant. They are not O. 13 I'm trying to understand whether you can 13 relevant in comparison to the others. That's 14 identify for me any force in the body that is 14 a reason that you have ligaments and not a 15 uniaxial in nature that replicates the forces 15 muscle at that position. 16 16 that you and Professor Mühl used in your And my question, Doctor, is can O. 17 17 study, Exhibit 20. you describe for me specifically those forces MR. ANDERSON: By that you mean 18 18 in the area where mesh is placed for the 19 uniaxial forces? treatment of stress urinary incontinence that 20 20 replicate this uniaxial loading? MR. THOMAS: Yes. 21 21 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. He MR. ANDERSON: Okay. 22 22 THE WITNESS: Whether I can iust answered it. 23 23 identify these forces? MR. THOMAS: He used a ligament 24 24 as an example. Page 487 Page 489 1 1 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. 2 2 O. Yes. THE WITNESS: If you look to 3 MR. ANDERSON: He said anywhere 3 the book of Petros, yeah, there are 4 4 in the body where there are forces some sort of ligaments that are 5 that are uniaxial, right? 5 stabilizing the urethra, and if you MR. THOMAS: Okay. Let me ask 6 6 use a textile as reenforcement of this 7 the question again. weak structure to treat this patient, 8 MR. ANDERSON: It's a little 8 yeah, it should be considered as a 9 confusing. Yeah. 9 replacement of a ligament. And even 10 10 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** from the form, you're dealing now not 11 11 with a flat mesh area, but with a 1 Q. My question, Doctor, is this, I 12 think: Can you describe for me forces in the 12 centimeter width. So that is the 13 area where mesh is placed for the treatment 13 difference. 20 to 1 centimeter. 14 of stress urinary incontinence that replicate 14 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 15 the forces that are used by you and Dr. Mühl 15 So it's your testimony that the 16 16 in your study of effective porosity, which is forces that are applied to the mesh by the 17 Exhibit 20? 17 body are uniaxial in nature all the time? 18 18 A. The first issue is whether MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 19 19 there are some uniaxial strain there and if Asked and answered. 20 20 you look to the anatomy, you have some THE WITNESS: No, that is not 21 ligaments. Ligaments usually are thought to 21 correct, not all time. 22 22 compensate uniaxial the mechanical strain, It is a justified assumption 23 23 the biomechanics there, in contrast to some that it gives important information to

24

have this testing in a uniaxial

fascias or muscles there.

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Hernia.

- direction. It helps us to define -- to define the requirements to a
- textile which is intended to replace a
- 4 ligament in this setting and then you
- have to define the range not to get the risk of being over engineered
- the risk of being over engineered.
 And the Mühl testing just covers
- 8 one -- some range within this.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. For mesh that is implanted for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence, if a force is applied to the mesh, both ends of the mesh have the flexibility to move, don't they, with the tissue?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: Yes. They have the -- yeah.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Okay. In the test method that you and Dr. Mühl devised for the measure of the uniaxial loading, when you test the force to measure the effective porosity, one end of the mesh can't move, correct?

¹ certainty that there is no rational reason

why the TVT® needs the stability and the

Page 492

Page 493

- why the TVT® needs the stability and th
- ³ amount of material of the Prolene® hernia
- mesh which can only be regarded as over
 engineered for this purpose."

Now, we've talked about that at length, haven't we?

- A. Yes.
- Q. You continue in your opinion, you say, "It should be mentioned that in the field of abdominal wall hernia repair, the use of large pore, light-weight meshes has become a standard recommended by guidelines and meta-analysis."

Why is it important to you that in the field of abdominal wall hernia repair the use of large pore, light-weight meshes has become a standard recommended by guidelines and meta-analysis?

A. Just to confirm that the fact that large pore textile constructions are widely accepted in the field of abdominal wall hernia surgery with all the history, and this is not only a fact that is indicated by

Page 491

A. Yes. And this leads to the question what period of implantation of mesh you want to get this information for.

If you're looking for the situation where the surgeon applies this material, he has to have it in his hands. So he fixed one immediately and that is a situation that is probably more closer to the testing.

Of course, there is another situation after three months, after one year when you have all of this tissue integration there and so.

Q. Let's go to page 59 of your report, please.

Right in the middle of the page you're talking about, "The danger of heavy-weight, small pore hernia mesh and its impact on tissue reaction when using the hernia mesh Prolene® for your gynecological slings," correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. You say, "It is my opinion to a reasonable degree of medical and scientific

some preclinical animal experiments, but it is widely accepted in the world of surgery that, yeah.

- Q. When you talk about a standard recommended by guidelines, to what are you referring there?
- There are the European Hernia Society that has guidelines for the treatment of groin hernia, and they said that it is advantages to use a large pore, light-weight meshes. There is the International Endoscopic Hernia Society that has recently published guidelines for the treatment of endoscopic hernia repair and now for the treatment of laparoscopic incisional hernia repair under the guidance of Bittner, Professor Bittner, and they have a chapter, "Impact and Selection of Mesh Material," and there it is clearly expressed that large pore constructions have advantages and should be -- should be used and in these guidelines, you will find the references to the meta-analysis that has been published some in

Page 494 Page 496 1 1 (Off the record at 12:27 p.m.) I know that there are some 2 2 meta-analysis coming to the result --(Klinge Exhibit 22 marked for 3 nonsignificant result -- difference, but more 3 identification.) or less taking these meta-analysis and these QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 5 guidelines. It is well-accepted in the Doctor, I hand you a document that's been marked as Deposition Exhibit society or in the field of hernia surgeon to Number 22. use material reduced large pore meshes. It's 8 8 no doubt about it. No -- yeah. Deposition Exhibit Number 22 is 9 9 No doubt about it based upon a section from a book called "Hernia Repair 10 10 Sequela," written by Volker Schumpelick and the standards you just identified --11 Not based upon, but this --11 Robert J. Fitzgibbons. 12 12 Is that Professor Schumpelick these guidelines are reflecting the 13 literature, the opinion of experts, there are 13 the same person that is your superior at your 14 office at the university? different levels of recommendations. So it's 14 15 15 not because of the guidelines, but the A. That was? 16 16 guidelines indicate that this acceptance of Q. Is that the same Schumpelick 17 17 that you worked for at the university? the surgeons. 18 Q. The meta-analysis studies the 18 A. Yes. Yes. 19 19 data, correct, and collects the data and O. And who is Robert Fitzgibbons? 20 He is an American surgeon who draws conclusions from the existing studies? 21 is a co-editor for this work and the A. Yes. 22 22 O. And the guidelines to which you cochairman for this conference. 23 23 refer are the guidelines of the professional Both are editors of the Hernia 24 organizations who have experience in hernia Journal still additionally to Marc Miserez Page 495 Page 497 surgery who are familiar with the literature from Leuven. These three from it. 2 2 and they publish as a professional And it says it's in 3 organization their opinion as to the proper 3 collaboration with Joachim Conze; is that mesh to use in the hernia application? 4 4 right? 5 I don't know whether I got the 5 A. Yes. point. These are not professional 6 6 Q. And that's the same Dr. Conze 7 7 organizations. These are organizations by that you worked with at the Aachen group? 8 8 surgeons. They have according to the A. Yes. 9 protocol of the oxford community how to make 9 I'm sure you're familiar with Q. guidelines. You have to make a reading of 10 10 this chapter, aren't you? 11 11 the literature. You have to classify the A. Yes. 12 literature according to the level of 12 If you turn to -- it's 2010. Q. 13 evidence. You have to add the expert 13 The third page reads, 14 comments on it. You have to pass it several "Alloplastic implants for the treatment of 14 15 times around so that everyone can give his stress urinary incontinence and pelvic organ 16 comment and finally you have some statements, 16 prolapse," shows you as a coauthor with --17 what are the facts and then you have finally 17 and who are those people? 18 18 some recommendations. A. This was B. Schuessler, it's 19 19 And this is not a commercial Professor Schuessler from Luzern. He's the 20 20 thing that is our -- this is the enthusiasts head of the gynecological department there,

21

22

23

trying to define the best therapy.

for lunch.

MR. THOMAS: Let's take a break

MR. ANDERSON: Sounds good.

21

22

23

24

and he's giving this presentation at the St.

coworker of this department who prepared the

manuscript. It was a summarize -- or it's --

Moritz meeting. And T. Kavvadias is a

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the manuscript that should be printed and the content of the presentation of Professor Schuessler.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you have any responsibility for the presentation of Professor Schuessler?
- My relationship with Professor Schuessler is that we share a lot of ideas, a lot of knowledge. We prepare together some publications and, therefore, some of his ideas are reflecting our experiences in this -- in this meaning, yeah, I'm responsible for some of the contents he presented there.
 - Okay. What responsibility did O. you have for the preparation of this chapter in this book?
- I was asked when they prepared A. this manuscript as the basic content of the presentation of Professor Schuessler. They asked me to revise this manuscript because some of these aspects are mainly based on our work and our experience and, therefore, I was asked to give my comments and corrections to this manuscript. So I'm a coworker there.

Page 500

- vaginal erosion of Amid type III mesh used
- 2 for intravaginal sling plasty was as high as
- 9 percent in a two-year follow-up, which is
- significantly higher compared to zero percent using the classical TVT®, type 1 macroporous
- monofilament polypropylene mesh in the same trial."

And that's the Johnson & Johnson mesh, Ethicon?

- I guess I have seen the original publication to this, but it would be misleading to not to mention the first sentence, "Less erosion rates depend on the selection of the material" and, therefore, this study and this article confirms the finding of this study that there is an impact of the material on the clinical outcome and whether it's 9 or zero percent, 1, the power of this study is not sufficient.
- You stand by the language in this exhibit, don't you? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't see any big conflicts of interest or big

Page 499

- If you turn to page 440 of Exhibit 22, that's a category that says, "Meshes in Stress Urinary Incontinence." Do you see that?

 - A. Yes.
- O. Second paragraph says, "At present, the gold standard in SUI surgery is the suburethral sling, using tension-free vaginal tape, (TVT®) or the transobturator tape, (TOT) technique."

Do you agree with that statement?

- I wouldn't have chosen it. We had similar discussion in our field of surgery is there any gold standard, what is a gold standard. I made several presentations about this. Today I wouldn't select the word "gold standard," but it is not -- I don't see that it is a serious mistake to use it in this context of this article.
- Okay. You see in the last paragraph of that column, right in the middle they're talking about "A prospective randomized control trial by Mechia so that

Page 501

mistakes there.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- What do you understand "gold standard" to mean?
- Gold standard is a difficult A. word. I wouldn't use in the moment to define anything.

(Klinge Exhibit 23 marked for identification.)

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Let me show you what I've marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 23.

Deposition Exhibit Number 23 is another study that you've been associated with.

You recognize this as the Klink study we talked about yesterday?

A. Uh-huh.

> MR. ANDERSON: Yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

And this is a comparison of long-term biocompatibility of PVDF and

Page 502 Page 504 A. Yes. polypropylene meshes, correct? 2 2 A. Yes. Q. And what was the goal of the 3 3 Q. What role did you have in this study? 4 study? A. The goal of the study was to 5 see long-term differences between PVDF and My role in this study was A. mainly to have a look to the data and to work polypropylene meshes. 6 on the manuscript with the interpretation and O. And the materials that you used 8 the presentation of this data. 8 for this study were supplied by FEG, correct? 9 9 And all of the authors on this A. Please let me have a look. 10 10 study are associated with the universities? O. It's on the second page under 11 "Mesh Materials." A. 11 That's true. 12 12 And what specialty or Yes. Q. Α. 13 discipline does C.D. Klink have? 13 Q. Do you know whether FEG 14 A. He's a journal -- he's a 14 provided those materials or you were required 15 surgeon. He's a general surgeon. 15 to purchase them? 16 16 And Dr. Junge, what discipline A. I don't know. 17 17 or expertise does Dr. Junge have? All right. And the mesh O. 18 The expertise or the medical 18 materials used in this study -- strike that. 19 19 profession, he's a surgeon as well. His One of the things that you also 20 expertise is that he has been working since 20 did in this study was to take scanning 21 21 1996, '7, I guess, in our group. We made a electron microscope images of the explant, 22 22 lot of different investigations there so he correct? 23 23 has a -- he's very familiar with all of these A. Yes. 24 work what we have done. And on page 294 of Exhibit 23, Q. Page 503 Page 505 And M. Binnebösel, who is that? 1 Q. down under "Results," it says, "Exemplary 2 electron microscopy of explanted samples A. He's a surgical resident who 3 later on came and mainly he worked in this revealed the signs of surface cracking of the polypropylene samples which were not 4 field in the past five, six years. 5 Who is the next person? detectable on the PVDF samples." And then on Q. 6 the right, there are images of what was found A. Dr. Alizai, he's a young 7 7 resident. He has not finished his surgical in the study, correct? 8 8 A. training education and he's just at the Yes. 9 beginning of his career. Dr. Klinge, have you discussed 10 Q. And how about the next person? 10 with FEG the fact that the polypropylene that 11 11 they use in their mesh implants displays Dr. Otto, he is a surgeon. I A. 12 12 surface cracks such as are depicted in think he has been -- he's finished his 13 13 photograph 9 or page 294? education as a surgeon. He's working 14 14 We discussed these results, scientifically for some years meanwhile and A. 15 15 in our group, if you want to say, so he yes. mainly is busy to investigate this visible 16 16 Did you discuss with FEG any 17 17 risks that you saw to patients who received mesh structures. 18 the mesh due to the surface cracking that Q. And Dr. Neumann? 19 Professor Neumann is the head 19 appears in paragraph -- or picture A on A. 20 20 page 294? of the department. 21 The department of surgery? 21 Not specifically. A. O. 22 22 Of surgery. Have you discussed with FEG at A. any time any risks of danger to their 23 Took Professor Schumpelick's 23 Q.

24

position?

patients because of surface cracking such as

Page 506 Page 508 that depicted in picture A on page 294 of material that shows this. 2 2 Exhibit 23? And who did you tell FEG about 3 We -- since we started to think 3 A. this increased risk? 4 of PVDF in 1998, we were working to figure MR. ANDERSON: Who did you 5 out the advantages of PVDF. And, therefore, 5 tell -- who did you tell FEG? the study just to us was a confirmation of 6 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** the findings from others and demonstrates the Who did you tell at FEG about 8 8 advantage of PVDF. I didn't -- yeah, that's this increased risk from what you observed in 9 9 it. this photograph on paragraph A on page 294? 10 10 Q. Okay. FEG uses polypropylene To everyone. I'm sure in some of its implants, correct? 11 everyone. All of the -- all of the people 11 12 12 that are involved in this mesh design, that A. Yes. 13 Q. And you've been aware that FEG 13 was it and I've discussed it with them. 14 Dr. Obolensky? 14 uses polypropylene in some of its implants Q. 15 15 for some time? Yes. A. 16 16 A. Q. Mr. Mullen? Yes. 17 17 O. You've been aware that -- you Yes. Α. 18 understand from conversations with Clavé and 18 Q. Do you know whether FEG has 19 Klosterhalfen and others, that there have ever taken any steps to warn the surgeons 20 been reports that surface of some 20 that use their products of any risk from 21 21 polypropylene meshes show cracks? degradation -- strike that. 22 22 A. Yes. Do you know whether FEG has 23 23 ever taken any steps to warn the doctors who O. Have you ever discussed with FEG any risks to their patients because of use their mesh about any risks from the Page 507 Page 509 these observations of surface cracks? surface cracking that's observed in paragraph 2 2 **A**? It is -- I always make it clear 3 and Bernd Klosterhalfen made it clear since 3 I'm not familiar. I'm not 4 20 years that using polypropylene in familiar with the legal things, what has to 5 comparison to PVDF has an increased risk, 5 do something with warning here and informing. 6 6 My relationship to the FEG is yes. 7 that we together we're in favor of the PVDF Did you tell specifically FEG that the surface cracking in these photos and that's it. And they tried together with 8 9 presented a risk to their patients that me to make more and more devices only of pure 10 PVDF. 10 received their mesh? 11 11 You don't want to be associated A. They know this, yes. O. 12 Q. My question is: Did you tell with a product that creates a risk of harm to 13 13 patients they don't know about, do you? them that? 14 A. Yes, we -- yeah. 14 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 15 15 And what did you tell them Q. form. 16 16 THE WITNESS: I didn't about the risk? 17 17 That there is a potential risk understand this question. of this surface cracking that sometime it may 18 18 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 19 19 be related to some increased inflammatory Q. You don't want to be associated 20 20 reaction, more infections. So we always told with a product that creates a risk of harm to 21 what is the consequence of a surface 21 patients they don't know about, do you? 22 22 cracking. That is an increase of surface MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 23 23 with all of the consequences and, therefore, form. 24 24 this is an increased risk if you have a THE WITNESS: You have to -- in

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Germany, you have to inform patients about risks with a rate of 1 to 10,000, so in this area.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In the moment, we have the information of a surface cracking since some years, five, six, seven years, and before the time we thought it was stable. We believed what they have seen there. So this is a recent finding and still today there are a lot of -- there are some people from the manufacturers and saying that is just an artifact. It's not a real complication. We know there are some potential risks by the increase of surface by this, but I cannot figure out what is an exact ratio. I even don't know what happens after 30 years, after 40 years.

Our experience up to now is that within the first two years, three years, there is no report about a ruptured degradated mesh material leading to a recurrence or to a

Page 512 that the risk is so high that you have to

- stop any use of polypropylene in the moment
- in all devices for all purposes. And that is what I expressed clearly at my presentations
- as well. It is a concern and to my opinion, there is no doubt that this happens, but it
- is not enough to forbid the use of
- polypropylene in medicine in the moment. But 9 maybe it happen. It changes.
 - Q. What should FEG do about this knowledge and its surgeons and its patients that receive this mesh?
 - A. I don't have any specific information what they are doing as a consequence of this. To my knowledge, the way was to use only PVDF.
 - Q. Okay. But is it fair to understand that based upon your knowledge of the information available to you at this time, you're unable to determine the extent to which there is any clinical significance to any surface cracking that may be on this polypropylene mesh manufactured by FEG; is that fair?

Page 511

clinical consequence.

We have no doubts that it happens, meanwhile the consequences has to be carefully surveyed during the next time.

OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- You used the rate of one in 10,000. Do you have enough information available to you to determine whether the risk to a patient who receives a polypropylene mesh from FEG creates a risk greater than one in 10,000 that they will suffer adverse consequences because of that mesh?
- A. My current opinion to this point is that at the moment we don't have sufficient data to quantify exactly the consequence of this finding to the clinical outcome. We don't have any doubt, there is no doubt that it happens, that you have this degradation and there is no doubt that in principle, surface enhancement leads to some complications. But I will not -- or to my opinion, to my knowledge, it is not like this

Page 513 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to

form.

THE WITNESS: As I told before, I have no doubts that surface cracking and enhancement of surface leads to a higher risk for complications. That is a clear relationship, causal relationship, that is proven by all our experience and all of this work.

I cannot give you a figure what does this mean to have these materials, but this should be a starting point. If you decide -- and that is my consequence, if you decide to sell polypropylene further on in your devices, you should study it very, very carefully because this, of course, is not -- a nonlinear process. It happens -- it may happen that 20, 30 years after implantation in young patients that you may experience things you don't want to see there.

So it has to be studied there.

Page 514
OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Do you have any ideas as to what you would expect to see?
- A. In the worst case for the
 patient, it can be a malignant transformation
 because you have 30 years of chronic
 inflammation. We know this from medicine in
 general. Chronic inflammation over 30 years
 may cause some malignant transformation, and,
 this was, of course, the severest
 complications for the patients, yeah.
 - Q. Polypropylene sutures have been used now for over 50 years, haven't they?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are you aware of any reports in the literature about clinical issues associated with alleged surface cracking in polypropylene sutures?
- A. When we're looking to the
 literature, the number of scientific
 linvestigations of tissue response to foreign
 body materials is very, very limited and
 mainly for the meshes with the huge amount of
 sutures, it would be a better material to be

Page 515

investigated these effects with meshes. It
 mainly started in 1994. So you don't have a
 knowledge of 50 years of extensive research
 in this field.

When you -- if you would asked me five years before whether do you know some cancer case in relationship to textiles, I would say, no, I don't know any report, but meanwhile it changed. Meanwhile we know some.

I don't want to say that we have to expect it in certain number of patients, but it is a concern, yes.

- Q. Are you aware of any reports in the literature about clinical issues associated with alleged surface cracking in polypropylene sutures?
- A. Polypropylene suture surface cracking, there has been reports. I guess La Roche was an investigation of polypropylene sutures in the eyes showing this cracking or degradation of this material. So there is some literature showing that indicating that you have this degradation.

Page 516

- Q. My question is not whether you show the surface cracking. My question is whether there are clinical manifestations resulting from the alleged surface cracking?
 - A. I don't know any study that was able to differentiate whether it was a surface cracking, whether it was a surface of the material, whether it was the functional biocompatibility of the device, therefore.
 - Q. Last year when you testified, you testified that polypropylene mesh appropriately designed could be used in a mesh.

Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

Mischaracterizes his testimony. Go ahead.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Do you still believe that? Has your opinion changed?
- A. No. Can you, please, because it depends on -- can you please repeat?
 - Q. Is polypropylene fiber still an

Page 517

appropriate material to be used in a mesh?

- A. It depends from your meaning of appropriate. Is it a possible solution not being forbidden by laws? Yes.
 - Q. As --

MR. ANDERSON: Let him finish. MR. THOMAS: I thought he was,

I'm sorry.

MR. ANDERSON: He's still counting on his fingers.

THE WITNESS: When I would prefer the best material, I wouldn't choose polypropylene.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Is PVDF more expensive than polypropylene?
- A. It's definitely more expensive, and it's more difficult to handle.
- Q. And how much more expensive is it than polypropylene?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. When you say it's more difficult to handle, what do you mean?
 - A. What I was told by the textile

Page 518 Page 520 engineers since over the past 15 years, you gets to the mesh will make the mesh softer 2 need a specific knowledge of how to make PVDF 2 and more pliable? fibers because you need higher temperature to A. I never realized this in the do so, you need specific equipment, you need context with the -- with polypropylene or 5 other -- other spinning equipment to do so. with meshes. 6 6 Yeah. The advantage is that you can use a We used the pre-coating with 7 pure material. vascular grafts. They were preclotted with 8 Dr. Klinge when a mesh is some substances to change the appearance, but 9 implanted, what bodily fluids surround the 9 for textiles meshes made of polypropylene 10 mesh. 10 with a fiber of -- in a diameter of 11 A. 11 Immediately when you place it 120 microns, I cannot believe that any 12 there, depending on the skill of the surgeon, 12 protein can change significantly the 13 some blood. Usually some blood. 13 properties of this. 14 14 Do proteins ultimately surround Have you ever studied the 15 15 extent to which bodily fluids soften meshes the mesh? 16 16 A. Yes, of course. There are some and make them more pliable? 17 17 body liquids from the extra cellular liquids A. No. 18 and they contain thousands, hundred thousands 18 O. When a mesh is explanted, the 19 of proteins and due to the trauma, to the bodily fluids and proteins remain on the 20 stress by the implant, you have a 20 mesh, correct? 21 accumulation of liquid in this area. If you 21 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 22 22 look very carefully to this area, you always THE WITNESS: When you explant 23 find some accumulation of liquid around a 23 a mesh, you usually have a block and 24 textile implant. you have a lot of scar tissue and Page 519 Page 521 1 1 Q. Is it true that proteins somewhere in between there are these 2 2 surround the mesh fibers? fibers. There is some liquids, some 3 Our current thought is that the 3 cells there, yeah. 4 liquid containing the proteins, they are 4 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 5 around the mesh fibers. The proteins 5 And once you take the explant 6 themselves are too small. They just adhere 6 out, you place it into formalin? 7 7 Yes. Either you want to make to the surface, but they are not able to coat 8 the entire filament to my -some specific analysis. If you want to make 9 Okay. Do you know of any an electron microscopy, you need some other 10 impact or effect that these fluids have on 10 solution for fixation, or if you want to make 11 11 the characteristics of the mesh? some genetic analysis, you have to freeze it 12 MR. ANDERSON: Are you talking 12 down later on making some other -- so these 13 13 are the three options you have usually. polypropylene? 14 14 MR. THOMAS: Yes, Okay. So you can freeze it, 15 polypropylene. Thank you. 15 you can use formalin or --16 THE WITNESS: It is necessary 16 A. Yeah. 17 17 to think or to specify which Q. -- there's some other 18 18 characteristic of the mesh. preparation you use for electron microscopy? 19 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 19 Yes. A. 20 20 Q. Have you ever heard of a term O. Tell me what that is. 21 "plasticizer"? 21 For example, glutaraldehyde. A. 22 22 Yes, but not in the context I'm sorry? Q. 23 23 with meshes and proteins. Glutaraldehyde. A. 24 24 I don't have any idea what you That the bodily fluids as it Q.

Page 522 Page 524 1 microscopy where you analyze the extent to just said. 2 2 MR. ANDERSON: Glutaraldehyde. which there were --3 3 Or glutaraldehyde. Α. Degradation? QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: 4 Q. -- surface cracking found on 5 Okay. Under what circumstances 5 polypropylene? 6 6 have you used --A. Not that I recall. 7 7 MR. ANDERSON: Glutaraldehyde. Q. Doctor, on pages 40 to 42 of 8 your report, you have three images that come **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 9 9 -- glutaraldehyde in the from the report of Dr. Jordi; is that 10 preparation of samples for scanning electron 10 correct? 11 microscopy? 11 A. Yes. 12 12 A. There has been some time where Did you select the images that Q. 13 13 were to be included in your report? we tried in some research projects to do some 14 14 electron microscopy and, therefore, we had to MR. ANDERSON: Objection as to 15 make this specific fixation where we wanted 15 whether or not there's work product 16 16 to look to the collagen fibers. Collagen 3 and who selected what images. 17 has very small fibers. So when we were bound 17 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 18 to make this fixation and we were asked to 18 Q. Well, then I'll ask it this 19 make this fixation with glutaraldehyde. 19 way. 20 Why did you use glutaraldehyde 20 Are Figures 13, 14 and 15 of 21 as opposed to formalin or formaldehyde, what 21 any particular significance to you in your 22 was the reason? opinions other than just a representation of 23 23 what was seen in images from Dr. Jordi? A. Because we received a protocol from the guys making the electron microscopy 24 I don't see any significant A. Page 523 Page 525 and that we should use this. I'm not an differences to many others so --2 2 Do Figures 13, 14 and 15, to expert to say the different possibilities to 3 make a preparation for some investigation. I your knowledge, have any relationship to Carolyn Lewis? 4 just told you what my experience was that we 5 have some different options to make it. 5 A. Yes. I know --Okay. And how many occasions 6 6 I didn't see it in your report. 7 7 have you prepared slides for scanning That's why I'm asking. 8 electron microscopy where you've used 8 A. I remember I received a lot of 9 glutaraldehyde? images from other devices, but from this 10 A. How many slides for electron 10 device specifically as well. I guess it is 11 11 microscopy? from this case. 12 Yes. 12 Q. Q. How do you know that? You say 13 13 A. I don't recall. Most often I I guess. 14 14 think it was to analyze the collagen, the A. I have to be -- look careful 15 15 quality of collagens. There we had -- over every sentence there whether we have already 16 some years, we had projects where we made 16 written it here. Otherwise, if it's not 17 17 electron microscopy to look to the protein -written here, I have to check the files --18 18 to the collagens. Q. Okay. 19 19 Have you prepared any samples A. -- with the images there. 20 20 for scanning electron microscopy in the last I did not find it in your Q. 21 three years using glutaraldehyde? 21 report where you identified these --22 22 A. Not that I recall. Sorry. A.

23

O.

Have you prepared any samples

using glutaraldehyde for scanning electron

23

24

-- images as being associated

with a particular person. That's why I asked

	Page 526		Page 528
1	the question.	1	Have you studied how
2	MR. ANDERSON: No, but he	2	polypropylene degrades?
3	reviewed Jordi's report.	3	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. It
4	MR. THOMAS: I understand that.	4	was asked yesterday because you wanted
5	And Jordi has 22 mesh explants, too.	5	to get into expert opinions yesterday.
6	I don't know which ones he picked.	6	So we're going back over the same
7	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, they're	7	ground.
8	all identified by identifying number	8	MR. THOMAS: Not really. I
9	in Jordi's report. So if you want us	9	just didn't remember I asked the
10	to go get Jordi's report out and look	10	question.
11	through and identify which ones are	11	MR. ANDERSON: You asked a lot
12	Ms. Lewis, we can certainly take the	12	of questions on degradation yesterday.
13	time to do that. Or I can tell you	13	MR. THOMAS: Okay.
14	which one it is or however you want to	14	MR. ANDERSON: Because you
15	do it.	15	asked to go ahead and start asking
16	MR. THOMAS: I was going to	16	expert questions yesterday.
17	ask I do want to see the report he	17	MR. THOMAS: Can we keep going
18	has because the report he has is dated	18	so we can get out of here?
19	a different date than the report that	19	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
20	you produced. The report that's	20	Q. Can you answer the question?
21	identified in his report is dated	21	MR. ANDERSON: Have you studied
22	October the 12th, 2013.	22	how polypropylene degrades? That's
23	So do you have that with you,	23	his question.
24	the one that he reviewed here?	24	THE WITNESS: We have studying
	Page 527		Page 529
	_		1 450 327
1	MR ANDERSON: No but it would	1	in the meaning that looking to the
1 2	MR. ANDERSON: No, but it would	1 2	in the meaning that looking to the
2	be the exact same report as Jordi did.	2	data, yes. Doing own studies,
2 3	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's	2 3	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the
2 3 4	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You	2 3 4	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no.
2 3 4 5	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with	2 3 4 5	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2 3 4 5	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure	2 3 4 5 6	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for
2 3 4 5 6	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out	2 3 4 5 6 7	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15 are from mesh explanted from Carolyn Lewis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15 are from mesh explanted from Carolyn Lewis? A. I'm not sure whether this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women? A. No. Q. Why?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15 are from mesh explanted from Carolyn Lewis? A. I'm not sure whether this is precisely from her, but they all look quite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women? A. No. Q. Why? A. Because the structural
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15 are from mesh explanted from Carolyn Lewis? A. I'm not sure whether this is precisely from her, but they all look quite similar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women? A. No. Q. Why? A. Because the structural stability of ULTRAPRO TM is not sufficient to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15 are from mesh explanted from Carolyn Lewis? A. I'm not sure whether this is precisely from her, but they all look quite similar. Q. Okay. You've told me before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women? A. No. Q. Why? A. Because the structural stability of ULTRAPRO TM is not sufficient to withstand or to preserve the big pores
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15 are from mesh explanted from Carolyn Lewis? A. I'm not sure whether this is precisely from her, but they all look quite similar. Q. Okay. You've told me before that you're not a chemist or an analytical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women? A. No. Q. Why? A. Because the structural stability of ULTRAPRO TM is not sufficient to withstand or to preserve the big pores under under these conditions of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15 are from mesh explanted from Carolyn Lewis? A. I'm not sure whether this is precisely from her, but they all look quite similar. Q. Okay. You've told me before that you're not a chemist or an analytical chemist.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women? A. No. Q. Why? A. Because the structural stability of ULTRAPRO TM is not sufficient to withstand or to preserve the big pores under under these conditions of biomechanics as it is required for the use as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be the exact same report as Jordi did. MR. THOMAS: I don't it's 19 days before his deposition. You remember we had two marked there with different dates. I never did figure out MR. ANDERSON: Because we reprinted off the first page, and when we reprinted off the first page for you, we printed it as the same day as the depo. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, do you know whether the Figures 13, 14 and 15 are from mesh explanted from Carolyn Lewis? A. I'm not sure whether this is precisely from her, but they all look quite similar. Q. Okay. You've told me before that you're not a chemist or an analytical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	data, yes. Doing own studies, experimental studies looking to the chemistry, what happens there, no. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Do you defer to Dr. Jordi for that type of analysis? A. Yes. Definitely. Q. Doctor, let's go to page 76 of your report, please. Page 76 of your report deals with the heading "Alternative Design." Is it your opinion that ULTRAPRO TM is an appropriate alternative design for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women? A. No. Q. Why? A. Because the structural stability of ULTRAPRO TM is not sufficient to withstand or to preserve the big pores under under these conditions of

currently marketed by Ethicon that is an 2 appropriate alternative design for the 3

treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

- I'm not aware of all products 5 from Ethicon that are available in the 6 moment. In this context, I cannot say whether there is already some device that I can consider sufficiently to be sufficient.
- 9 It should have -- it has to be tested all 10 these.
 - Q. And when you mean it has to be tested, what do you mean?
 - To find the optimum structure, the optimum -- the development -- for the development of the optimum structure, you need some studies to define this.
 - Tell me what studies you need.
- 17 18 More or less you need studies 19 to every point of concern that was mentioned 20 in this report and this -- these studies to 21 every point mentioned in this report 22 should -- has to include a lot of preclinical 23 studies in appropriate animal models, in 24 appropriate functional testing, in

parallel. If you want to have a good

- schedule for how to do so, a good example
- of -- a good realization of this principle is
- what we have done with the VYPRO or the

Page 532

Page 533

- principles that we defined at that time, all
- of these studies, the 100 publications, all
- of this together gives a good impression or helps you to understand, to find a good
- 9 device.
 - Q. And you began with the design of VYPRO in 1994?
 - A. 1994.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

23

2

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

As I told you, December of 1993.

- And when was VYPRO launched? Q.
- A. 1998.
- O. Is it your opinion today that PVDF is the only appropriate polymer to be used in mesh for implantation in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- 21 No, but, to my knowledge, it's A. 22 the best we have.
 - Q. What other polymers are appropriate for use in a mesh for

Page 531

- appropriate textile characteristic and then
- you may get a good impression which design of
- 3 this -- of your device you want to have is --
- has the lowest risk. 4
 - So first thing you mentioned Q. preclinical studies.

Is that animal testing?

- It can be in vitro testing. It can be animal testing.
 - Q. Do you need both?
- 11 Yes. A.
- 12 Q. And the in vitro testing is
- 13 what?

5

6

7

8

9

10

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 14 A. In vitro testing is maybe it's
- 15 the counting of particle loss after
- 16 manufacturing. It can be the behavior in
- 17 liquids, the degradation, the combination of
- 18 these materials with some cells, what happens
- 19 there, what is the overgrowth. A lot of
- 20 questions can be addressed in this field.
- 21 Okay. And do you need to do 22 the in vitro and animal testing before you do
- 23 the function testing?
 - Everything has to be in

implantation in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

I cannot answer. This is a very general question. There are a lot of

- polymers, experimental. We're working on
- polymers and other polymers so there are a
- 7 lot of other -- maybe a lot of other
- alternatives. There are some literature
- providing new materials but in the moment
- 10 from my -- to my knowledge, PVDF has the best 11 results.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. But I cannot give you a complete list of all alternative -- possible alternatives.
 - O. Can you give me a list of three possible alternatives?
 - I cannot give you a list of one alternative that is better than PVDF.
 - And I know that. O.

What I asked you is there --

A. There are some polyimides, polyulitars. These are classes where you can try to look to see alternatives.

Page 534

Q. Is it your opinion today that polypropylene is not an appropriate mesh for implantation for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence?

A. I think some minutes ago I already said that the word "appropriate"

is -- makes it impossible for me to say yes.

Q. Is it your opinion to a
reasonable degree of scientific and medical
certainty that the use of polypropylene in
meshes for the treatment of stress urinary
incontinence is unreasonably dangerous?

A. Unreasonable dangerous? Has to be seen in regard to the specific situation of the benefits and risks. If you use this implant of polypropylene in an 80-year-old patient, I will not expect that you will experience any problem just because of degradation within the next one year. So it --

Q. Do you have a --

A. I cannot give a general statement to this.

Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion

comment on polypropylene in general.

Q. I understand that.

And, Doctor, you have to start somewhere and choosing the textile is a pretty fundamental issue for any mesh that you might use, you agree with that?

MR. ANDERSON: You mean the polymer?

Page 536

Page 537

MR. THOMAS: Yeah, that's what I meant.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Doctor, you have to start somewhere and choosing the appropriate polymer is an important first step in the design of any mesh, would you agree with that?
- A. Yeah. I would agree that this is a first step because then it leads you to further decisions.
- Q. And even a PVDF polymer can be designed in a way that's unreasonably dangerous, do you agree?
 - A. Definitely, yeah.
 - Q. And so as I understand your

Page 535

to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty whether it's appropriate to use polypropylene mesh in hernia repair?

A. The same objection as before, the term "appropriate."

Q. Do you have an opinion --

A. Makes it difficult or impossible for me.

Q. Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty as to whether the use of polypropylene in hernia repair is unreasonably dangerous?

A. The -- my present opinion is that it is not so dangerous that it should be forbidden in today to have -- to use it and, therefore, I'm convinced that it is tolerable or acceptable to use polypropylene in medicine.

Q. Okay.

A. But if I may, it depends on the structure.

Q. Right.

A. So it's not a general free

position for use in medicine today, either
 PVDF or polypropylene are appropriate -- or
 excuse me, are not unreasonably dangerous if

designed correctly?
 A Again there is this

A. Again, there is this inappropriate. It depends on what you're looking. You can create some acceptable textile structures of both, of polypropylene and PVDF. You will find some different risks if you compare these two.

Q. Doctor, what is Exhibit A to your report? That's it right there. Those images.

A. These images?

MR. ANDERSON: That's

Exhibit A?

MR. THOMAS: I think.

MR. ANDERSON: A was his CV.

MR. THOMAS: I am sorry, then

Exhibit C. I apologize.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. What is Exhibit C to your -- let me start over again so I get a good question and you give me a good answer.

	Proi. Dr. Me	.u	
	Page 538		Page 540
1	Doctor, what is Exhibit C to	1	132 slides?
2	Exhibit 11?	2	A. You're right. I'm not a
3	A. Exhibit C is a collection of	3	mathematical expert. It's a big number.
4	images I made from histological sections I	4	Q. Do you know who prepared the
5	received, and I received HE stainings and	5	slides?
6	stainings with S100.	6	A. Professor Kreutzer. I received
7	Q. Okay. Now, did you create the	7	a note that he prepared this with some
8	images that are in Exhibit C?	8	numbers so that I can check whether the
9	A. Yes, myself.	9	number of this data sheet was the same as on
10	Q. And what did you receive	10	the slide, on the
11	strike that.	11	Q. Did you have any interaction
12	I take it you received certain	12	with Dr. Kreutzer about how to prepare these
13	materials from Mr. Anderson that allowed you	13	slides?
14	to make these images, correct?	14	A. Not directly.
15	A. I received complete stainings	15	Q. Did you provide information to
16	in a box, each explant were prepared with the	16	anybody to give to Dr. Kreutzer about how to
17	three stainings, three sections.	17	prepare these slides?
18	Q. So by the time you received	18	MR. ANDERSON: Other than
19	them, the slides had already been stained?	19	conversations with me?
20	A. Yes.	20	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
21	Q. And what stainings were done?	21	Q. Let me ask it this way.
22	A. HE, this is this one with the	22	Doctor, do you know how
23	red color, and there is an additional	23	Dr. Kreutzer prepared these slides?
24	staining with a specific antibody S100 that	24	MR. ANDERSON: Objection to
			•
	Page 539		Page 541
1	marks nerves or nerval structures. This is a	1	form.
2	link to a brown color so in these you have	2	Go ahead.
3	this brown color where the S100 is positive.	3	THE WITNESS: I don't know in
4	Q. Okay. Is the HE two different	4	detail, but this staining HE is a
5	stains or just one?	5	normal procedure for every
6	A. No, it's two.	6	pathological department and the doing
7	Q. Okay.	7	of S100 staining is a it's a
8	A. Two.	8	standard procedure. Maybe I can use
9	O So you have one two	9	
	Q. So you have one two	"	the word "standard" in this context.
10	A. No, it is two colors that are	10	the word "standard" in this context. It is published in all of the reports
10 11	•		
	A. No, it is two colors that are	10	It is published in all of the reports
11	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter	10 11	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is
11 12	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining.	10 11 12	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two.
11 12 13	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that	10 11 12 13	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
11 12 13 14	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides?	10 11 12 13 14	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training,
11 12 13 14 15	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides? MR. ANDERSON: Three of each.	10 11 12 13 14 15	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training, if you know? I've forgotten.
11 12 13 14 15	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides? MR. ANDERSON: Three of each. THE WITNESS: Three of each.	10 11 12 13 14 15	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training, if you know? I've forgotten. A. He's pathologist.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides? MR. ANDERSON: Three of each. THE WITNESS: Three of each. Three HE from patient or from case	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training, if you know? I've forgotten. A. He's pathologist. Q. That's what I thought.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides? MR. ANDERSON: Three of each. THE WITNESS: Three of each. Three HE from patient or from case one, and three S100 from case one.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training, if you know? I've forgotten. A. He's pathologist. Q. That's what I thought. And he's in Connecticut.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides? MR. ANDERSON: Three of each. THE WITNESS: Three of each. Three HE from patient or from case one, and three S100 from case one. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training, if you know? I've forgotten. A. He's pathologist. Q. That's what I thought. And he's in Connecticut. Have you ever met him?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides? MR. ANDERSON: Three of each. THE WITNESS: Three of each. Three HE from patient or from case one, and three \$100 from case one. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. I see.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training, if you know? I've forgotten. A. He's pathologist. Q. That's what I thought. And he's in Connecticut. Have you ever met him? A. No.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides? MR. ANDERSON: Three of each. THE WITNESS: Three of each. Three HE from patient or from case one, and three S100 from case one. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. I see. So you have six slides for each	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training, if you know? I've forgotten. A. He's pathologist. Q. That's what I thought. And he's in Connecticut. Have you ever met him? A. No. Q. Spoken to him?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No, it is two colors that are brought to one section. So it's a counter staining. Q. You told me, I thought, that you had three slides? MR. ANDERSON: Three of each. THE WITNESS: Three of each. Three HE from patient or from case one, and three S100 from case one. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. I see. So you have six slides for each patient?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is published in all of the reports where we presented these data. It is no specific knowledge to do these two. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. What's Dr. Kreutzer's training, if you know? I've forgotten. A. He's pathologist. Q. That's what I thought. And he's in Connecticut. Have you ever met him? A. No. Q. Spoken to him? A. No.

Page 542 Page 544 1 that he presented to you? In the middle of the first image on 2 2 A. No. Exhibit C, there's a measurement of 3 Have you ever seen any written 3 168.53 microns. analysis by Dr. Kreutzer of the images that 4 Can you tell from looking at 5 are attached as Exhibit C to your report? this image what the magnification is? 6 6 The magnification would be 40 A. No. A. 7 7 or 100. 0. Tell me again what an HE slide 8 8 O. Okay. Now -is. 9 9 A. HE slide is a staining of cells A. When I saved the images, I name 10 it so usually I get it from the name. and of extra cellular matrix, mainly of 10 collagen. So you have a blue color for the 11 11 I believe that one doesn't have 12 nucleus of the cells to identify the cells 12 any scale on it at all. 13 and you have a red staining mainly for the 13 Yeah, sometimes I forgot it. 14 collagen and, yeah. 14 Sorry. So 200 -- no, 500, 50, this is the 15 15 highest magnification. This is 400, then Let's go to the very first 16 slide that you have, very first image that this is 40. 40. 40 fold magnification. 16 17 you have on Exhibit C. 17 And how did you conclude that? 18 And down in the lower right 18 A. Because I've seen there one 19 19 there is a scale of 500 microns, correct? with the highest magnification and this was 20 20 400. And so in this the scale was only 50 A. Yes. 21 And what's the magnification of 21 and here we have 500 so it is one-tenth. O. 22 22 this, do you know? Now, you made these images 23 A. I don't know in this, but when 23 yourself from a scanning electron microscope? I made the image there, I was asked -- I 24 No, from a conventional light A. Page 543 Page 545 usually took the 40 magnification, 100, 200, microscope. 2 2 400. These are the options at the O. And where did you have that 3 microscope. And then the analyzing system light microscope? asked me which magnification was done there 4 In our lab on the third level 5 and then they put into the slide this scaling in room 45 at -- no, ward 45, room 1. On a 6 there. desk, we have two of them and on the left, 7 7 there is a camera on it to make these images. So to place the scaling there, 8 I had to answer the correct magnification. Thank you, Doctor. 9 There are only four or five. So we have a 9 The first image to Exhibit C, I look through the different things, then, of 10 10 believe you said the red area depicts 11 course, we will see whether it's the 400 or 11 collagen. 12 the 40. 12 A. It's mainly collagen, yeah. 13 13 O. Well, there are different -- I And what does the tan area Q. 14 see different scales throughout these 14 represent? 15 15 photographs. A. The tan? 16 It's 40, 100, 200, 400 16 A. This area over here, I call Q. 17 17 magnification. tan. 18 So as I recall, these are the 18 Here? A. 19 four different magnifications and there will 19 Yes. Q. 20 20 be correspondingly four different scales What is that? 21 21 There is nothing. No cells here. A. 22 Okay. What does this tell you 22 there. about this first image in Exhibit C with --23 23 Q. Does that mean there's no

tissue?

lower right-hand corner it says 500 microns.

Page 546 1 A. No tissue on this. 2 O. Does that mean the slide does 3 not contain any tissue as you're looking at 4 fashion. it? 5 5 A. Yes. 6 6 Okay. Does that mean that the O. 7 area marked as 168.53 is at the right extreme 8 of the sample you're analyzing? 8 9 In this picture, yes. 9 accordance with the chain of custody A. 10 And what is the area marked as 10 O. forms. 11 168.53? 11 12 12 This is a fragment of the A. all of it? 13 polymer fibers. When looking to the slides, 13 the first thing I try to do is to measure the 14 14 15 15 diameter of these fragments so I know that 16 16 there is a -- the cutting of these fragments 17 17 is not directly horizontal to the course of 18 18 the fibers. 19 19 But to have a rough impression 20 what is a diameter of the fiber that it is in 20 21 21 the area that I expect to be there. 22 22 O. Doctor --23 23 Α. So that is around 150 microns. 24 24 Q. Okay. Now, do you believe that Page 547 this -- is this a mesh fiber, is that what 1 2 2 you're saying? 3 A. It is consistent with the --3 4 4 with the fact that the -- that this fiber has the diameter of 160. If I would have seen a 5 6 6

Page 548 I have the information of this table, yeah, but usually I look to the images and without any knowledge so in a blind Q. I understand. Did you prepare the table which is prepared as the last page of your report? MR. ANDERSON: I prepared it in

MR. THOMAS: Did you prepare

MR. ANDERSON: Well, I had to get his ID number and the Jordi ID number and the Steelgate specimen number and all of the information that Steelgate had in conjunction with the various other information so that I could assimilate his -- the information that he provided on his ID number, and then everything from N to R he prepared or gave the information.

So the reason for doing this was to make it A, easier to keep up

polymer with only 4 microns from this, then I would have some doubts that it's the right material.

But this finding is consistent with a polypropylene fiber of a TVT®-O or TVT®.

Q. So what does this mean to you? What's the significance of this finding on this slide which is the first slide of Exhibit 3 to your report?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It's consistent with the assumption that it's a TVT®-O or a TVT®. If it would have been 250 or 300 microns, then I should to rethink about it. It's just a confirmation that I really just got what was written in the table.

And so you're consulting a table as you review these images; is that correct?

with the chain of custody so that you could see where it went from explant to his hands. It would also be consistent with the chain of custody forms that Mr. Snell and I agreed to and so it would make it easier at this deposition for you if you wanted to look at a particular device or

whatever and be able to compare them.

So that was the effort that I put forth in order to try to put the information of all of the slides in one spot for both you and I.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And the identifier for these slides is in the lower left-hand corner; is that correct?
- Α. That is the number or the coating that I found on the slide, on the stainings, yeah.
- Was this number already on the staining or did you have to add it to this document?
 - A. No. I used -- I used -- I used

Page 549

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- the number from the stainings from Professor
 Kreutzer and then I placed them or I named
- ³ the images according to this number and added
- the sort of staining and added the

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- ⁵ magnification in the name of the slides.
 - Q. Did you receive all -- did you see -- is 22 slides all you received or did you receive any more than that? Excuse me, strike that.

Did you receive slides from 22 separate patients, or did you receive more than that?

A. No, 21, 22 cases, different cases.

MR. THOMAS: Can we take a break a second, please?

MR. ANDERSON: Sure.

(Off the record at 2:42 p.m.)

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Doctor, looking again at the first image of Exhibit C to your report, what of significance do you find in this image related to adverse reaction to mesh?
 - A. Just to explain I looked

cell wall around, and in these cells, the
 nucleus is at the bottom and you have an area
 in the middle where the fatty acids have been
 and, therefore, it is bright. You don't see
 significant structures in the fat tissue.

Page 552

Page 553

Q. Okay. So you showed me the fat tissue and the collagen and you've also shown me the polymer fiber.

What else is of significance in the first slide of Exhibit C?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't point out any others.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Okay. When you looked at the 22 different patients you said that you developed some parameters.

What does that mean?

A. The parameters I want -- in general, this -- looking at these samples I want to get a confirmation that what we have seen in all these animal slides, what we have seen in the human explants from the abdominal

Page 551

- through all of these stainings there and I
- ² define some parameters which I've been
- ³ looking at and these I put in this table and
- then I made some exemplary images of every
- 5 case. It's even at this low magnification,
- ⁶ it is just one small part of the section.
- ⁷ The section is much bigger, and, therefore, I
- just want to cover the general impression of
- any of these findings that are on the tableby these images.

So what you see here is appearance of a polymer fiber in a size that we expected. You see some fat tissue there at the lower part and you see extensive tissue here very close to this fiber. And this is indicated by the red color. This is the content of this image.

- Q. Is fat tissue and collagen the same thing?
- A. No. The fat tissue is this lower part by the fixation, by the staining. Essentially the fatty liquids are removed so you have almost empty spaces there and you can identify fat cells that you only have the

wall that this is confirmed by explants

- provided by Professor Kreutzer, as well and,
- therefore, the first is that I look to the
- ⁴ fiber size, I try to measure it, that I
- really am sure that it's a monofilament -- a monofilament in a size that has to be
- 7 expected there, that was the first.

The second is the bridging, whether I see pores, the room between two filaments that are filled with fat and I made a coating to get or to differentiate whether these pores are frequently seen, rarely seen, always seen or never seen.

The next was whether there was some sign of folding on shrinkage. The main structure should be -- if there is no folding and shrinkage, should be in a plain way detectable in these stainings or shouldn't be in a folded or in a wave-like position.

If I saw somewhere at the mesh that there is a doubling of the structures or there is a configuration that cannot be explained by a plain positioning, then I marked it with a yes.

Page 554 Page 556 1 And then finally I looked at 1 Slides. 2 the S100 stainings, whether there are some THE WITNESS: Slides. 3 3 nerves in the -- within the scar area of The data explants from Professor Klosterhalfen. surrounding the mesh because in former times, there has been the discussion the big nerves **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 6 are not in this area, therefore, it is That's better. I thought he said explants. That's -- so the only thing impossible that the mesh interacts with some 8 vou received from Dr. Kreutzer were the nerves and for this purpose, I just mentioned 9 whether there are some nerves, yes or not, 9 slides? 10 and in fact, you see nerves in this -- small 10 A. Yes. 11 nerves that cannot be visualized by the 11 Now, the information that you O. 12 surgeon during the operation, but you have 12 received from Dr. Klosterhalfen was the data 13 there some nerve structures very close to that he generated from his analysis of his 14 14 this wound. explant collection, correct? 15 15 No, I got his data and I had These are the four points and A. 16 16 every slide I analyzed to get an opinion on the opportunity to have a look at some 17 these four things, for every case. 17 stainings in Düren as well. 18 The parameters that you've just 18 Q. Okay. 19 19 described, are those parameters unique to A. So I've seen it. 20 this case? 20 But just so I understand, the 21 21 A. Yes, unique. stainings that you looked at in Düren were 22 Okay. And why did you pick 22 stainings that Dr. Klosterhalfen had already O. 23 23 those parameters? prepared and analyzed; is that true? 24 24 Because the value of this -- of A. A. Yes. Page 555 Page 557 these explants is that they allow me to 1 Q. And reported on his findings 2 confirm that -- or to test whether what we for those stainings? 3 have seen in the animal tissues, in the human Yes, but in the moment, I tissues of the abdominal wall, whether this didn't know it. He just placed these tissues is true for these. I had the data from to me and so, yeah. 6 6 Professor Klosterhalfen from his explants and Q. Okay. 7 it already indicated that it is similar or I could not relate it to the 8 comparable and now I personally have the databases. It was -- again, it was one way 9 option to test -- to have it tested at these to test whether this was confirmed in these 10 10 22 sections. tissues with these explants what we -- what 11 11 our points of concern are. Yeah, overall, in fact, you see 12 this extended bridging there, you see that 12 What form did the information 13 the distance between the fibers is less than 13 take that Professor Klosterhalfen gave to 14 14 these 1,400 microns. So it is -- it you, the data that you talked about? 15 15 underlines, again, that it is irrelevant to Is it a chart or is it 16 16 discuss these 1,400 because if you look to information on the -- that contains his 17 the tissues, you see this bridging with these 17 information -- strike that. 18 18 devices. Did Professor Klosterhalfen 19 19 Okay. You said a number of give to you a document that detailed his 20 20 things in your answer I want to talk about. analytical findings from his explant

21

22

23

24

collection?

Α.

had some of his findings.

You mentioned you received some

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

explants from Professor Kreutzer; is that

21

22

23

24

right?

I had an Excel sheet where he

Is this the Excel sheet we

Page 558 Page 560 talked about last year, the same sort of correlates to the 473, I forget the 2 2 thing that you have on your computer? number, pelvic floor explants in Düren 3 3 Similar. Similar. that he's referencing now. Α. 4 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** Q. Is it the same document, just 5 more explants? 5 Okay. And you were relying on 6 No. No. We talked last year 6 A. the data generated by Professor Klosterhalfen 7 in part for your opinions that you're giving about only explants, full explants, of the abdominal wall. from your review of these slides: is that 8 9 9 Q. Okay. fair? 10 10 A. Now it is in other Excel sheet A. There is no -- I don't for the explants from the pelvic floor. 11 11 understand whether you see a relation to 12 12 Okay. And did you analyze the this. We have our experience that bridging 13 data provided to you by Professor is important and so on, and we have tested by Klosterhalfen to understand the complications 14 our own explants. I took the opportunity to 15 which occur from mesh implants in a pelvic have it controlled in Düren. I took the 16 16 opportunity to have it tested by the data floor? 17 17 sheet of his and then I took the opportunity A. I made an analysis of this data 18 in regard -- or to see whether these data 18 to have it tested -- test our opinions, our 19 19 confirm our opinions and our experience from experience at the 21 cases that I got from 20 the abdominal call. 20 Professor Kreutzer and finally eventually I 21 21 In addition to the Excel took the opportunity to check this at the 22 22 spreadsheet that you have of Professor last 22nd of this case. Klosterhalfen's findings, you also went over 23 23 So it is subsequently permanent to Düren and looked at some slides, correct? confirm -- or looking for a confirmation or a Page 559 Page 561 A. 1 Yes. rejection of what we know. 2 2 And just so I understand, this O. Did you look at all of the 3 slides that he had? is the collection of explants maintained by 4 Professor Klosterhalfen in Düren that I'm not No. A. 5 How many did you look at? 5 allowed to see; is that true? Q. 6 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to 6 A. About 20 to 30. 7 7 Why did you look at 20 to 30 O. form. 8 8 slides? MR. THOMAS: It's true, isn't 9 9 A. To have it seen by my personal it? 10 10 eyes. **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 11 11 Okay. Have you produced to us Q. This is the collection 12 the Excel spreadsheet with the data that you protected by German privacy laws that limits 13 received from Professor Klosterhalfen? 13 and prohibits me from looking at it without 14 14 permission from the patient. A. Not that I recall. 15 15 Do you know the answer to that? MR. ANDERSON: You have it. 16 16 A. I have read it, but I'm not It was the one that we produced 17 17 to you right before Klosterhalfen's familiar --18 18 depo and that was the one that Henry Q. I'm talking about whether I can 19 also gave to you at the depo or showed 19 see it. 20 20 you at the depo. Α. What? 21 21 MR. THOMAS: That's the I'm not an expert what are the 22 Klosterhalfen exhibit from the BARD 22 legal steps to go over there and to do so. I read it in the depo form. 23 23 litigation.

24

Q.

MR. ANDERSON: Correct. That

24

Now, when you were at the

	Page 562		Page 564
1	university, you were a surgeon and you	1	to get an impression whether there are some
2	practiced as a surgeon until 2006, correct?	2	nerves or not. And we're not or the main
3	A. I am a surgeon and practiced	3	focus of our research was not to specify
4	until 2006.	4	there whether it's neurofilaments or there
5	Q. You did not work for the	5	are so many different options to use
6	Institute of Pathology?	6	antibodies against nerves so we selected
7	A. No.	7	S100.
8	Q. And that was Dr. Klosterhalfen	8	Q. Okay. You and
9	who worked in the Institute of Pathology and	9	Dr. Klosterhalfen decided which staining
10	collaborated with you on your work?	10	method to use?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. Mainly he decided. I think we
12	Q. Are you permitted at the	12	started at the in the '90s and he proposed
13	hospital where you work to sign pathology	13	to use S100 because this was established in
14	reports?	14	the Institute for Pathology and we got good
15	A. No, I'm not permitted to do.	15	images and good information from this and,
16	Q. Did you have a residency in	16	therefore, it is still widely used and we are
17	pathology?	17	satisfied with it.
18	A. No.	18	Q. Doctor, isn't it true that
19	Q. Did you have a fellowship in	19	normal vaginal tissue contains nerve fibers?
20	pathology?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. No.	21	Q. And so the fact that your
22	Q. Have you ever been an editor or	22	staining picks up nerve fibers is not
23	reviewer of a pathology journal?	23	remarkable by itself?
24	A. No.	24	A. As I told you, the intention to
	Page 563		Page 565
1	Q. Are you familiar with the	1	look for these fibers has been discussions we
2	staining technique known as neurofilament	2	had that someone is standing up and said,
3	staining?	3	okay, it cannot be that someone some
4	A. I've read it and I know that it	4	patient has some pain because the big nerves
5	exists.	5	we took care during the operation and the big
6	Q. Have you ever used	6	nerves are far away and that just to
7	neurofilament staining?	7	demonstrate to an audience that there are
8	A. Not that I recall in the recent	8	these tiny nerves that cannot be seen by any
9	time.	9	surgeon when he's in this field, we just made
10	Q. What is the purpose of	10	it for this purpose and, therefore, we didn't
11	neurofilament staining?	11	make any further analysis. Just yes, no, and
12	A. It's another option to	12	as you said earlier, everyone knows that
13	visualize nerval structures. More specific.	13	these nerves are there, but some of my
14	Q. More specific.	14	colleagues they don't want to know it maybe.
15	It can okay. Did you ask	15	Q. What do you mean, "They don't
16	for neurofilament staining of the samples	16	want to know it"? I don't understand.
17	that you looked at?	17	A. They ignore this fact sometimes
18	A. No.	18	in discussions.
19	Q. Why not?	19	Q. They ignore the presence of
20	A. I didn't ask.	20	nerves?
21	Q. Why not?	21	A. Of these small, tiny nerves
22	A. Because in our experience, we	22	there, yeah.
23	made at or we preferred due to the advice	23	Q. I see.
24	of Professor Vlastarhalfan the \$100 staining	2.4	(Vlinga Exhibit 24 marked for

of Professor Klosterhalfen the S100 staining

(Klinge Exhibit 24 marked for

Page 566 Page 568 1 identification.) of some endothelial cells forming vessels. 2 2 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** And what are fibroblasts? 3 3 Doctor, I've handed you what's Fibroblasts are cells that are been marked as Deposition Exhibit Number 24 used to -- mainly their task is make a 5 and ask you if that's the pathology report deposition of collagen there. They are 6 for Carolyn Lewis? very -- or if you have an injury or a damage 7 A. Yes. in the tissue, usually the fibroblasts are 8 called to make an unspecific repair by O. Thank you. I'm sorry, I didn't 9 hear your answer, I apologize. forming scar tissue in this field of damage 10 10 A. I didn't get the question. and defect. It's -- they are the cells 11 MR. ANDERSON: He didn't 11 mainly responsible for the scar tissue and 12 12 answer. He was still looking. has to be differentiated from more 13 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 13 specialized cells as fat tissue, for example. 14 14 Oh, okay. And what is -- what are --15 Did the pathology report inform 15 what's collagen? 16 Collagen is a protein. There 16 your opinions at all about the tissue 17 reaction Ms. Lewis had to the mesh implant? 17 are 13, 16 different collagens. Mainly we 18 I didn't get the -- did the 18 have to deal with collagen 1. That is a 19 pathology -- no, first of all, I looked protein of several helixes and this is 20 afterwards to this pathology report, and as I 20 responsible for the stability of fascia and 21 21 tried to explain, I'm looking to bridging, of skin. It has to be separated from 22 22 folding, nerve contact and this is not collagen type 3. That is a collagen that 23 23 appears usually at the early days of wound included here. But what they describe is the 24 usual appearance and, unfortunately, it's a healing and later on is replaced by this Page 569 Page 567 usual report given by pathology when they get stable collagen 1. An increased amount of 2 an implant, but they never looked to the collagen 3 is an indicator of an impaired 3 pores or the extent of the scar tissue. wound healing and indicator for high risk for 4 So I would expect a similar recurrent hernia. 5 report in Germany. 5 Is the presence of fibroblasts, 6 Q. And just to be clear, there's microcapillary cells and collagen bundles 7 7 nothing remarkable in Exhibit 4 which is the inconsistent with the formation of scar pathology report to Ms. Lewis that the 8 8 plate? 9 pathologist found after the explantation to A. Complete different things. 10 suggest any remarkable tissue reaction with 10 They are -- they contribute to the extent of 11 the mesh; is that true? 11 a scar. 12 12 It is too unspecific. It just But the presence of --Q. 13 confirms that the routine pathology is not 13 MR. ANDERSON: Were you through able to give a detailed description of the 14 14 with your answer? 15 15 tissue reaction to a device. THE WITNESS: What? 16 16 Now, are you able to detect MR. ANDERSON: Were you through 17 17 fibroblasts as you look at these images? with your answer? 18 18 A. Yes, yes, you can see them. THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 Are you able to detect 19 QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. 20 20 microcapillary cells? Q. But the presence of 21 Microcapillary, endothelial, 21 fibroblasts, microcapillary vessels and A. yes, you can see it. 22 22 collagen bundles are an indication of proper

23

24

tissue integration, correct?

You can't decide from the

A.

What is a microcapillary cell?

I assume that you are thinking

23

24

O.

	PIOI. DI. Me		5
	Page 570		Page 572
1	presence of these cells either whether it's a	1	images, but I have to look whether all of
2	scar plate or a scar net. If you stick to	2	these are here or
3	these words or whether it's proper or whether	3	MR. THOMAS: Do you know, Ben?
4	it's inadequate, it's just described that	4	I don't want to spend my time looking
5	there is some scar reaction there, but it	5	through the report and I'm just
6	gives it doesn't give you any hint whether	6	curious. I'll want copies of the I
7	it's sufficient, necessary or too much scar.	7	will want copies of these if they're
8	Q. Have you read the expert report	8	not the same.
9	of Dr. Zing?	9	MR. ANDERSON: I don't know if
10	A. Yes.	10	all of them are the same, but
11	Q. Okay. And do you agree with	11	certainly have an agreement with Burt
12	the findings of Dr. Zing?	12	that we can that we need to swap
13	MR. ANDERSON: Well, objection.	13	slides. Zing's come to me, mine go to
14	Which ones? It's a long report.	14	you.
15	Which ones?	15	MR. THOMAS: Okay. I didn't
16	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	16	know that.
17	Q. Just generally, do you agree	17	MR. ANDERSON: Yeah, you-all
18	with the findings of Dr. Zing?	18	need to talk more.
19	A. I need to go to the paper	19	Yeah, so you'll have the
20	otherwise.	20	opportunity to or have your guy
21		21	look at ours and I need your guy I
22	•	22	
23	anything in the report that you disagreed with?	23	need yours, too. We just need to get that worked out.
24		24	MR. THOMAS: You need the
	MR. ANDERSON: Again,		WK. THOWAS. Tou need the
	Page 571		Page 573
1	objection.	1	slides
2	MR. THOMAS: I understand.	2	MR. ANDERSON: The slides.
3	MR. ANDERSON: Without letting	3	MR. THOMAS: somebody else
4	him see the report.	4	has. They're not mine.
5	THE WITNESS: My rough	5	MR. ANDERSON: So they can be
6	recollection was that he described a	6	observed by someone else that are not
7	lot of things that I can agree to, but	7	yours.
8	my impression was that he didn't have	8	So I can't really answer this
9	a or that his experience in	9	question.
10	comparing tissue reaction to different	10	MR. THOMAS: Okay. We'll just
11	textile structures, that this his	11	make a record of that fact. We're not
12	textile structures, that this his		make a record of that fact. We le not
	experience is limited.	12	sure that the images that appear on
13	•	12 13	
	experience is limited.		sure that the images that appear on
13	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	13	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also
13 14	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether	13 14	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an
13 14 15	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether it is a about the quantity in comparison	13 14 15	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an arrangement whereby we will exchange
13 14 15 16	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether it is a about the quantity in comparison to others, that there are only very few	13 14 15 16	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an arrangement whereby we will exchange slides so that Dr. Zing will have an
13 14 15 16 17	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether it is a about the quantity in comparison	13 14 15 16 17	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an arrangement whereby we will exchange slides so that Dr. Zing will have an opportunity to review what
13 14 15 16 17	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether it is a about the quantity in comparison to others, that there are only very few remarks on it. But otherwise we have to go to	13 14 15 16 17 18	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an arrangement whereby we will exchange slides so that Dr. Zing will have an opportunity to review what Dr. Klinge's reviewed, and Dr. Klinge
13 14 15 16 17 18	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether it is a about the quantity in comparison to others, that there are only very few remarks on it. But otherwise we have to go to Q. Okay. Let's go to page 70 of	13 14 15 16 17 18	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an arrangement whereby we will exchange slides so that Dr. Zing will have an opportunity to review what Dr. Klinge's reviewed, and Dr. Klinge will have an opportunity to review
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether it is a about the quantity in comparison to others, that there are only very few remarks on it. But otherwise we have to go to Q. Okay. Let's go to page 70 of your report.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an arrangement whereby we will exchange slides so that Dr. Zing will have an opportunity to review what Dr. Klinge's reviewed, and Dr. Klinge will have an opportunity to review what Dr. Zing reviewed; is that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether it is a about the quantity in comparison to others, that there are only very few remarks on it. But otherwise we have to go to Q. Okay. Let's go to page 70 of your report. Now, are the images of page 70	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an arrangement whereby we will exchange slides so that Dr. Zing will have an opportunity to review what Dr. Klinge's reviewed, and Dr. Klinge will have an opportunity to review what Dr. Zing reviewed; is that correct?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	experience is limited. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Okay. A. And that his statements whether it is a about the quantity in comparison to others, that there are only very few remarks on it. But otherwise we have to go to Q. Okay. Let's go to page 70 of your report.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sure that the images that appear on pages 70, 71, 72 and 73 and 74 also appear in the appendix C. Mr. Anderson advises that there's an arrangement whereby we will exchange slides so that Dr. Zing will have an opportunity to review what Dr. Klinge's reviewed, and Dr. Klinge will have an opportunity to review what Dr. Zing reviewed; is that

Page 574 Page 576 1 photos and taking up your time, It has been possible to detect polymer 2 without comparing all of these, I know particles better because they start to get 3 3 that some of them are certainly in the bright there in this. 4 back. Q. Let's stay with the three 5 5 images on page 71 for right now. MR. THOMAS: And probably by 6 definition since there are so many of 6 As you look at the three images 7 on page 71 -them, some of them aren't. 8 8 MR. ANDERSON: I don't know if A. Yeah. 9 9 that's true. Q. -- is there anything about 10 10 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** those images that suggests to you that there 11 11 is inadequate tissue integration -- strike So going to page 70, do you 12 know if the images from page 70 are from 12 that. 13 Carolyn Lewis? And the reason why I ask is 13 Looking at the images on 14 on the next page, you refer to her by code 14 page 71, the three right there in a row, is 15 name on page 71 for the first time. 15 there anything about those three images that 16 A. Yes. And, therefore, the first 16 suggests to you that there's an inappropriate 17 images are not from this case. These are 17 inflammatory response to the mesh? 18 from the first 21 cases. And then later on, 18 MR. ANDERSON: Objection to the 19 19 one week later, I got the case BAL 13-23 and, form. therefore, the first images are not from her. 20 20 Go ahead. 21 21 MR. ANDERSON: It's BAL 13-23. THE WITNESS: What you see in 22 22 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** this is in the middle part, it's a 23 23 higher magnification than you see some Q. Why didn't you say Carolyn 24 Lewis in your report? inflammatory infiltrate close to the Page 577 Page 575 polymer that is the typical foreign 1 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. 1 2 2 THE WITNESS: No specific body reaction. **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 3 reason for it. 4 4 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** I am sorry, that's a typical 5 Q. Okay. 5 foreign body reaction? 6 6 A. When I got all this -- all A. Yeah. 7 7 these numbers, I have no problems to use Okay. Thank you. O. 8 these numbers. If I take numbers of -- names Foreign body reaction. 9 here. I'm not allowed -- I'm not On the right side, you see that 10 well-informed about possible consequences 10 there are some fibers, and in between, the 11 11 there. But when I get a slide with a code, I space is completely filled by scar tissue and 12 12 on the left side, you see the lowest take the code. 13 13 magnification, then you see, again, that Dr. Klinge, so the first images 14 14 that relate to Carolyn Lewis appear on there nowhere is a huge area of fat tissue, 15 15 page 71? but all is a scar tissue there. 16 16 Α. So overall, this HE staining Yeah. 17 17 Now, what are the three images confirms that this mesh material completely 18 that appear in the middle of page 71? 18 is integrated in a scar field. 19 19 All these are HE stainings and Okay. We talked earlier about 20 should give you an impression that it's only 20 a scar net or a scar plate? 21 a small part of the tissue there. It's not a 21 Yeah. A. 22 22 big section that has been stained there. And Is it a scar net or a scar Q. 23 a lot of red there, a lot of deposition of 23 plate? 24 24 collagen and I used a polarization filter. A. It is obviously a scar plate

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- because a scar net would require some fatty
- ² tissue in between the filaments. And if
- ³ you're measuring or looking at the distances
- between the filaments, the filament is
- ⁵ 150 microns and the distance is very close
- together. So you can suspect that this is at
- ⁷ the linking part and not in the middle of the
- ⁸ pores, but if you look through the entire
- section, you always find images like here,not the big distances.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Q. Okay. And when you get these slides, has the mesh -- does the mesh typically fall out of the slides before you analyze them?
- A. Usually, it is a very thin section there and by the knives, it take the polymer fibers out and usually they are -- they are out and you only see the cells around.
- Q. Okay. So you actually when you look at the slides, you're looking at a hole as opposed to the polymer?
 - A. Very often, yeah.
 - Q. Can you tell on 71 whether

Page 580

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: In sweeties, it's perfect. There's some sweets made of

collagen. There, it's great.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. I thought collagen deposition between pores was a good thing.

Is that not true?

A. It depends if you have a wound from a burn, then you get an extensive scar formation, and I don't know whether you have seen these images of contractures for these patients. It is a catastrophe. So scar is defect healing, but scar is part of the physiological wound repair as well. It depends on the quality and quantity of the scar.

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

- Q. Describe for me, please, in as detail as you can, what it is about the picture on the left on page 71 that shows you that this is a scar plate?
- A. There is no -- not any or there is no area where I can identify a pore that

Page 579

you're looking at the polymer or the hole?

- A. From these images, it is very small, but I think even if you make it bigger, that you don't see directly the polymer in this, but only the holes.
- Q. Okay. The middle slide depicts a normal fiber foreign body reaction, correct?
 - A. A typical.
- Q. A typical foreign body reaction.

Is there anything else remarkable about the middle slide?

- A. No.
- Q. Now, the slide on the left, you said the red, does that depict collagen?
- A. Yeah. Mainly collagen and this is expressing the scar reaction there.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. It's not the yellow color of the fat tissue, but it's the red color of collagen-rich scar. Fibrotic.
- ²³ Fibroconnective tissue.
 - Q. So I thought collagen was good.

is filled by fat tissue. That is not filled

by scar and our definition of bridging was that the pore is completely filled by scar

4 tissue.

Q. When you say "your definition," that definition accepted generally in the field of pathology?

A. That is the definition that we published since years what I -- what is accepted by the documents from Ethicon I saw, I never realized that there was any objection to this.

Q. My question is: Do you know that the definition that you used is acceptable in the field of pathology?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection.

THE WITNESS: I don't know what is your feeling, what does it mean acceptance in the field of pathology, by whom, in what specific situation?

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Q. Okay. Let's go to the same page on the right side, I would like for you to describe for me, please, what is

Page 581

2

3

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

remarkable about the image on the right side of the three section on page 71?

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

This is a section where you see in the middle the two almost circular holes where it is likely that there -- a polymer fiber has been there. You see on the left side of this image that it's a little bit not circular because you have a diagonal cutting in this area.

On the right-hand side, you may have the impression that there may have been some of the polymer fibers, but it's not clear whether it's a destruction of the tissue there by the cutting process, but, however, all of the tissue in between the fibers it is scar.

Q. Next page, page 72 at the top, there are three images there and the heading says, "Some areas of the polymer showed considerable M homogeneity of the crystal structure that can hint to a present change of the crystal structure."

What does that mean?

As I told you, we have -- we're A.

rejection of the light there.

It is just a -- what I have seen there, I didn't know any literature making deeper studies to relate degradation to this, but I think it is a finding that may offer the option to make investigations using this filter when you want to look at the degradation of polypropylene.

Page 584

Page 585

- Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty that the image in the middle of the three-image set on page 72 is degradation?
- As I tried to explain, there is no other information about the -- or confirmation that degradation can be seen by this but for my -- from my point of view, it is consistent with the opinion that there is some structural change, but I need further confirmation by further ongoing studies to prove this, but I just want to mention this.
- Okay. So just so I'm clear and I can stop asking questions about it, is it fair that you do not have an opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty

Page 583

frequently using this polarization filter because this allows us to differentiate

3 between collagen 1 and collagen 3 or to --

4 yeah. And to identify collagens better.

And another option of this polarization filter is the identification of some smaller particles, and I use this to look whether there are some particles. And if you're looking at the upper row in the right picture, you see that there are very, very small particles there, highlighted there. And it is usually just doing it without the filter, you will not see them because they are almost the same appearance

you really see in a there is a foreign body. On the left, you see a polymer fiber as I would have expected it, you see that it is different. I have no can imagine is that there is some

17 18 19 homogenously as a polymer. In the middle, 20 21 explanation for this. The only explanation I 22 23 heterogeneity or change in the crystal 24 structure that leads to this different

as some cells. But when using the filter,

that the image in the middle of those three is degradation of the polypropylene mesh?

A. Yes.

O. Okay. Is the image in the middle of the page of 72, is that your camera? What is that?

The middle here? A.

> Q. Yes.

Α. No, it's another image of this section where you see the different appearance of the polypropylene.

> I am sorry. Q.

Down there is a polymer which seems to be intact as I would have expected it, and in the middle, you see some light changes of the appearance where I don't have any detailed explanation for the studies. It's a new finding.

- These samples came to you fixed in formalin, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And slides were created. Do you have any idea of the extent to which the creation of the slides

Page 586 Page 588 could create any kinds of particles? 1 section, but in contrast to the 2 2 No. It's -- yes, of course, it particles on the -- in the upper row, 3 3 has to be -- or the type of fixation, the you see that there is a reaction of 4 type of handling can -- or can make some cells around, which is very tied 5 5 together to the surface of this particles. 6 6 So, therefore, in the upper particle and this needs time. So it row, where you see on the left side some very is impossible that this particle is 8 8 small particles there, I cannot be sure the result of the section and it shows 9 whether this is done by the cutting, by the 9 clearly that even these particles have 10 10 preparation of these sections for these the typical foreign body reaction with 11 stainings or whether it has been other 11 the foreign body giant cells and the 12 12 inflammatory infiltrate there. reasons. 13 13 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** But if you're looking to the 14 14 lower part of this, where you see a big What is your opinion with 15 particle, a fragment of the fiber, there you 15 respect to the specific particle? 16 16 see that you already have some surrounding MR. ANDERSON: Other than what 17 17 tissue response there and, therefore, it is he just said? 18 clear that this particle has been implanted 18 MR. THOMAS: Right. 19 19 during the index operation there. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. In 20 20 Okay. Have you finished your addition to what you just said. 21 21 comments and remarks about the top four **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 22 22 images? Any further comments that you 23 23 A. Yes. have about this specific particle? 24 24 Moving now to the image that O. A. No. Page 587 Page 589 you just discussed, the single image at the 1 Can you tell by looking at 2 bottom of page 72, and it says, "Around the the -- what you've described as a particle on page 72 -- strike that. 3 separate particle, the usual tissue reaction 4 4 can be seen is known from the tissue reaction Let's go to the next page. 5 to polymer fibers." Wait a minute. Before we do that, the tissue 6 Do you have an opinion to a reaction to the polymer on page 72, "Consists 7 of an interzone of polymorphous mononuclear

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

reasonable degree of scientific certainty that this was a particle in Mrs. Lewis that came out with her tissue explant?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. ANDERSON: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: I've seen this in the sections which I got and saw this particle in these slides there.

OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Okay. Do you have an opinion as to whether this particle was -- strike that.

Do you have an opinion as to whether this image on page 72 is actually a part of the polymer that was cut?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. THE WITNESS: The polymer always is cut when you make this

inflammatory cells with some confluent foreign body giant cells as a sign of chronic 10 inflammation, mainly located at the interface 11 the polymer."

Does that relate to the image above or the next page?

- A. No, to this above.
- O. And that's what you were discussing before about showing the inflammation evidence that that's been going on for some time? Is that true?
- This is the chronic foreign body reaction that is ongoing lifelong that happens to the filaments but to the particles as well and this is -- yeah.
- Page 73, the top of the page, you have two images, the commentary says,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

4

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- "The thickness of this inflammatory
- 2 infiltrate is around 50 microns, but in some
- 3 areas with close distance between the
- filaments, the entire space completely is
- 5 filled out by this inflammatory infiltrate.
- In some areas, the accumulation of
- inflammatory cells indicates a more active, 8
 - acute inflammatory reaction."

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Tell me what it is about those slides that demonstrate that the entire space is filled out by this inflammatory infiltrate.

- A. The fact that the entire space between the filaments is completely filled out by this infiltrate is not reflected in these two images.
- Okay. What is depicted in O. these images?
- A. You see on the left, you see that I tried to measure the wall, the -yeah, the inflammatory infiltrate, the thickness of the inflammatory infiltrate very close to the fiber and measured a distance of about 50 microns here.

Page 591

On the left side close to the polymer, you see some foreign body giant cells in the red -- in the right picture --

Let's stop on the left for a Q. second.

How many foreign body giant cells do vou see?

- I didn't count them. A.
- Q. How do you determine what they are?
- They are cells that -- that has Α. confluent nucleus, more than one nucleus, and you have to go to the microscope and have to go through the depth to identify whether it's a giant cell, yes or not. Otherwise, it can be single cells laying over another.
- You've used the term "inflammatory infiltrate."

What is included in inflammatory infiltrate?

The inflammatory infiltrate, it can be best seen on the right side where you see a lot of these blue nucleus of these cells. These cells indicate this

Page 592 inflammatory infiltrate, and as we discussed

- yesterday, it is a wide field to identify
- which cells are specifically there. Usually
- there's about 40 percent that are positive
- for markers that represent macrophages.

There are 30, 40 percent positive for markers

that are related to lymphocytes as the main cells, but what's the name is specifically,

we're still working on it.

- O. But the presence of the inflammatory infiltrate itself is normal; is that correct?
- A. Normal as it is in principle, as it is compulsory of every foreign body reaction.
- O. What is abnormal about --MR. ANDERSON: Hold on. QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
- Did I interrupt you? I didn't mean to.
- A. In quantity, in quality, that there is it, it is normal. If you mean is it normal in quantity, yeah. For heavy-weight, for a polypropylene, it is quantity that we

Page 593

can expect.

If you have other polymers, you won't expect so much.

- Q. Okay. What is it about the nature of the inflammatory infiltrate that you see at the top of page 73 creates a risk of complications to Ms. Lewis?
- The inflammatory infiltrate reflects an area of increased tissue remodelling. So you have an increased number of cell dying there in -- you have an increased turnover, you have an increased proliferation of these cells there because these inflammatory infiltrate has a more rapid turnover than a -- than other tissues.
 - Is what you see --O.
 - And, sorry --A.
 - Q. I apologize.

A. And, therefore, the presence of an inflammatory infiltrate, that means that there is a chronic wound, means an intensified cell turnover with possible late risks, but -- and an increased risk for migration of the implant.

Page 594 Page 596 1 **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 1 you mean plane? 2 2 There was no evidence in the THE WITNESS: A plane, yeah. 3 3 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** Carolyn Lewis case that there was a migration of the implant, was there? So is it fair to understand 5 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. that it's your opinion that your microscopic 6 review of these slides shows you that there THE WITNESS: I cannot state 7 this from these sections. was folding demonstrated, but this slide 8 that's in the middle, this image that's in **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 9 9 Q. Okay. the middle of page 73 does not show that? 10 10 A. It's impossible. A. That is -- that is correct. 11 Can you state that from your 11 O. And you need to see the entire O. review of the medical records in the case? 12 12 field in order to understand what you believe 13 Do you know that? to be folding demonstrated by that slide? 14 14 Whether it was a real A. That is correct. A. 15 15 migration? 0. Is the rest of the action --16 16 Q. Yes. excuse me. 17 17 Of this? No. Is the rest of the reaction in Α. 18 O. Okay. Are the findings that 18 the middle of page 73 that you've described 19 19 you've described in response to my questions consistent with the foreign body reaction to 20 concerning the images at the top of page 23 20 every heavy-weight, small pore mesh? 21 consistent with the reaction that any 21 Yes. A. 22 polypropylene mesh would have? 22 Q. It is? 23 23 With any heavy-weight, small A. Yes. pore polypropylene meshes, they're completely Thank you. Q. Page 595 Page 597 1 1 consistent. MR. ANDERSON: He was waiting 2 2 Q. Okay. Middle of page 73, what to make sure you distinguish 3 do we see? 3 heavy-weight, small pore. That's why 4 4 he was smiling. We see, again, the holes where 5 the polymers has been. You see an 5 **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 6 inflammatory infiltrate around it and it 6 Page 73, the lower image, what 7 7 is -- it is an area where a folding and does the lower image depict? 8 doubling of the layers can be seen, but not A. This is an image, I believe, 8 9 in this image, unfortunately, because we are that the -- the expression of folding is 10 10 limited with -- the lowest magnification is related to the lower image there. There you 11 11 40, and, therefore, it is impossible to get a have the magnification of 40 and there you 12 good overview. To really -- either -- no, have a wider view of the meshes. And but in 13 except of looking at the slides with a 13 reality when you look to the section, you see 14 microscope where you can see that the 14 further on where the mesh is going to. Here 15 configuration of the meshes are not in a 15 you have -- you see several places where the 16 plane area any longer, the alternative would 16 polymer has been -- this is hardly to believe 17 be to make five, six images and to place them 17 that this is the mesh with the -- in plane 18 18 together. area without doubling or folding that you get 19 19 As we made it, we have seen it this section here. 20 20 already in some of the old documents where we And help me, I am sorry, it's

21

22

23

24

made these long combination of various

MR. ANDERSON: You said plane,

pictures to see the configuration of the

21

22

23

24

meshes.

either late in the day or I'm not very smart,

maybe both. The white areas in those, are

These are some of the few parts

those actual polymers?

A.

- where the polymers are still in place. The
- 2 others you only see the holes, but, of
- course, there has been some polymers now
- laying in between or being removed by the
- 5 knife.

6

- Q. So in order for you to conclude that there's been folding here, you count
- 8 both the polymers that you see and the holes
- 9 where you suggest that polymers have been and 10
- conclude from that that there had to be 11 folding?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Anything more than that that supports your contention that there's 14
- 15 folding?

21 22

24

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16 17

- 16 A. No. It is the appearance of 17 the holes where the polymers has been and the 18 geometrical configuration of these in a 19 section.
- 20 Is there anything else Q. remarkable about the lower slide on page 73 other than your testimony about the folding?
- 23 A. No.
 - Q. Is the foreign body reaction

Page 600

- Not for me, but there are people who are -- for whom this is an 3 important message.
- Q. In the slides that you analyzed for Ms. Lewis, did you find any evidence of a 6 neuroma?
 - A. No.

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Did you find any evidence of O. infection?
- A. If I remember correctly, there was an area where you have an enhanced or where you have an intensified inflammatory infiltrate in this field. I know from Professor Klosterhalfen that the definition of infection sometimes is only the appearance of some more inflammatory cells than usually so, therefore, I cannot state it for sure that there has been one.
- Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of scientific certainty based on your review of the slides that's been provided to you that Ms. Lewis has an infection because of her mesh?
 - I don't have sure proof that A.

Page 599

- depicted in the lower slide on page 73
- 2 consistent with heavy-weight, small pore
- 3 meshes?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On page 73 at the top, what do we see?
 - A. This is an S100 staining and in the little of the left part of the image,
- 9 there I expect there has been a polymer. It 10 was removed by the preparation, and you have
- 11 some areas with a brown staining there and
- 12 this is consistent with the presence of a
- 13 nerve in the area.

As we have three sections which are very close to each other, if you're looking to all three sections with S100, you see that it's not an artifact -- an

- 18 artificial staining in one slide, but it is 19 an ongoing structure going through all of
- these three slides so that I have no doubts 20
- 21 that there's a small nerve.
- 22 Okay. And as we said before, 23 the mere presence of a nerve is not
- 24 remarkable by itself?

there was an infection.

- So is it fair to understand you don't have such an opinion?
- Have an opinion that I did not A. see it.
 - O. Great.

MR. THOMAS: Can we take a break, please?

(Off the record at 3:54 p.m.)

QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:

Doctor, I want to direct your attention to the chart that appears at the end of your report where you compile your findings from the -- your review of the slides and the spreadsheet has columns O, P, Q, R where you record what you found from your review of the slides.

Is that correct?

- A. That is correct.
- The first column P says bridging, 1, less than 5 percent; 2, 5 to 30 percent; 3, 30 to 80 percent; and 4, greater than 80 percent.
 - How did you measure that?

Page 601

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

23

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- If you look to the entire section, you have some areas where you can identify something like a pore because you see some filament on the one side and on the
- 5 other side. And if you look to the space in
- between two adjacent filaments, then you can
- assume this to be a pore. And if this is
- 8 filled, if the area between the two
- neighboring filaments, if this is filled by
- 10 fat tissue, I notice this in this chart and I 11 only saw one or two times in all these
- 12 sections that I got the image where I saw two 13 filaments and the space in between was not

14 filled by scar tissue. 15

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So if I code this with more than 80 percent, then you got in all these sections four.

- Is 80 percent consistent with what you've described as scar plate formation?
- Scar plate formation has been a A. 22 term in a specific period of time. I think it's consistent.
 - O. Okay. Well, do you use a

in scar tissue as well. So it means that you

- have scar and scar is mainly consistent with collagen leading to wound -- or being
- major -- majorly important for the wound
- contraction and some fibroblasts there and,
- of course, vessels. The only area where you
 - hardly have vessels is very, very close to the polymer fiber.

But the appearance of vessels is no indicator of scar plate or scar net

- Do you know whether this O. scaling that you've done for the bridging is a method that's generally accepted by pathologists to look at mesh explants?
- I made this scaling just for these cases to give -- to be able to give an impression of what I've seen there.
- 19 What is the significance in 20 your judgment of scaling of less than 5 21 percent? Excuse me, strike that. 22

What is -- what is the significance in your judgment of bridging less than 5 percent?

Page 603

different term now to describe what you find when you find bridging at greater than

80 percent?

You have to be very careful when using the term "scar plate" because some people are thinking of the macroscopically appearance and some are thinking of the microscopically appearance. So if you made it clear, no problem with this, but you have to be very precise in the definition of what you're thinking of.

Overall, this is completely in accordance what we expect that we have predominantly bridged or this -- these pores filled by scar tissue, yeah.

MR. ANDERSON: So the first one was macroscopically and then you said microscopically.

THE WITNESS: Microscopically. **QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:**

- So when you say 80 percent filled with scar, does that mean that there is not room for capillary vessels?
 - No. You have capillary vessels

Page 605

Page 604

- You have to understand what we tried in these 15, 20 years is not only to make a qualitative description of the tissue reaction but to find some quantitative an -or way to make a quantitative analysis there. And this is very difficult because from the methods. So, therefore, we make -- we introduced -- there has been some time when we use image analyzing. Now we're coming back to this coding, and the coding less than 11 5 percent means usually that you never see a bridging in this specimen.
 - Why do you use 5 to 10 percent as your next range?
 - It is for scientific reasons A. you should have at least four different scoring levels, otherwise, you very likely go to the middle and then you will not see any difference so you need at least four different levels and you have to start from the extremes always and none and then you have to fill in between. I have no problem to make it different. And I made this coding before looking to the images, and, therefore,

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 606

I was bound to this.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

- Q. Have you ever used this type of coding before in analyzing mesh explants?
- A. We used such a type of coding very, very often to give a semi-quantitative analysis of our staining, yeah.
- Q. When you say "we," who do you mean?
- A. I, in my projects where we analyze these tissue samples, that is a major aspect before we starting the analysis to define the parameters and to define the coding, how to make the readout there.
- Q. Okay. Do you always use the same numbers?
- A. No. It varies from the specific question there, but it is about four to five.
- Q. Okay. Under folding or shrinkage, that's "or," so if it's either folding or shrinkage, you capture it, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Didn't we decide that every

if you identified shrinkage of 20 percent, would that be a positive finding in your chart?

- A. I didn't measure the degree of shrinkage. It was not possible to do so. It was just a configuration of the mesh.
- Q. So if you saw any shrinkage at all, it would be a positive finding?
- A. If I had the impression that the configuration of the mesh changes by pushing together, going to waves or by doubling, these are the two different things that indicates either shrinkage, pushing it together, or folding --
- Q. The only reason I'm asking, Doctor, is because I thought we decided that all wounds shrink to some extent, generally at least 20 percent.

And so as I understood your testimony earlier, that means that every mesh explanted would be a positive finding here.

A. Sorry, it may be my fault that I call it shrinkage. I should have named it waving form or deformation of the shape due

Page 609

Page 608

Page 607

mesh is going to fold -- excuse me, didn't we decide that every mesh is going to shrink

approximately 20 percent?

A. What I have been thinking of when looking for this folding was a double-layer structure which I cannot explain by the video I saw where the sling is implanted in a plane area, but when you have the impression that you have two or three layers of mesh materials on top of each other, I would say that there's a folding.

And shrinkage is if you have a configuration as a -- with a folding there in this area.

- Q. So this says "folding or shrinkage."
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. If you found shrinkage of20 percent, would that be a positive finding?
- A. This is a description of what can be seen there. What is the appearance of --
 - Q. I understand that.
 What I'm trying to understand

to shrinkage.

Q. Okay. The last category, "Nerve contact within one millimeter of sling."

Have we -- do we agree that there's nothing remarkable about nerve contact in itself? The nerves are going to be --

- A. The fact that there are nerves in this place is not remarkable. The fact that these nerves are laying in this scar tissue gives a good explanation why some patients have chronic pain.
- Q. Okay. And if PVDF mesh is placed for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence and comes in contact with a nerve, you would have the same risk of chronic pain, correct?

MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: I would assume that if the nerve is laying in the fields of scar that is close to PVDF slings that there will be the chance

	TIOI. DI. MC		<u> </u>
	Page 610		Page 612
1	for chronic pain as well.	1	a slide, that's the only thing I can
2	However, the overall chance to	2	think of. If that makes sense.
3	get entrapped into scar tissue, I	3	MR. THOMAS: I just want to
4	would expect is much lower and,	4	
5	therefore, the risk for pain is much	5	9
6	lower when using large pore PVDF	6	<u>-</u>
7	structures.	7	
8	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	8	•
9	Q. But we don't know that until we	9	9 significant.
10	study it, correct?	10	
11	A. We note from all our	11	•
12	experience, from all our work that the risk	12	
13	for chronic pain decreases by using large	13	
14	pore structures and decreasing the amount of	14	
15	inflammatory reaction, yes, we know it	15	
16	already.	16	
17	Q. From animal studies?	17	<u> </u>
18	A. No. From clinical studies as	18	
19	well. We can go back to the guidelines where	19	
20	it is favored, the advantage of large pore	20	
21	meshes because of less chronic pain.	21	
22	Q. Have we covered all of your	22	
23	opinions with respect to Carolyn Lewis?	23	•
24	MR. ANDERSON: Objection.	24	
	Page 611	,	Page 613
1	THE WITNESS: I have the	1	The grid says 13 23 and then the
2	impression that we covered a lot.	2	mages correspond. That's one of the
3	There are	3	reasons i gave that to you to try to
5	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	4	make that a fittle easier.
	Q. I'm talking about Carolyn Lewis	5	WIR. THOWAS. Thank you.
6	specific to the mesh analysis that you did.	6	The they in order:
7	Have we covered it all?	7	WIR. ANDLESSON. They ie in
8	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. With	8	order. Tours is in order or time
9	"whether you've covered it all."	10	gira, but hers should be last.
10	MR. THOMAS: Well, I'm trying	10	with Thomas. They ie not. 140.
11	to go to his report, Ben, and I think	11	WIR. THAD ERBOTA. They ie grouped
12	I've covered every sentence in the	12	together.
13	report that deals with the mesh. If	13	init. IIIOmib. Okuy.
14	there's something that's in the report	14	MIK. MIDERSOIV. There we go. II
15	that I don't know about	15	you mid the dark ones, it makes it
16	MR. ANDERSON: The only issue,	16	cusion.
17	Dave, is that he said that a lot of	17	WIR. THOWARD. The first one that
18	the slides that you can't put those	18	Thave here appears to be and
19	types of fields into a one-dimensional	19	they to not numbered so I can't give
20	report, and I told you that I would	20	you a page number, out in Exmort 11,
21	provide you mine and you were going to	21	the first one that I have appears to
100	send me your guy's. So whether or not	22	match the one on page 71.
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	~ -	
23	there are similar but expanded	23	WIR. AND ERSON. And that's wify
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23	WIK. ANDLESON. And that's why

	Page 614		Page 616
1	see if they're all of them are	1	MR. THOMAS: Those are all of
2	listed. We just have to count them.	2	the questions I have.
3	There's 13 images in the back that I	3	CROSS EXAMINATION
4	count of the report and you're in the	4	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:
5	middle of them right now.	5	Q. Dr. Klinge, you were asked a
6	MR. THOMAS: Let's go to the	6	few questions a few minutes ago by counsel
7	last one.	7	regarding whether or not you had a residency
8	MR. ANDERSON: Show me which	8	or a fellowship in pathology.
9	slide.	9	Do you remember those
10	QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	10	questions?
11	Q. The last slide that I have in	11	A. Yes.
12	front of me, I'm sorry, it's not numbered,	12	Q. Dr. Klinge, approximately when
13	but it's BAL 13-23, which is the patient	13	did you begin reviewing pathology slides of
14	identifier. On the right, it has a scale of	14	explanted meshes?
15	100 microns, and in the middle of the slide,	15	A. We I started to have a look
16	it shows what appears to be a measurement of	16	through the microscope to these explanted
17	43.15 microns.	17	meshes in 1994.
18	Is that a that's the one on	18	Q. And was that as part of the
19	page 73?	19	work with the IZKF-BIOMAT cross-functional
20	A. Uh-huh.	20	team at Aachen University?
21	Q. Is that right?	21	A. It was in relation to this
22	A. Seems to. Yeah, I will agree.	22	project with the IZKF in collaboration with
23	MR. THOMAS: So you counted 13?	23	Professor Klosterhalfen at that time.
24	MR. ANDERSON: I think that's	24	Q. Is it fair to say that
	Page 615		Page 617
1	Page 615	1	Page 617 Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a
1 2	right.	1 2	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a
	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13		Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological
2	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report.	2	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted
2 3	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for	2 3	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes?
2 3 4	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.)	2 3 4	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form
2 3 4 5	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:	2 3 4 5	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition	2 3 4 5	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been	2 3 4 5 6 7	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the information that Mr. Anderson provided about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How many slides?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the information that Mr. Anderson provided about the chain of custody and the source of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How many slides? A. How many slides? It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the information that Mr. Anderson provided about the chain of custody and the source of documents that you received, fair?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How many slides? A. How many slides? It's difficult to estimate, but I've I estimate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the information that Mr. Anderson provided about the chain of custody and the source of documents that you received, fair? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How many slides? A. How many slides? It's difficult to estimate, but I've I estimate it's more than 25,000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the information that Mr. Anderson provided about the chain of custody and the source of documents that you received, fair? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Klinge, did you ever tell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How many slides? A. How many slides? It's difficult to estimate, but I've I estimate it's more than 25,000. Q. And as part of your review of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the information that Mr. Anderson provided about the chain of custody and the source of documents that you received, fair? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Klinge, did you ever tell Ethicon that they should not sell the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How many slides? A. How many slides? It's difficult to estimate, but I've I estimate it's more than 25,000. Q. And as part of your review of over 25,000 slides of the histopathology of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the information that Mr. Anderson provided about the chain of custody and the source of documents that you received, fair? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Klinge, did you ever tell Ethicon that they should not sell the Prolene® mesh used for the treatment of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How many slides? A. How many slides? It's difficult to estimate, but I've I estimate it's more than 25,000. Q. And as part of your review of over 25,000 slides of the histopathology of explanted meshes, have you also published on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	right. MR. THOMAS: And there are 13 in the report. (Klinge Exhibit 25 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Let me mark as Deposition Exhibit Number 25 the chart that we've been consulting, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's where you recorded your findings from your review of the slides that we've been discussing, correct? A. Yes, these are my results. Q. And it also includes the information that Mr. Anderson provided about the chain of custody and the source of documents that you received, fair? A. Yes. Q. Dr. Klinge, did you ever tell Ethicon that they should not sell the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dr. Klosterhalfen trained you as a pathologist to review the histopathological slides of foreign body reaction to implanted meshes? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. You said "yes"? A. Yes. Q. Since that time in 1994 when you first began looking at slides from either animals or human tissue of explanted meshes, approximately how many times have you reviewed such slides and analyzed them? How many slides? A. How many slides? It's difficult to estimate, but I've I estimate it's more than 25,000. Q. And as part of your review of over 25,000 slides of the histopathology of

Page 620 Page 618 1 of the question. for this case; is that correct? 2 2 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Yes, that's correct, I never 3 3 In the peer-reviewed talked to him. Q. 4 literature? Q. Yesterday counsel was asking 5 Yes. Yes. you some questions about this time period A. 6 from 1994 to 2000 when you were in this O. And have there been times where 7 cross-functional team with the IZKF-BIOMAT in you have published in the peer-reviewed 8 8 literature where you were the only Aachen with the group Ethicon Norderstedt. 9 9 pathologist that was reviewing the slides for Do you recall that part of your 10 10 the work that was contained in the study? testimony? 11 11 A. Yes. A. Yes. 12 12 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form Q. He asked you whether that time 13 period dealt with the treatment of stress of the question. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: 14 14 urinary incontinence. 15 15 You said "yes"? So my question is this: O. 16 16 Dr. Klinge, do you consider that your work A. Yes. 17 17 that you did in the '90s in developing VYPRO Okay. And have you presented Q. 18 at Congresses and conferences to your 18 and in working with this BIOMAT team, the 19 colleagues and others with regard to your publications that you've done over the last 20 20 20 years, the conferences you've spoken at analysis of histopathological review of 21 21 slides from explanted tissue in either humans and all of the work that you've done in this 22 or animals? field of biomaterial research and the tissue 23 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form response to surgical meshes as well as your 24 work as a hernia surgeon relates equally to of the question. Page 619 Page 621 1 hernia surgery mesh and the body's reaction THE WITNESS: Yes. And it is a 2 common procedure that a scientist made to it, pelvic organ prolapse mesh and the 3 his own personal analysis of the body's reaction to it and sling mesh and the 4 4 tissues and made the analysis and the body's reaction to it? 5 5 presentation of these data by himself. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 6 6 **OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** of the question. 7 Q. A little while ago counsel was THE WITNESS: In regard to the 8 asking you some questions about your choice biological response to these meshes, 9 of using the S100 staining with regard to to these hernia meshes, there are a your review of these 22 explants. 10 10 lot of similarities that allows us to 11 11 Do you recall that part? make conclusions for both of this. 12 Yes. 12 A. There are, of course, severe 13 13 He also asked you some differences or significant differences 14 14 questions to which you responded that this in regard to functional analysis or 15 15 was something that you and Bernd biomechanics, but the tissue reaction 16 Klosterhalfen had discussed many years ago 16 to a polymer is a lot of similarities. 17 17 about the choice of S100. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: 18 18 Do you remember that part of Is one of the similarities that 19 your question? 19 all of this work that we've been discussing 20 20 A. Yes. for the last two days and the things that I 21 When you were answering these 21 listed in my former question to you help 22 22 questions, you were talking -- strike that. scientists like yourself try to predict the 23 23 You never talked to tissue response to particular surgical 24 24 Dr. Klosterhalfen about whether to use S100 meshes?

			owe klinge
	Page 622		Page 624
1	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form	1	concept, therefore, it includes what
2	of the question.	2	we have collaborated for the VYPRO,
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, in fact, it	3	but the specific details of the
4	is this knowledge that we acquired in	4	textile construction, there we haven't
5	these years that allow us to make this	5	been involved.
6	analysis, to define requirements for	6	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:
7	textiles in this field and it is	7	Q. Okay. Yesterday counsel asked
8	usually very appreciated when we	8	you some questions about Exhibit 9, which
9	present our experiences of these	9	were the meeting minutes from the Suvretta
10	15 years to urogynecologists.	10	meeting in 2003 in St. Moritz.
11	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:	11	Do you remember that?
12	Q. Thank you, Doctor.	12	A. Yes.
13	Yesterday counsel asked you	13	Q. And he asked you some questions
14	some questions as well regarding whether or	14	about the part of your presentation where you
15	not anyone in Aachen had a direct role in the	15	were discussing whether a scar plate or a
16	development of ULTRAPROTM.	16	scar net might begin to that would appear
17	Do you recall those questions?	17	to be between 600 and 800 microns.
18	A. Yes.	18	Do you remember that part of
19	Q. Whether or not anyone had a	19	your testimony yesterday?
20	direct role in the research and development	20	A. Yes.
21	of ULTRAPROTM, do you consider the work that	21	Q. I want to show you what we've
22	you and your team in conjunction with Ethicon	22	marked as Klinge Deposition Number 26 to your
23	did on VYPRO to be the foundational	23	deposition.
24	principles upon which ULTRAPROTM was designed?	24	(Klinge Exhibit 26 marked for
	Page 623		Page 625
1	A. I think it was quite clear that	1	identification.)
2	ULTRAPRO TM was the successor of the VYPRO, and	2	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:
3	it just replaced an oligofilament mesh	3	Q. Do you recognize this
4	materials by monofilament and what we tried	4	publication?
5	with the IZKF funding where we tried to do	5	A. Yes.
6	and realized with the PVDF that was done by	6	Q. And this is a publication in
7	Ethicon with polypropylene and Monocryl. And	7	2002 in the Journal of Surgical Research?
8	as a consequence, I guess that, therefore, I	8	A. Yes.
9			
1	got royalties for the ULTRAPRO TM , not only for	9	Q. And are you one of the authors
10	the VYPRO because of this close relationship.	10	along with those from the BIOMAT the
11	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you	10 11	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group?
11 12	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales	10 11 12	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author.
11 12 13	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling	10 11 12 13	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very
11 12 13 14	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen	10 11 12 13 14	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements"
11 12 13 14 15	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior	10 11 12 13 14 15	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page,
11 12 13 14 15 16	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding? A. Yes.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding? A. Yes. Q. And which company provided
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: There is an	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding? A. Yes. Q. And which company provided funding to this research?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: There is an overlapping time period there.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding? A. Yes. Q. And which company provided funding to this research? A. Most supported by Ethicon and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: There is an overlapping time period there. So, again, just to make it	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding? A. Yes. Q. And which company provided funding to this research? A. Most supported by Ethicon and by the IZKF-BIOMAT.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: There is an overlapping time period there. So, again, just to make it clear, ULTRAPRO TM took over the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding? A. Yes. Q. And which company provided funding to this research? A. Most supported by Ethicon and by the IZKF-BIOMAT. Q. Because this was the time
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: There is an overlapping time period there. So, again, just to make it clear, ULTRAPRO TM took over the principles of the VYPRO, the large	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding? A. Yes. Q. And which company provided funding to this research? A. Most supported by Ethicon and by the IZKF-BIOMAT. Q. Because this was the time this is the time that you're working closely
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the VYPRO because of this close relationship. Q. So is it fair to say that you were receiving royalties for ULTRAPRO TM sales at the same time that you were telling Ethicon that you believed and that the Aachen group believed that PVDF was a superior material to polypropylene? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: There is an overlapping time period there. So, again, just to make it clear, ULTRAPRO TM took over the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	along with those from the BIOMAT the IZKF-BIOMAT group? A. Yes, I was the author. Q. And if you turn to the very last page under the "Acknowledgements" section as well as at the bottom of the page, does this indicate who provided funding? A. Yes. Q. And which company provided funding to this research? A. Most supported by Ethicon and by the IZKF-BIOMAT. Q. Because this was the time

No, this was after this time where we developed the VYPRO, but it was the time where we worked close together and had several ongoing projects together.

And if we turn to page 213 of this article from 2002, if we look to the left-hand column, down where the words begin "As a result," what does that say?

Does it say, "As a result, the large pore sized greater than 2-millimeter mesh is integrated in a loose network of perifilimentary granulomas and plenty of fat tissue in between. Whereas the monofilament mesh with its smaller pores almost exclusively is imbedded into granulomas and scar tissue which bridges the whole pore diameter of less than 1 millimeter"?

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Does that language that you've Q. seen appear in Ethicon documents?

A. Yes.

MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.

presentation in 2000, 2001 showing the

distribution of the pores based on the Marlex

mesh and there we indicated that there may be

a -- that in these specimen that we measured

at that time there was a limit in between

600, 800 microns.

In the other article, we want to express that a -- wanted to say or to be 9 on a -- in a range that -- where you can 10 expect that you get pores without this 11 bridging, there we find this is 1 millimeter 12 and in between, I guess, there has been the 13 experiment that later on has been published 14 by Conze with IPOM where we again measured 15 all of these distances.

So, yeah, we learned that it depends from the polymer that is affected by the animal model there, but, however, we wanted to give a range or to give a hint where the border lays and, therefore, we said 1 millimeter.

If you look to the documents later on, in the presence of tension, Klosterhalfen advised 3 millimeters in some

Page 627

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

THE WITNESS: Yes, I've seen it in many documents, and I'm sure I have repeated many of these phrases yesterday and today. Because it's still our belief. **OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:**

Q. And given that -- strike that.

So this journal article that was published in -- based upon studies that were funded, at least in part by Ethicon, was in 2002, and your presentation in St. Moritz was in 2003.

So my question is you've listed a limit of 1,000 microns in the 2002 article with regard to a limit where fibrotic bridging may be seen, whereas in the panel discussion in Suvretta in 2003, you listed 600 to 800 microns.

Can you please explain that?

A. At that time, we had -- we made several attempts to make measure the pore and the bridging and we started at that time with the Marlex mesh and before I saw somewhere in the documents that there is a PowerPoint

Page 629

Page 628

meetings there, and so I've no disagreement to this. So you see that there was a evolution of these advises and you have to be carefully looking to the specific conditions 5 in what condition this was expressed there. 6

O. And during that time period in the late '90s and early 2000s, were most of the heavy-weight, small pore meshes somewhere in this 600 to 1,000-micron pore size?

MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.

QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:

O. In a linear measurement?

Yeah. We didn't realize that the Prolene® is around this 1 millimeter in this. And maybe that there will be an upcoming question whether this millimeter is enough or it's not enough. If we have known at that time that this may be a problem, we would have thought a little bit more precisely to find maybe another border, to find another border there.

Q. Whether the limit is at 950 microns and 1,050 microns, is it safe to

Page 630 Page 632 say that in all of the explants of Prolene® to now with regard to the fibrotic bridging 2 2 old construction 6-mil mesh, whether it was you've seen with Prolene®? 3 in the explants that you looked at from MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 4 animal studies back during your time working of the question. 5 with Ethicon or in any of the explants that THE WITNESS: Again, it is 6 6 had been done both in the 1,000 hernia clear that it is normal for a high 7 explants as well as the greater than 400 risk -- with a mesh for high risk for 8 8 explants that have been looked at from the fibrosis. For a high-risk mesh, this 9 pelvic floor, have you consistently had an is a normal reaction. 10 10 observation with regard to the way Prolene® **QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 11 old construction 6-mil mesh that's used in 11 Q. And do you believe that the 12 the TVT® slings reacts in the tissue in terms 12 Prolene old construction 6-mil mesh used in 13 of its pore size? 13 TVT® is a high-risk mesh with regard to 14 14 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form heavy-weight, small pore mesh that leads to 15 15 of the question. fibrotic bridging and complications in 16 16 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: patients? 17 17 Q. Have you noticed any sort of MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 18 pattern or consistency there? 18 of the question. 19 19 MR. THOMAS: Same objection. THE WITNESS: It's a high risk 20 20 THE WITNESS: In all of these in regard to the extent of 21 21 sample that we had a look to it, inflammation, scarring, shrinkage, 22 22 Prolene® behaves as a heavy-weight, dimension or the amount of material. 23 small pore mesh regardless whatever 23 **QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 24 24 figures are printed out. The Q. And do you hold that opinion to Page 631 Page 633 a reasonable degree of medical and scientific 1 morphology of the tissue examination, 2 2 with the extent of the geometry of the certainty? 3 scar formation makes it clear that the 3 A. Absolutely. I'm convinced of it and there's huge evidence for this. 4 old Prolene® is a -- behaves like a 5 small pore -- heavy-weight, small pore 5 (Klinge Exhibit 27 marked for 6 identification.) 6 mesh. 7 7 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: **OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 8 And a few minutes ago when Q. I show you what I've marked as 9 counsel was asking you some questions about Klinge Exhibit Number 27. 10 10 the pathology slides and he said -- when Have you seen this article 11 11 before entitled, "The Argument for you're looking at these slides of TVT® meshes, he asked you is this a normal 12 Light-Weight Polypropylene Mesh in Hernia 13 fibrotic response or a normal tissue 13 Repair" from Surgical Innovation in 2005? 14 14 Have you seen this before? response. 15 Do you remember those types of 15 A. Yes, I've seen it before. 16 16 questions? Q. And do you know these authors, 17 17 A. Yes. William Cobb, Kent Kercher and Todd Heniford? 18 18 And you said -- your testimony A. Yes, I know them. 19 19 was normal or what we usually see with regard O. And is Todd Heniford the hernia 20 20 to a heavy-weight, small pore mesh. surgeon that you mentioned with reference to 21 Do you remember that part of 21 the Suvretta conference in 2003? your testimony? 22 22 Yeah. I met him there and at 23 23 Yes, I remember that part. several conferences in Europe as well. A. 24 24 Is that what you're referring And you understand after Q. Q.

reviewing materials that I've sent you, that
 Dr. Heniford is an expert for Ethicon in this

litigation?A F

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- A. Even more, he's an expert for the argument of light-weight polypropylene. Of the use of light-weight meshes.
- Q. If we turn to Dr. Heniford's publication, on page 2, which on this publication is on page 64 at the top left of Exhibit 27, what is the weight listed for Prolene®?
 - A. Prolene® here, it's given 105-gram per square meters.
 - Q. And it's lighter or heavier than Marlex?
 - A. It is heavier.
 - Q. And if we turn over to page 67 of this article by Dr. Heniford and his colleagues, do you see the section "Degree of Shrinkage"?
 - A. Yes, I see it.
- Q. And reading under there, "One concern with the long-term implantation of mesh is the amount of shrinkage or passive

sentence in Dr. Heniford's article, it says,

Page 636

Page 637

- ² "In contrast, the small pore mesh was
- incorporated entirely in perifilimentary
- granulomas and scar tissue which bridged the
 whole pore diameter of less than 1

millimeter."

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

Q. And we have the diagrams down below showing that, "A 4-millimeter pore size will not show the granulomas touching of a light-weight mesh, whereas a 0.8-millimeter pore size does have the granulomas touching of a heavy-weight mesh."

Do you see that?

A. Yes, I see that.

Q. So according to this article, would Dr. Heniford and his colleagues' opinions be consistent with your own with regard to the percentage of shrinkage of meshes in vivo as well as the limit of around 1,000 microns to prevent fibrotic bridging?

MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.

Page 635

compression the material undergoes. All

available meshes regardless of their

³ composition, experience a 20 to 50 percent

⁴ reduction in their initial size."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

Q. Was that the state of knowledge as of 2005 based upon your understanding and your work that meshes could shrink from 20 to 50 percent?

MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I agree. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:

Q. Is that part of what you were testifying to earlier when answering

Mr. Thomas's questions regarding amount of shrinkage that you can expect from

polypropylene meshes in the human body?

A It's in accordance to what I

A. It's in accordance to what I said.

Q. If you turn over to page 68, in this Heniford article, if you look down to the second -- the column on the right in the

THE WITNESS: All of these statements by -- published or concluded in this manuscript confirms

my opinions in regard to shrinkage and
 required pore size to prevent

bridging.

QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:

Q. And if we look to the left under the paragraph that begins, "In a dog model," does that paragraph indicate that polypropylene meshes shrink 30 to 50 percent of their original size within two to six months after implantation.

Do you see that?

A. Yes, I see it.

Q. Is that consistent with the opinions that you've stated to counsel here today?

A. Yeah. It is -- it confirms that the extent of shrinkage is higher in heavy-weight -- when using heavy-weight materials and can be reduced by using material-reduced meshes.

O. And these ideas of the amount

Page 638 Page 640 of contraction that could be expected in vivo seen this document before? 2 2 of polypropylene meshes, was this information Α. Yes. I've seen it. 3 that Ethicon was aware of as a result of your 3 O. And if we turn over into the work with them going back to the '90s? document where it says "pore size" from this 5 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form presentation in -- at Ethicon February 23, of the question. 6 2007, does that page indicate on a slide by 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. And yeah. Kirsten Spychaj, "Small porous meshes less 8 than 1 millimeter lead to fibrotic bridging MR. THOMAS: Can we take a real 9 9 and increase shrinkage"? quick break? 10 10 MR. ANDERSON: Yeah. A. Yeah. 11 11 MR. THOMAS: Just ten seconds. "Large porous meshes allow for Q. 12 12 a better and faster tissue ingrowth and less (Off the record at 4:44 p.m.) 13 **OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** shrinkage and contraction"? 14 14 I don't remember the exhibit That is a correct summary. 15 15 that we had with Professor Klosterhalfen with And down below where it says, "less than 1 millimeter" in the three little 16 this document the other day, but if we have 17 the minutes from 2007. 17 circles, these are drawings that you've seen 18 I'm going to show you what we 18 before? 19 19 marked the other day as Klosterhalfen A. Yes. I've seen it. 20 Exhibit 11, which are the minutes from the 20 And these little red dots, O. 21 meeting in Norderstedt in 2007. 21 would those indicate the peri-filamentous 22 You've seen this document granulomas that you were referring to 23 23 before? earlier? 24 24 Yes, I've seen it. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form A. Page 639 Page 641 And at that meeting, if you 1 1 of the question. turn to page 2, do you see a heading "Factors THE WITNESS: Maybe it can be 3 Related to Mesh Shrinkage"? interpreted in this way. 4 **OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 4 Yes. Α. 5 Q. By a Ms. Spychaj? 5 So this would be a depiction of 6 A. Yes. the size of pores after implanted in the body 7 S-p-y-c-h-a-j. as a depiction of that, correct? 8 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 8 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 9 of the questions related to that 9 of the question. 10 10 document. Was he at that meeting? THE WITNESS: That is correct. 11 11 Was he shown being in attendance? It's quite similar to the images that 12 MR. ANDERSON: I don't know. 12 have been in the publication from 13 13 It doesn't show him being there. Heniford. 14 14 MR. THOMAS: That's what I **OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 15 15 thought. Just a continued objection And have you seen this image of 16 to his comments because he wasn't 16 pores less than 1 millimeter leading to 17 17 fibrotic bridging that we see here on -- I'll there. 18 18 MR. ANDERSON: Sure. I don't have to mark this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 28. 19 19 think he has to be present at meetings (Klinge Exhibit 28 marked for 20 20 to be able to look at the PowerPoints identification.) 21 21 that were there. **OUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: 22 22 Q. Is this an image that you've 23 23 Q. And this PowerPoint entitled seen many times throughout the Ethicon 24 "Factors Related to Mesh Shrinkage," you've documents that you've reviewed over the last

	TIOI. DI. Me	1	D (11
	Page 642		Page 644
1	two years in these litigations?	1	of the question.
2	A. Yes, many times. Many times.	2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	And I've never seen any document showing that	3	(Klinge Exhibit 29 marked for
4	this is not a fact.	4	identification.)
5	Q. Have you seen in any of the	5	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:
6	peer-reviewed literature in the last 20 years	6	Q. Showing you what we will mark
7	anyone who has disputed the fact that you	7	as Klinge Exhibit 29.
8	need greater than 1 millimeter pore size to	8	Showing you what we have marked
9	prevent fibrotic bridging in the tissues?	9	as Plaintiff's I am sorry, as Klinge
10	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form	10	Exhibit 29, have you seen this have you
11	of the question.	11	seen this e-mail before during this
12	THE WITNESS: No, I don't know.	12	litigation?
13	No, any study, any discussion that	13	A. No.
14	claimed to have facts that are in	14	Q. Okay. An e-mail from Joerg
15	contradiction to this finding, to this	15	Holste to Jonathan Meek dated April 22, 2009.
16	estimate, to this interpretation.	16	Do you see that?
17	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:	17	A. Yes, I see it.
18	Q. In the worldwide peer-reviewed	18	Q. And in the first line,
19	literature over the last 20 years, have you	19	"Jonathan, the border for scar plate
20	seen any scientist or surgeon who has	20	formation in small pore standard weight
21	published regarding looking at has	21	meshes was set around 1,000 microns."
22	published regarding studies either looking at	22	Do you see that?
23	animal explanted mesh or human explanted mesh	23	A. Yes, I see it.
24	who have indicated that light-weight, large	24	Q. And is this Joerg Holste that
	Page 6/13	1	Page 645
1	Page 643	1	Page 645
1 2	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore	1 2	you have worked with since the '90s going
2	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore	2	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT
2 3	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring	2 3	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO?
2 3 4	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter	2 3 4	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true.
2 3 4 5	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years	2 3 4 5	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for
2 3 4 5	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the	2 3 4 5 6	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you?	2 3 4 5 6 7	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the peer-reviewed worldwide publication in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. It's dated September 25, 2012?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the peer-reviewed worldwide publication in the last 20 years any researchers other than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. It's dated September 25, 2012? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the peer-reviewed worldwide publication in the last 20 years any researchers other than yourself and Dr. Klosterhalfen who have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. It's dated September 25, 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you turn over to the page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the peer-reviewed worldwide publication in the last 20 years any researchers other than yourself and Dr. Klosterhalfen who have reviewed as many explanted meshes from both	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. It's dated September 25, 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you turn over to the page four pages back, which ends in Bates
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the peer-reviewed worldwide publication in the last 20 years any researchers other than yourself and Dr. Klosterhalfen who have reviewed as many explanted meshes from both animals and human beings for hernia, POP and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. It's dated September 25, 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you turn over to the page four pages back, which ends in Bates number 5782, under Prolene®, what does he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the peer-reviewed worldwide publication in the last 20 years any researchers other than yourself and Dr. Klosterhalfen who have reviewed as many explanted meshes from both animals and human beings for hernia, POP and SUI and reported on those in the worldwide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. It's dated September 25, 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you turn over to the page four pages back, which ends in Bates number 5782, under Prolene®, what does he list as the maximum pore size in millimeters?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the peer-reviewed worldwide publication in the last 20 years any researchers other than yourself and Dr. Klosterhalfen who have reviewed as many explanted meshes from both animals and human beings for hernia, POP and SUI and reported on those in the worldwide literature, any other scientists other than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. It's dated September 25, 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you turn over to the page four pages back, which ends in Bates number 5782, under Prolene®, what does he list as the maximum pore size in millimeters? A. The pore size of less than 1
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pore meshes versus heavy-weight, small pore meshes, that the heavy-weight, small pore meshes induce fibrotic bridging and scarring and contraction, whereas larger pore, lighter weight meshes do not? Has anyone in 20 years refuted those findings based upon the indications that I just gave you? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Do less. Larger pores do less fibrotic reaction, but I never saw or were confronted with someone disputing this findings. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Have you ever seen in the peer-reviewed worldwide publication in the last 20 years any researchers other than yourself and Dr. Klosterhalfen who have reviewed as many explanted meshes from both animals and human beings for hernia, POP and SUI and reported on those in the worldwide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you have worked with since the '90s going back all the way back to your IZKF-BIOMAT work with Ethicon to develop VYPRO? A. That's true. (Klinge Exhibit 30 marked for identification.) QUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS: Q. Showing what we will mark as Klinge Exhibit 30. This is a Klinge sorry, this is a clinical expert report from Piet Hinoul, medical director, Ethicon, department of medical affairs. Do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. It's dated September 25, 2012? A. Yes. Q. If you turn over to the page four pages back, which ends in Bates number 5782, under Prolene®, what does he list as the maximum pore size in millimeters?

Page 646 Page 648 1 Showing you what we will mark 1 Other than being listed in 2 as Klinge Exhibit 31 -- wait a minute. this -- I am sorry, let's go to the cover 3 Actually you -- strike that. 3 page. 4 4 Showing you what was previously It has, "Demand the most proven 5 marked by counsel as Klinge Exhibit 21. technology when selecting a midurethral 6 There was this International Urogynecology sling. Make data and safety your choice" with the surgeon on the front. Journal from Moalli and some of her 8 8 colleagues entitled "Tensile Properties of Do you see that? 9 9 Five Commonly Used Midurethral Slings A. Yes, I see it. 10 10 Relative to the TVT®" from May 2008. O. And in this document where they 11 Do you remember counsel showing 11 list 1,379 microns --12 12 Yes. you this? A. 13 A. 13 Yes, I remember. Q. -- based upon your review of 14 And he showed you on the top of 14 the depositions and the testing and the Q. porosity and pore size evaluations by 15 what is page 57 of this article, he showed 15 16 16 you the pore size of Gynecare being listed as numerous Ethicon employees, have you ever 17 17 seen any indication in any of those that 1379. 18 Do you see that? 18 there was a measurement of a pore size of 19 19 Yes, I see it. Prolene® of 1,379 microns for the mesh used A. 20 20 in TVT® anywhere in your review? And at the top of that under Q. Table 1, it says, "Textile Properties 21 21 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 22 22 Provided by the Manufacturers." of the question. 23 Do you see that? 23 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: 24 Yes, I see it. 24 Α. Q. Other than on this promotional Page 647 Page 649 document by Ethicon, based upon your review 1 Q. Did you see there -- strike 2 2 of the depositions of Dan Burkley and all of that. 3 the other documents that you've seen, have There was some questions by counsel about their measurements of the pore you ever seen them come up with a number of 4 5 size in this article. 5 1,379? 6 6 Do you see anywhere in this A. No, I didn't see it. 7 7 article where these authors measured these Q. In fact, according to their medical affairs director, Piet Hinoul, in 8 pore sizes? 9 this 2012 expert report, which was Klinge A. No. As it is indicated there, Exhibit 30, he says it's less than 1 10 they took it from the manufacturer. 10 11 millimeter, correct? 11 (Klinge Exhibit 31 marked for 12 identification.) 12 A. Yes. 13 **QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 13 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 14 14 Showing you what we will mark of the question. 15 15 as Klinge Exhibit 31, under "Proprietary **QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 16 Mesh," do you see here where they list there 16 Going back to this Moalli 17 17 under "Proprietary Mesh," it says, "Largest article -- turning to this page where it says 18 18 pore size"? Figure 4, is this uniaxial testing that's 19 Do you see that? 19 being shown? 20 20 A. Yes, I see it. Yes, it's uniaxial testing. 21 And do you see 1379 --21 It's quite similar to what we did with 22 1,379 microns listed here by the 22 Professor Mühl's machine. 23 23 manufacturer? And these are the photos of A, 24 Yes. I see it. B and C that you have as images in your

Page 650 Page 652 1 Do you remember that part of report, correct? 2 your testimony? A. Yes. 3 3 A. Yes. Yes. O. Okay. Doctor, I want to ask you one more thing about this Prolift+M® Q. Okay. Do you consider the Mühl 5 testing to be instructive to your opinions as document. 6 to whether or not the Prolene® old Here it shows a weight of what 7 would be 76 grams per centimeter squared. construction 6-mil mesh used in all of the 8 Do you see that? TVT® devices has pores that are -- any pores 9 9 that are 1 millimeter in diameter? A. Yes, I see it. 10 10 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form O. So this would be a lighter Prolene® mesh than actually the old 11 11 of the question. 12 12 THE WITNESS: There are some construction 6-mil mesh, correct? 13 13 pores around 1 millimeter. A. Per the other -- yes. 14 14 So would you expect the pore QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. 15 Q. And would a Prolene® mesh that 15 size of the heavier weight Prolene® mesh to 16 be just as small, if not smaller, than the has pores of pore area right around 1 17 Prolene® 76 grams per meter squared mesh? 17 millimeter be as safe as a pore size of ULTRAPROTM or VYPRO with pores that are in the 18 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 18 19 3 to 5 millimeter range in diameter? of the question. 20 20 THE WITNESS: It is difficult MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 21 21 to -- for me to find this relation of the question. 22 22 between weight and pore size. THE WITNESS: No. It is very 23 23 clear that large pore meshes with 3, 4 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: 24 24 And when you were looking at millimeters has very, very low risk, O. Page 651 Page 653 1 the pore size of Prolene® with and it is clear that small pores mesh 2 2 Dr. Klosterhalfen back in the late '90s and has higher risk. And biologically, 3 early 2000s, was it your best estimate as of 3 this is true for Prolene® because it 4 4 that time that the old construction 6-mil bridges all the time. If you look to 5 Prolene® fibers used in all of the TVT® 5 the textile property -- the textile 6 devices had a pore size of 1,000 microns or 6 porosity, you see that the pores are 7 around 1 millimeter. But if you look less? 8 8 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form to the effective porosity, it is quite 9 of the question. low. And, therefore, this is 10 10 THE WITNESS: It is when we consistent. 11 11 (Klinge Exhibit 32 marked for made this linear measurements in one 12 12 dimension, we got figures around 1 identification.) 13 millimeter. When we made analysis by 13 **QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 14 14 defining the area, we got figures Showing you what we will mark 15 15 around 1 millimeter. So it is as Klinge Exhibit 32. It's a document that I 16 16 Prolene® has pores in this area. have previously provided to you. 17 17 **QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** Do you recall that, Dr. Klinge? 18 18 Over time I think -- over time A. Yes. 19 19 I think you were telling counsel that rather And if you look at the front 20 20 than using a linear dimension that the pore page of this PowerPoint, are these the same 21 21 diameter dimensions and the distribution of authors that we looked at in this Moalli, 22 22 the pore area of 1 millimeter in diameter Abramowitch, Feola article that counsel 23 23 showed you earlier today? became more important to you than the linear 24 24 measurement. I agree.

	Page 654		Page 656
1	Q. And if you turn to the third	1	company in Aachen, FEG Textiltechnik, and the
2	page of this document, first of all, is the	2	inventors are U. Klinge and B. Klosterhalfen,
3	date, May 24, 2013?	3	RWTH Aachen, and two peoples from FEG."
4	A. Yes.	4	Do you see that?
5	Q. And if you look down into the	5	A. Yes, I see it.
6	middle slide on that page under "Material	6	Q. And then, "FEG has some
7	Parameters, Textile and Structural Properties	7	products for hernia repair on the market and
8	of Implant Materials," what does the sixth	8	also for pelvic floor surgery."
9	point say?	9	Did I read that correctly?
10	A. The sixth point means that	10	A. That is correct.
11	Q. What does it say, number 6?	11	Q. "In Germany, these products are
12	A. Effective porosity.	12	distributed through Dahlhausen, a big dealer
13	Q. And under "Biomechanics," does	13	for medical device."
14	it list the Mühl article that you did with	14	Do you see that?
15	Professor Mühl in 2008?	15	A. That is correct.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. And then it talks about, "The
17	Q. From your reading of the Feola,	17	technology is based on a special material,
18	Abramowitch and Moalli article, was this your	18	PVDF."
19	understanding that this is a group out of	19	Do you see that?
20	Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania?	20	A. Yes.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. And it says that, "Our
22	(Klinge Exhibit 33 marked for	22	material, Ethicon's material, Pronova is
23	identification.)	23	comparable to PVDF."
24	identification.)	24	Do you see that?
			Bo you see that.
		_	
	Page 655		Page 657
1	Page 655 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:	1	Page 657 A. Yes, I see it.
1 2	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going	1 2	_
	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:		A. Yes, I see it.
2	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going	2	A. Yes, I see it.Q. Is it your understanding that
2 3	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33.	2 3	A. Yes, I see it.Q. Is it your understanding thatEthicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that
2 3 4	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that	2 3 4	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago?
2 3 4 5	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form
2 3 4 5 6	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes. Q. And even going back to your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to produce a surgical mesh with PVDF in it for the pelvic floor or for hernia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes. Q. And even going back to your work with Ethicon from the late '90s in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to produce a surgical mesh with PVDF in it for the pelvic floor or for hernia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes. Q. And even going back to your work with Ethicon from the late '90s in the development of VYPRO?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to produce a surgical mesh with PVDF in it for the pelvic floor or for hernia? A. I didn't ever get any positive information for this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes. Q. And even going back to your work with Ethicon from the late '90s in the development of VYPRO? A. Yes. Q. And this is a letter from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to produce a surgical mesh with PVDF in it for the pelvic floor or for hernia? A. I didn't ever get any positive information for this. Q. And then if we look at the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes. Q. And even going back to your work with Ethicon from the late '90s in the development of VYPRO? A. Yes. Q. And this is a letter from Christoph Walther to Quentin.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to produce a surgical mesh with PVDF in it for the pelvic floor or for hernia? A. I didn't ever get any positive information for this. Q. And then if we look at the next paragraph down, "In extremely, this patent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes. Q. And even going back to your work with Ethicon from the late '90s in the development of VYPRO? A. Yes. Q. And this is a letter from Christoph Walther to Quentin. Are you familiar with a Quentin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to produce a surgical mesh with PVDF in it for the pelvic floor or for hernia? A. I didn't ever get any positive information for this. Q. And then if we look at the next paragraph down, "In extremely, this patent applications could be a strict restriction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes. Q. And even going back to your work with Ethicon from the late '90s in the development of VYPRO? A. Yes. Q. And this is a letter from Christoph Walther to Quentin. Are you familiar with a Quentin Manley?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to produce a surgical mesh with PVDF in it for the pelvic floor or for hernia? A. I didn't ever get any positive information for this. Q. And then if we look at the next paragraph down, "In extremely, this patent applications could be a strict restriction for Ethicon to sell implants manufactured
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. One last document. I'm going to show you Klinge Exhibit 33. Showing you this document that we've marked as Klinge 33. Sorry. Are you familiar with Christoph Walther? A. Yes, I know him. Q. Is this a name that you had mentioned yesterday as someone you had worked with from R&D in Hamburg at Ethicon facilities there? A. Yes. Q. And even going back to your work with Ethicon from the late '90s in the development of VYPRO? A. Yes. Q. And this is a letter from Christoph Walther to Quentin. Are you familiar with a Quentin Manley?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it your understanding that Ethicon has a patent for a PVDF mesh that they filed years ago? MR. THOMAS: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Yes. QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And you've seen that patent, correct? A. Yes, I've seen it. Q. To your knowledge, has Ethicon ever acted upon that patent and tried to produce a surgical mesh with PVDF in it for the pelvic floor or for hernia? A. I didn't ever get any positive information for this. Q. And then if we look at the next paragraph down, "In extremely, this patent applications could be a strict restriction

Page 658 Page 660 flexibility, low bending stiffness, 1 Do you remember that? 2 Y-sterilization -- gamma -- without loss of 2 A. Yes. 3 3 tensile strength in contrast to He was asking you for articles Q. polypropylene, long-term stability in human that you may have or be aware of that relate 5 body." to what the estimated forces underneath the 6 6 Did I read that correctly? bladder may be that the TVT® sling may be 7 7 A. Yes. subjected to. 8 8 O. And do you know that Christoph Do you remember that part of Walther is one of the top polymer scientists 9 9 your testimony? 10 at Ethicon Norderstedt? 10 A. I remember it. 11 11 A. Yes. Turning now to Klinge O. 12 12 Exhibit 11, which was your expert report. MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 13 of the question. 13 Uh-huh. A. 14 14 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: I was going to ask you some Q. 15 Did Christoph Walther ever 15 things counsel did not. 16 contact you to ask you about working with you 16 Starting with page 18 of your 17 on a PVDF mesh for Ethicon's catalog of 17 report and going through page 23 of your products for either hernia repair, pelvic 18 18 report, in those five pages, did you go 19 19 organ prolapse repair or stress urinary through an analysis of various literature as 20 incontinence repair? 20 well as internal Ethicon documents regarding 21 21 No, he didn't do. estimated forces that one could anticipate A. 22 22 O. Counsel asked you whether or being on the TVT® sling underneath the 23 not you were aware of any clinical studies or 23 bladder neck? 24 24 randomized controlled trials that would look MR. THOMAS: Object to the form Page 659 Page 661 at the effect of particle loss of surgical of the question. 1 2 2 meshes in the tissue. THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 Do you remember that part of 3 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: your testimony? 4 4 O. Yes? 5 A. Yes. 5 Yes. A. 6 Do you need a clinical study 6 Q. And when you gave counsel a 7 result, Dr. Klinge, in order to form your measurement -- strike that. 8 opinion that excess polypropylene particles When you listed to counsel that 9 in a human tissue can elicit a greater you could anticipate less than 10 newtons per 10 10 inflammatory response? centimeter in terms of a force that could be 11 11 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form placed upon the sling under the bladder neck, 12 is that reflected in the forces that you list of the question. 13 THE WITNESS: No, there is --13 on page 23 of your report? 14 14 as I tried to express earlier, there A. Yes, that is a brief summary of 15 15 is a huge evidence that increase the all this knowledge collected on these pages. 16 16 And after you collected the material, the increase of surface of 17 17 polymers leads to an increased and knowledge that's on these pages, did you then use these figures on page 23 of Klinge 18 intensifying foreign body reaction and 19 19 with all of the risks. Exhibit 11 in order to instruct Professor

20

21

22

23

A.

Mühl as to the forces that you thought he

laser-cut and mechanical-cut meshes?

should put on the machine to test the TVT®

In fact, that was the reason to

define the range for the measurements that we

woman's urethra.

QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:

Counsel asked you some

questions earlier today regarding the in vivo

forces that would be realized underneath a

20

21

22

23

24

Page 662 Page 664 1 1 THE WITNESS: It is based on collected all of this data. 2 2 Counsel also had a statement to the current data that are available, 3 3 you, "There are no in vivo studies regarding which is known to Ethicon as well. 4 whether high effective porosity under stress MR. ANDERSON: That's all of will help improve biocompatibility." Taking 5 the questions I have for right now, that statement out of your 2007 publication 6 Dr. Klinge. 7 with Professor Mühl, "The New Objective THE WITNESS: Thank you very 8 8 Measurements for Porosity." much. 9 9 Do you recall that part of your MR. ANDERSON: He's got a few. 10 10 testimony today? REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 11 A. Yes. **OUESTIONS BY MR. THOMAS:** 12 12 O. Is the concept of effective Dr. Klinge, Exhibit 30, which 13 porosity to allow for proper tissue healing 13 was the expert report from Piet Hinoul, 14 14 in between the pores? Mr. Anderson already showed you on page 4 of 15 15 Exhibit 30 that the weight for the Prolene® MR. THOMAS: Object to the form 16 mesh is lower than the weight that you of the question. 17 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. typically recorded for the first generation 18 **QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:** 18 old Prolene®, correct? 19 19 A. I tried to calculate there Q. And is effective porosity an 20 area that would allow for good tissue healing 20 are -- there has been milligram per square 21 21 in pore sizes that are greater than 1 centimeters. Usually, it's gram per square 22 millimeter in all direction? meters. I assumed that the usual data of 23 23 Α. Yes. 108-gram per square meter would be 24 10.8-milligram per square centimeters. Q. Based upon your work in this Page 663 Page 665 area for the last 20 years, your work in the Do you know whether this is the 1 O. 2 '90s with BIOMAT and with Ethicon, the old Prolene® mesh used in TVT® --3 development of VYPRO, your publications, your It shouldn't be the old one. A. 4 4 Congresses, all of your work in this field It should be the 5-mil hernia O. 5 for two decades, do you have an opinion to a repair mesh or some other one? 6 reasonable degree of medical certainty as to 6 A. I don't know. 7 whether or not the Mühl testing in looking at 7 It's -- this is the -- your 8 the 1 millimeter pore diameter of meshes will best interpretation of Exhibit 30, page 4 for 9 impact the biocompatibility of that mesh in the entry of Prolene® is that this is not the 10 10 the tissue? first generation Prolene® mesh that you 11 11 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form tested and that's used in the treatment of 12 of the question. 12 stress urinary incontinence, correct? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. I have no 13 I just see the name Prolene®. 14 14 doubts about that this is the effect. I see this white, and this is inconsistent to 15 QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON: what we have seen with other tables where 16 16 Q. And do you believe that putting there was Prolene® and -- so this is -- by 17 the machine at a 1,000-millimeter limit is 17 the way, this is a report from Ethicon. 18 18 based upon all of the work that you've done 0. I know. 19 19 for the last 20 years, you, Klosterhalfen and And I would expect that they 20 the rest of those involved both in Aachen as 20 indicate clearly what they shared in their 21 well as Ethicon in this area of tissue 21 table there because this makes a lot of 22 22 reaction to surgical meshes? confusion in all the subsequent -- when 23 23 MR. THOMAS: Object to the form someone else took over these data there. 24 24 of the question. Q. Doctor ---

	Page 666		Page 668
1	A. I just want to say it and have	1	CERTIFICATE
2	it	2	
3		3	I, CARRIE A. CAMPBELL, Registered
4	Q. And you did.	4	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Certified Court Reporter, do
	A documented.	5	hereby certify that prior to the commencement
5	Q. And you did.		of the examination, Uwe Klinge was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth, the whole
6	But so I can say it and	6	truth and nothing but the truth.
7	document it, this is not the first generation	7	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a verbatim transcript of the
8	Prolene® mesh, correct?	8	testimony as taken stenographically by and
9	A. It looks like, yes.		testimony as taken stenographically by and before me at the time, place and on the date
10	Q. It looks like it's not?	9	hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my ability.
11	A. It looks like it's not.	10	•
12		11	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am
13	MR. THOMAS: Thank you. That's		neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this
	all I have.	12	action, and that I am neither a relative nor
14	RECROSS EXAMINATION	13	employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the
15	QUESTIONS BY MR. ANDERSON:		action.
16	Q. And even with a later	14 15	
17	generation Prolene® mesh, they still can't		
18	get their pore sizes or Ethicon still chooses	17	CARRIE A. CAMPBELL, NCRA Registered Professional Reporter
19	not to get their pore sizes above 1	18	NCRA Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
20	millimeter, correct?		Missouri Certified Court Reporter #859
21	MR. THOMAS: Object to the form	19	Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporter #084-004229
22	•	20	Notary Public
23	of the question.	21 22	Dated: December 3, 2013
	THE WITNESS: Whatever they	23	
24	presented there as a Prolene® there,	24	
	Page 667		Page 669
1	_	1	
1 2	yes, I agree.	1 2	Page 669 ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
2	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further	1	
2 3	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions.	2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,, do
2 3 4	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor.	2 3 4	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT I,
2 3 4 5 6	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor.	2 3 4 5	I,
2 3 4 5	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4	I,
2 3 4 5 6	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	yes, I agree. MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Doctor. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I,

			Page 670
	El	RRATA	
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE/REASON	
			Page 671
			Page 671
	 LAV	 VYER'S NOTES	Page 671
			Page 671
PAGE			